EXHIBIT A

		Statement on behalf of:
		Witness:
		Statement number:
		Exhibits:
		Date of Statement: As dated
IN THE		CLAIM NO:
BETWEEN:		
		Claimant
	1	<u>Simmun</u>
	- and -	
		<u>Defendant</u>
	STATEMENT OF RENEE	HOCHMAN

I, Renee Hochman, of Ben Yehuda 6, Kiryat Ono 55528 Israel, will say as follows:

- 1. I am a native English speaker.
- I work as a professional translator and have 23 years of experience in professional translation of documents.
- 3. The English transcriptions listed below and attached to this Witness Statement, correctly and accurately reflected the corresponding audio file, with no distortion or modifications of facts or biases:
 - Meeting of May 19th, 2021 (8 pages);
 - Meeting of May 26th, 2021 Part 1 (6 pages);
 - Meeting of May 26th, 2021 Part 2 (17 pages);
 - Meeting of June 3rd, 2021 (38 pages);
 - Meeting of July 29th, 2021 (19 pages);
 - Meeting of August 9th, 2021 (26 pages);
 - Meeting of August 16th, 2021 (23 pages);
 - Meeting of August 22nd, 2021 (15 pages).

STATEMENT OF TRUTH

I declare th	at the facts stated in this Witness Statement are true.
Signed	
Name	Renee Hochman
Date	26 September 2021
Dlace	Kirvat Ono Israel

Exhibit RH1

Date of the meeting: May 19th, 2021

Name and duration of the recording: Shrinq | 00:09:36

Time	Side	Transcript
stamp		
00:00:00	Di Meo	[Phone call starts]
		00:00:22 - Hello?
	OP	Hi, Antonello?
	Di Meo	Yeah, that's me.
	OP	Hi, it's Lisa. How are you?
	Di Meo	Hi Lisa, I'm very well, thanks. And you?
	OP	I'm good. I'm sorry if there was some confusion with the time zones.
	Di Meo	Sorry, it was my, it was my bad. I assumed that London wasn't GMT, but
	OP	Oh, alright, alright.
	Di Meo	Some time of the year, it is not. So
	OP	Ah, okay. I understand the confusion.
	Di Meo	It's one of those few days.
	OP	Yeah, yeah.
	Di Meo	[] I'm sorry about it.
	OP	Okay, no problem. No problem. So, you're in the UK at the moment.
	Di Meo	No, no, I'm in Greece at the moment.
	OP	Oh, okay. That sounds a little bit better, I think. [Laughs]
	Di Meo	Much better, yeah.
	OP	Yeah.

	Di Meo	Weather-wise, much better.
	OP	Yeah. Also, corona-wise, I think. I mean, I've been reading a
		little bit about what's going on in the UK, and I hear there is
		some problems with this Indian variant or something.
	Di Meo	Yeah, it looks like that. I haven't I'm not one of those guys
		that tries to read the list about coronavirus, but
	OP	[Laughs] Yeah, I think that's a good move.
	Di Meo	-why pretend it's not the [].
	OP	Yeah. Yeah.
	Di Meo	Yeah. So
	OP	Alright. Well, it's
00:01:34	Di Meo	Let me understand how I can help you.
	OP	Yes, definitely. I understood from Nicky you wanted just to
		have a chat with me first and I think that's a good idea because I
		don't think you received
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP	-my earlier messages or my emails. So, I think this will be a
		great
	Di Meo	I don't think so. I don't think so.
	OP	Oh, perfect, so this will be a good opportunity for me to
		elaborate on, you know, the project, what we're looking for, and
		to answer any questions that you have.
	Di Meo	Yes.
	OP	So, let me just introduce myself first and explain my own role
		on this project and why we reached out to you as a potential
		consultant. So, we are a wealth and investment management
		firm, and we work with, you know, a select clientele of
		primarily ultra-high net worth individuals, and offering them

		tailor-made services through, you know, a team of experts,
		which includes expertise in finance, real estate, we do insurance
		experts as well, risk management. The company is based in
		Hong Kong and Amsterdam. The office here, in Hong Kong, is
		really the main office, while the one in Amsterdam is really
		much, you know, smaller, it serves primarily as a point of
		contact for European-based projects and operations.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
00:02:41	OP	And I've only been working here a short time, I started just a
		few months ago, In January, so this is kind of my first
		experience in the world of finance and wealth management. My
		background is much more focused on recruitment and HR.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP	And that's actually the role that I occupy here, as well.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP	So, here, I am the talent acquisition manager. So my
		responsibilities are, broadly speaking, you know, twofold, I play
		a large part in the internal recruitment process, so for the
		employees here. But the second and perhaps, you know, more
		interesting in my eyes, is the role that I play in the acquisition of
		external consultants and experts for different projects because
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP	You know, the company really does strive to provide the best
		possible services for our clients, but you know, we can't be an
		expert on everything, right? So, you know, very often
	Di Meo	[].
	OP	-when clients express an interest in a particular industry or a
		region that is, you know, both new to him or to us, then we look
		for external experts.

	Di Meo	Yeah, sure.
00:03:39	OP	They can come onboard, you know, generally speaking, as ad-
		hoc advisors or independent consultants who provide paid
		consultant services for a certain period of time, and that's
		actually what we're speaking about today. So, this is a new
		project with a client of ours. The partner in charge of the project
		is Mr Ruslan Voronov, you haven't spoken to him yet.
	Di Meo	[].
	OP	So, he's handling this particular project. The client is involved
		in different ventures currently in particularly mining,
		infrastructure, as well as maritime sector. So, the firm is helping
		him diversify his operations, and they are focusing on Southern
		Europe to do so. And the current initiative is to leverage the
		client's resources to take over invest in distressed companies.
		And this was decided to be a case, you know, once again, where
		it would be quite prudent for us to bring in some external
		experts who can consult with this on this project. So, that's
		really where I came in, all of the people that I've shortlisted as
		potential candidates are professionals that I found that match
		certain criteria. So, the parameters here of importance were of
		course, you know, experienced in distressed debt. On LinkedIn,
		you're listed as a senior distressed debt analyst.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
00:04:52	OP	I think any experience in Europe is of interest. Interest for
		myself as, you know, an HR recruiter, I always like to look for
		people who have work experience in one or more of the, you
		know, prestigious consulting or finance companies. And
		actually, I think
	Di Meo	Yeah.

	OP	-you tick quite a few boxes, I think you have Barclay's,
		McKinsey, and JP Morgan on your LinkedIn, just to name a
		few. And also, I saw that your last entry
	Di Meo	Because I'm old, that's [].
	OP	[Laughs] And I also saw your last entry on LinkedIn was until
		December 2020, so perhaps, you know, you're currently
		working independently, or that was my thought process.
	Di Meo	Yeah. Yeah.
	OP	So, that's why we reached out to you. As a potential consultant,
		I think there is really a lot in your CV that shows, you know,
		promising relevance. And I would love to see if this is
		something that we can explore further.
00:05:40	Di Meo	Yeah. What kind of so, is this a finance or industry client?
		Because if he's looking for some help in understanding, let's
		say, the way through restructuring processes, bankruptcy
		related, and so, to invest in southern European companies, I
		have a lot of experience in Italy, some experience in France.
	OP	Great.
	Di Meo	That, it's a thing.
	OP	Yeah.
	Di Meo	If we are talking about operational turnaround and more of an
		industry angle, then it's not really my thing
	OP	Yeah. Yeah.
	Di Meo	-because you need higher operational managers to implement
		the turnaround, but the investments I've been focusing on do not
		rely upon heavy operational turnaround. So
	OP	Yeah, yeah.
	Di Meo	You should explain to me better exactly what the what they
		are after and what they do

	OP	Yeah, I think it's probably
	Di Meo	-so that I can see if I can help
	OP	It's probably much more the former because I did go over the list of potential candidates with Ruslan as well, so he did see the, you know, the CVs of people that were interesting to him. I
		do have to say that I'm, you know, just in the HR process. So, I'm mostly giving the parameters and the criteria of who we're looking for. That's why usually
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP	-the next step would be just to have a more detailed call with the partner on this case because he can give you all of the details about the project, what they're looking for as well as any of, you know, the technicalities of how you can work together.
	Di Meo	Yeah. Okay. [].
00:07:23	OP	And you did say that you're currently working independently, correct?
	Di Meo	Yeah. I retired from working for others. I only invest my money and
	OP	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-family-office money
	OP	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-in distressed investments.
	OP	Yeah.
	Di Meo	And so, I keep doing so. So, I'm still invested in the space.
	OP	Perfect.
	Di Meo	I just do it for my own account
	OP	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-and a couple of family offices that I

	OP	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-work in relationship with.
00:08:00	OP	Perfect. So, I would love to take this further and just set up a
		call with you and Ruslan, if that's okay. We can have a regular
		call, or if you'd like we can do a Skype call as well, which
		would be kind of more of a professional meeting.
	Di Meo	Whatever you guys want. I'm not [].
	OP	[Simultaneous talk] Okay. So, I should probably the email that
		I sent you the message to was one that I found online, so
		perhaps it doesn't actually belong to you. It was
		dimek10@hotmail.com.
	Di Meo	No, the email is correct.
	OP	Oh, okay.
	Di Meo	Maybe it went into spam.
	OP	Okay, perfect. So, how about I coordinate there? Generally
		speaking, you have more availability in the mornings?
	Di Meo	Early afternoons
	OP	Early afternoon. That's European time, let's see. Okay, do you
		already have a particular day that's most comfortable for you?
	Di Meo	Let me check, one second. Tomorrow and Friday are probably
		best because then, I have a guest coming to Greece.
	OP	Tomorrow and Friday, okay, perfect. So, I will consult on our
		end to see if we can schedule this.
	Di Meo	Sure, sure.
	OP	And then, we can take it from there. So, thank you so much for
		your time
	Di Meo	Alright, thanks Lisa, have a good day.
	OP	-and I look forward to staying in touch.
	1	

Di Meo	Bye, now. Bye.
OP	Right. Thank you, bye.
Di Meo	[].
	[Meeting ends]

Date of the meeting: May 26th, 2021

Name and duration of the recording: 20210526_120439 | 00:19:24

Time	Side	Transcript
stamp		
00:00:00	OP1	[Meeting starts]
		00:00:40 - Still not there, but hopefully soon.
00:01:48	OP2	How old is he?
	OP1	Here he is. Hello?
	Di Meo	Hello?
	OP1	Hi, hi, hello, pleased to meet you, how are you?
	Di Meo	Very well. Can you give me a second? I'm trying to put the
		headset on.
	OP1	Yeah, no problem, yeah, go ahead.
	OP2	[].
	OP1	Yeah.
	OP2	[].
	OP1	Yeah, we'll wait.
	Di Meo	Can you hear me?
	OP1	I can hear you perfectly, would it be possible to operate the
		camera please? Because I can hear you, I cannot see you.
	Di Meo	Yeah, no, I'm [] in all audio, so

	OP1	Sorry?
	Di Meo	Yeah, it's only audio. Only audio.
	OP1	It's only audio?
	Di Meo	Yeah. Is there a problem?
	OP1	Is it possible to operate the camera?
	Di Meo	Because I'm currently on a beach in Greece, so I'm not sure you want to see me on the beach.
	OP1	With what? It's not a problem.
	Di Meo	Fantastic. Nice to meet you, first of all.
	OP1	You too. Again, I'm asking, would it be possible, I can even
		wait for five minutes, in order to operate the camera? It will be
		much easier for me.
00:03:36	Di Meo	Yes, sure.
	OP1	Okay, I'm waiting. Thank you very much.
	Di Meo	Thanks.
00:07:35	OP1	Hello? Hello?
	Di Meo	Hello?
	OP1	Yeah, are you okay?
	Di Meo	I'm fantastic, thanks. And you?
	OP1	Yeah. Can you open the camera? Is it okay?
	Di Meo	Say it again, sorry?
	OP1	Can you operate the camera please?
	Di Meo	Yes, one second.
	OP1	Thank you very much. Oh, perfect.
	Di Meo	Can you see me?
	OP1	Yeah. Oh, hello.

00:08:10	Di Meo	I'm on the beach in Greece, so
	OP1	Perfect, this is the perfect great.
	OP2	Wow, that looks good. That looks good.
	OP1	I want to tell you, I'm envying you, I wish we were quite the
		opposite. You're sitting with the suit and a tie, and I'm sitting
		with a bathing suit. I wouldn't even bother to put a shirt on. So,
		thank you very much for []
	Di Meo	I think for a while I'm done with suit and ties.
	OP1	Yeah.
	OP2	Yes.
	OP1	But again, if you are seeing some nice ladies moving there, just
		move the camera a bit, okay? share it with us, okay?
	Di Meo	With pleasure, with pleasure.
	OP1	Yeah, don't be
	OP2	How hot is it there? Not?
	Di Meo	It's 28 degrees.
	OP2	About the same.
	OP1	So, first of all, I asked David this is David.
	OP2	Hi.
	OP1	David Brown, he will present himself.
	Di Meo	Nice to meet you.
	OP1	I asked him to join us. First of all, thank you very much for
		having this call with us.
	Di Meo	My pleasure.
	OP1	And I'm sorry for bothering you in the middle of your
	OP2	Your vacation.

	Di Meo	No problem at all.
00:09:16	OP1	Yeah. So, as far as I understand, Lisa talked with you and
		explained you in general lines what we are looking for and what
		we are dealing with. If you would like me, I can repeat
		everything from the beginning.
	Di Meo	It would be helpful, because I'm not sure I quite understand
		how I can help you, but so if you can give me again, exactly
	OP1	Okay, yeah.
	Di Meo	-a snapshot of the situation.
	OP1	No problem. In a minute David will go more into details. In
		general lines, we are representing as a consultance
		[consultancy] firm an high-net individual from China, that
		dealing with several aspects of investments like coal mines,
		energy, maritime and other businesses. And he now very keen
		on trying to find some business opportunity of distressed assets
		in southern Europe. This is why we asked our analysts and Lisa
		to try to find a more suitable individual to help us with that, and
		your name came up among others, and this is why we asked
		Lisa to call you. And as far as we understand, this is what Lisa
		reported, it's that you are an expert in such fields in France and
		Italy, that might be very interesting for us.
00:10:51	Di Meo	Okay, yeah. Not in the sector specifically, but generally
		speaking, I spent most of my career taking over assets out of,
		let's call them Chapter 11 type proceedings
	OP1	Okay.
	Di Meo	-in the in Europe in general, and obviously with a focus on
		Italy, which is a jurisdiction I know better, and have better
		relationships
	OP1	Okay.
	Di Meo	-given that I'm an Italian national.

	OP1	Okay. So, first of all, Italy, it might be very interesting for us,
		and this is in a nutshell. I will let David get into more into
		details, and I hope we can find the mutual path for both of us.
		Hopefully, as far as I understood, you are now more of an
		independent person, you are looking for
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP1	Yeah. so, I hope we will find something to do together.
	OP2	So, Di Meo, first of all let me, just a brief introduction of who
		we are, what are we doing, and my name is David, I've been
		in the mining and maritime industry for the past, I think, 30
		years. I've worked with a lot of Russian companies and Chinese
		companies. [], Rosatom, all kinds of very large energy and,
		you know, passing a lot of commodities from China to Russia to
		Japan. Our customer, apparently, is dealing with passenger and
		ferries between China and Japan. He's very lucrative, he's a
		backbone business. He's dealing with mining of lithium in
		China, and he's moving this lithium all over Asia for, you
		know, the battery business. As such, there is a lot of money
		parking in Hong Kong currently, and we are looking into
		diversify our investments in Europe right now.
		00:13:17 - I don't know if you know the situation in Hong
		Kong, but it's the Chinese government is pushing forward to
		have access to all the banks over there, and in particularly high-
		net worth individuals, and those people are looking into better
		investment and to bringing or take out the money and invest in
		places in Europe. We are the nature of our customer is mainly
		maritime do you hear us? Hello?
	OP1	Hello?
	OP2	We lost him.
	OP1	Hello?
-	•	

	OP2	No, no, we have to reconnect []. He doesn't [].
	OP1	Internet is unstable, we lost connectivity.
	Guest	Ours?
	OP1	Yes.
	OP2	I don't think it's ours.
	OP1	No?
	OP2	No. we cannot connect at all, so
	Guest	No, it's ours.
	OP2	Ours? Okay. [] here? No.
00:17:15	OP1	Yeah, no.
	OP2	We just can close it, [].
	OP1	Hmm?
00:17:34	OP2	I just hired two people from here. [].
		00:19:05 - אור, את שומעת אותנוי [Hebrew: Or, can you hear
		us?].
		[Meeting ends]

Date of the meeting: May 26th, 2021

Name and duration of the recording: 20210526_122802 | 00:38:57

Time stamp	Side	Transcript
00:00:00	OP2	[Meeting starts] 00:00:30 - Did he see it? No?
	OP1	No, not yet.
	OP2	Okay.
	OP1	Okay. Send him please that we are

	OP2	On the air.
	OP1	-on the air, and we're waiting.
		00:02:49 - Did he read the message? Is it possible, somebody
		can call him?
00:03:32	OP2	Send him an email as well.
	OP1	Yeah, [].
	OP2	Yeah. Okay.
	OP1	We are waiting.
	OP2	Maybe he's going back to the place that he can speak to us.
	OP1	Oh, okay. Hi again.
	OP2	Here we are again.
	Di Meo	[] again.
	OP2	Yeah
	OP1	Yeah, we're sorry.
	OP2	Terribly sorry about that. It's always this way in the office, but
		it's okay.
	Di Meo	It's okay, I changed
	OP2	You changed already?
	Di Meo	-I changed my
	OP2	Changed location?
	Di Meo	-yeah, so I hope you can see me here too.
00:04:24	OP2	Di Meo, where did you stop hearing me?
	Di Meo	Hong Kong, change of situation in China, and the willingness to
		diversify in Europe.
	OP2	Okay. So, as I mentioned, our, you know, the person that we
		actually represent, we have two actually business places that we
		would like to have your expertise. One is in Hong Kong, and

the other one, we are looking for, as I-- as we mentioned, distressed companies within Italy, France, mainly Europe. You mentioned Italy. But we, as I understand from Lisa and from your experty [expertise –], you are well-familiar with distressed assets. You know the financial behind them. We come from the operation side, so the operation is there. Once the financial aspect is resolved, then we can actually take the company into a different place. And before we elaborate more about the current company that we would like to have your help with, can you give us some highlights of what you have done before, what kind of expertise you have, which companies that you work with, so we can go to our customer and show him and then get the 'OK' to put you on board and work with you? Frankly saying, I don't need you to come to Hong Kong, it's email, Zoom, will be as-- fit as well. And the next thing if you may, is if you have any possible investment, this is my part in the game, we would like to start moving assets from Hong Kong to Europe.

00:06:32 | Di Meo

Okay, so first, on my background. So, I studied in Bocconi University, Milan, in business administration, more on the quantitative aspect of things. I studied one year in an exchange program in the US, at UCLA California. And then I got hired straight away out of university from JP Morgan to start their analyst program in M&A. After a quick three years in M&A, from 2000 to 2003, I went into working for investment funds. The first part of my career was in private equity funds, specifically with TPG, a US-based firm which today manage \$100 billion in various asset classes. Back in the days when I was an associate at TPG, we were only doing private equity. And after that experience, I decided to-- obviously the private equity job was 7 days a week, 24 hours, no sleeping, working like a dog for the Americans, and I didn't envisage my life to be like that. So, I tried--.

	OP2	What, the American part or the life?
00:08:09	OP2 Di Meo	Let's start with the life, but I've worked 20 years for the Americans, so it's for American companies, hedge funds and stuff, so anyway, I tried to get to use my private equity skillset to be applied to the hedge fund business, which was booming in 2005, and people with my background from private equity had moved into hedge funds working half of the time, and make twice the money. So, the proposition was appealing to a, back then, 27-years-old guy. So, I, after a bit of search, I ended up
		working for HBK which was a 20-years-old New York-based hedge fund, quite popular at the time, a still relevant hedge fund to date. And then my career has been in a hedge fund from 2005 to last year.
	OP2	00:09:12 - What I've done for hedge funds was basically investing in distressed debt, either in distressed loans or distressed bonds. So either through negotiating on a private basis, when you have to restructure alone and convert it into a new loan or private equity, or on the public market side, when you buy a public bond, and you need to follow a public type restructuring proceeding, in order to take over control of the companies, or just improve your credit worthiness of the investment through a restructuring. So that's what I've done for hedge funds and Barclays [] in the last 15 years. In the last
		banks? I mean, European banks, or American banks only?
00:10:06	Di Meo	No, so, basically the sourcing, it comes two ways for loans. The public market—sorry, the quasi-public market in London, because the difference in loan trading in the US is public instruments, also for regulation purposes, in Europe it's a private instrument. So the over the counter trading that happens in London among investment banks of loans, is for loans which are large loans of private equity owned companies, usually

		loans arranged by large investment banks. And then it comes to
		the more local market, where you have private loans, not
		trading in London, but sitting in the [] of European banks,
		and then the sourcing is not through the trading desks in London
		where you do have [] through Bloomberg. But you need to go
		and speak to the banks, Intesa, UniCredit, see what they have in
		their book, and try to see if something is marked, is provisioned
		for at attractive prices, such that you can bilaterally make an
		offer to UniCredit and Intesa in case they wanna sell the loan,
		and then you take over the loan from them, and then you
		directly face the company. So the
	OD2	Have well are you connected to Daraleya Santander UniCredit?
	OP2	How well are you connected to Barclays, Santander, UniCredit?
00:11:32	Di Meo	Well, obviously, you might appreciate that management teams
		can change all the time, so
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-at one point in time you might be well-connected to a specific
		institution, and two years later you're not. Obviously, when it
		comes to the trading business of Barclays, well-connected, but
		I'm well-connected to most of the distressed debt trading
		businesses of investment banks. One of my best friends who
		runs the global business of Morgan Stanley, I'm very well-
		connected with Stifel, which is the independent broker that
		trades in Europe and in the US, distressed loans and bonds.
		Barclays, for obvious reasons. JP Morgan, because I used to
		work there. I have friends at Goldman Sachs. So when it comes
		to London contacts in investment banks, again, people can
		change, management teams can change, but when you've been
		in the market for so long, it takes a few phone calls to then
		generate the right contacts in those institutions, to have at least
		their [].
		00:12:45 - When it comes to European banks, I would say only
		the Italian ones I can really have call into senior people at the
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		banks to be listened in to. Because in the other markets, to be
		honest, I let the investment banks do the sourcing, while in Italy
		I used to do the sourcing myself, of investment opportunities.
		So, that's a pole part
	OP2	Which banks did you work with in Italy?
00:13:12	Di Meo	Well, I can get to any bank in Italy through my contacts, but in
		terms of UniCredit, Intesa definitely which cover 90% of the
		marketplace, they definitely I definitely have good contacts
		there.
	OP2	Okay.
	Di Meo	But they cover 90% of the marketplace.
	OP2	Okay.
	Di Meo	My father was a 30-year banker at Intesa, so I still have
		relationship from there. So, the last 15 years of my career, I've
		spent working for hedge funds to invest in distressed debt.
		Public, private, directly-sourced or market-sourced in London.
		In the last few years I worked for Sound Point Capital, which is
		a relatively new investment manager which was born in 2007, I
		believe, and now manage more than \$20 billion. And I was
		doing the usual stuff, so London office covering the European
		distressed market. In the last few years I did start making some
		personal investments, alongside the larger hedge fund
		investments, and so I decided last year to resign from Sound
		Point and to focus only on my personal investments and some
		investments where I involved, also family offices that I know.
		So I put
	OP2	I sorry I can tell you it's quite impressive what you're telling
		me, with regard to the network you are having. And I would
		like to take this conversation just a little bit sideways, sideways
		from our current distressed company in Hong Kong. As I
		mentioned to you before, we have in a range of currently, we

would like to touch on between \$70 to 150 million of investment in Europe. Take out money from Hong Kong and park it in any distressed company, because this is what our customer is looking at. He's very much similar to your background, but mainly in the Chinese company, but you know, governmental, all those government distressed companies that he took over, this is where he made his fortune. And I would like to know, do you have-- with your contacts, can we-- can you see any potential investments in Italy, France, England, which you might think of?

00:16:10 Di Meo

Look, I'm currently in the phase of managing companies that I purchased. So, in the last year and a half, I've not been actively searching the market for new investments. I mean, I'm doing stuff, tiny stuff here and there, but I have two very large investments that I own which, again, it's kind of the make-it-or-break-it kind of situations for me. So, I'm 100% focused on-- in one case it's a company that I have already taken over, I have 50% shareholdings, it's in the waste management business, and I'm currently in the phase of studying the exit opportunities. And I can tell you a bit more about that.

00:17:03 - In other companies, the largest Italian ferry business that takes people from Italian mainland to Sardinia and Sicily, I am the largest creditor. I'm currently in the fight with the shareholder in the context of Chapter 11 proceedings to restructure my creditor position into shareholding. It's a catfight because, as you might expect, any ship owner in the world doesn't want to let the business go and have the creditors insinuate themselves into the equity. So, that's an open fight, creditor fight, that I'm doing and for which there is also a lot of public press coverage in Italy. So, if you do a bit of search on my name, you'd see my name associated with this Moby-Tirrenia number one ferry operator.

	OP2	What is the name? What is the name, once again?
00:18:09	Di Meo	The company is called Moby, M-O-B-Y, Moby-Tirrenia, T-I-R-
		R-E-N-I-A. It's a 700-million-debt company with 76 vessels
		doing various routes between Italy mainland and Sardinia and
		Sicily, which are the two main
	OP2	What are the what is the size of the vessels?
	Di Meo	Oh, we're talking about vessels that can take, I mean, cars,
		probably 100, I don't know, a few tens of cars and hundreds of
		passengers. I mean, if you type 'Moby Italian ferry', you would
		see the type of boats they have, vessels they have. So, now I am
		in Chapter 11 proceedings, I'm the largest creditor, so I hold the
		key to the vote for the restructuring. So, it will be a tough
		negotiation in 2021, this year, to cut a deal with the shareholder.
		So, this is the other second large, large investment that I own,
		and where I have involved family-office money into my trade,
		which I completely manage, so it's under my complete control.
00:19:41	OP1	Is it something that
	Di Meo	So
	OP1	-is it something that might be interesting for us, as an invest
	Di Meo	I think, look, I think if I have to be honest, I think that the
		waste management business, I have, kind of, it's interesting if
		you know the sector, it's obviously 50% shareholding, so there
		is a question of, you wouldn't control 100% of the company,
		because my partner with the entrepreneur would stay in there.
		But there are basically three shareholdings in three different
		companies. Tomorrow I'm selling one to him, the other two are
		very large in potential size, we're talking about tens of millions
		of value, and I own the shares, so they are ready to go, so to
		speak. But I don't know if you care about the waste
		management sector.

	OP1	It's something that might be interesting, yes.
	OP2	This is in Calabria, or it's up north in Italy?
00:20:40	Di Meo	No, no, no, no, it's stuff, which is very [] deep compared to the southern Italian waste management stuff. It's in Liguria and Lombardi regions, so
	OP2	
	OP2	Okay, [].
	Di Meo	-there is no there was a public bond before it the company, there is the eyes of the public prosecutors every day of the week, so there is no tricky stuff going on. Obviously, it's a continuous fight to get the landfill extensions, but it's potentially one of the most profitable business without doing much, as you might appreciate. But we can talk in another session about that. With respect to the ferry operator, to the ferry business, it's I would say it's potentially extremely attractive after I've cut the deal with the entrepreneur because now, the only thing I have to offer to you is the largest bondholding position in the capital structure which gives you the vote, but you need to know how to manage it with Italian court, it's the court of Milan, in order to make sure that you ultimately take over control of the vessels. So, I would say, for somebody who is not an expert in distressed proceedings in Italy, I would say, let me work out the trade, let me work out the process, and then at the end of it, once I own shares or
		restructured debt, then those are ready to sell to be sold. 00:22:25 - If instead, they are more under time constraints, then obviously I can explain to you the trade, I can still manage the trade for you, I can put your money into the trade if that's what you're looking for, depending on the size as well.
	OP1	I have two questions.

	Di Meo OP1	But it's more complicated because it's not a done deal. It's like I'm working out, through the restructuring, the partial takeover of the fleet. I want to ask where it's all heading, what is your what do you think, how it's going to end up, all this thing?
00:23:04	Di Meo	Tough to say. I think it's going to be a debt for so, basically, my plan is to use my key voting rights to minimise the recovery of other creditors and cut the deal with the equity to co-own the equity with him.
	OP1	Okay.
	Di Meo	That's my plan. So, I think my bond position will be restructured into new debt and new equity with governance rights.
	OP1	I understand. My second question is, we are not really familiar with this area in the world. Do they have any competitors, or they are the only ones that doing this line?
	Di Meo	There are three competitors in Italy doing this route.
	OP1	Okay.
	Di Meo	Some routes are subsidised by the Italian state, Obviously there is a big game there that is currently going on between the company which is in Chapter 11, which has the subsidies from the state, and the government. So, it's very public situation, that's why I said there is press articles every day in Italy on this situation. And there are three competitors, the other two are larger because they are expanded internationally, so they have stronger financial resources. The one where I'm invested in is the largest in terms of ferry passengers in Italy, but it's not an international business, so it's weaker from the financial standpoint. But it has a big fleet, as I said, so it has 76 vessels between passenger ferry

	OP1	It's okay.
	Di Meo	-also, they obviously move products, not only people. And they
		also had tugboats, which is a concessions-based business where
		you cut a deal with the port authorities and you tug various
		boats or things that need to be tugged with your own vessels.
	OP2	Where is your
	Di Meo	So there are various aspects to the business.
	OP2	What is do you wanna ask?
00:25:30	OP1	Yeah, yeah, I want to ask, who are the competitors? What the
		name of the competitors?
	Di Meo	Grimaldi, G-r-i-m-a-l-d-i, and the largest business in the world,
		which is MSC.
	OP2	Oh, MSC [].
	Di Meo	M-S-C. He's an Italian guy who is based in Switzerland.
	OP2	Tell me, how would they feel how would you feel to have a
		Chinese investor behind you, taking over, should this be the
		case?
00:26:11	Di Meo	Well, look, I'm a financial investor, so whichever route makes
		me the most money is what I go for. So, I'm not I give you the
		way I think. I've not been I know that one of the two
		competitor is interested in some of the vessels and some of the
		routes, but I've not contacted him because I want to have
		negotiating leverage in my hands and I do have a negotiating
		leverage when I've done the deal with the current shareholder.
		So, what I want to say is that I'm obviously playing the
		restructuring in the Italian Court of Milan and doing the steps
		with my lawyers to maximise my negotiating leverage when it
		comes the moment of voting a restructuring plan. So, that's
		what I've been doing. Obviously, at one point in time, if there
		needs to be financial resources, having a financial backer would

		help out. I've not looked for it, because at this point in time, I'm
		not at that stage where I need it. If it comes the point where I
		need it, I would pick up the phone and make the phone calls for
		my contacts. But it's something we can look into it.
00:27:35	OP2	In terms of financial institution, UniCredit or any others one,
		you mentioned two very impressive and seem to be lucrative
		investment possibilities. Your contacts there can bring other
		assets into play?
	Di Meo	Yeah, I mean, with me, given that I've done distressed business
		in Italy for many, many years, probably more, I would say more
		than anybody else, but I only pick and choose few situations,
		I'm not the NPL portfolio kind of guy that buys 100 loans for
		peanut recovery and does a statistical game of investment. I
		pick up individual loans where I can invest in the company.
		Where there is operations and there is a private equity angle,
		ultimately. So, I would say that bringing new assets to invest in
		is not a problem. As I said, I've not actively searched for stuff
		in the last year and a half, I keep getting phone calls about
		sourcing opportunities of investments. It takes me one to two
		months to generate incredible amount of investment
		opportunities. Now, the type of investor I am, I only do very
		few things which I have full control of and where I know the
		trade very well. I don't just put my money into ten things,
		because I hope that two will work.
		00:29:15 - So, the sourcing and the origination of investment
		opportunities in the distressed space is not a problem at all.
		Being it buying loans or debt, or buying companies through the
		provision of super [] of new finance, new money.
00:29:39	OP1	Yeah, look, I'm coming more from the my experience is in the
		Russian market, and in order to maintaining this market, you
		need to be very creative. So, usually when we are doing
		business in other places in the world, we are looking for

		somebody that knows the culture and of course the business
		·
		climate in this certain area, and we for him to help us.
		otherwise, we will never succeed. So, I'm I would like to take
		the Russian creativity to ask you, would it be possible, of
		course, through you, to join forces with one of the competitors
		of this shipping company in order to bring it to the end and to
		achieve the goal?
00:30:31	Di Meo	At the right point in time, yes. I don't think now is the right
		point in time because there is also antitrust considerations to be
		taken into account and you want I want to speak to third
		parties only at a point where I have strong negotiating leverage.
		Today, I'm just a creditor.
	OD1	
	OP1	Okay.
	Di Meo	So, what do I bring to the table? It's just okay, creditor rights
		and the key hold in the restructuring but it's not enough. So,
		again, it's I would be I would just create first the path to
		being the winner in the restructuring, and once I have that path
		clear and approved by the court then obviously, I can see how to
		maximise my value by facing third parties, including the
		competitor.
		•
	OP1	And who is the most suitable competitor that might be helpful
		for us, according to your experience?
	Di Meo	There are two competitors. One is Grimaldi that's always wants
		to make Moby go bankrupt because he's interested in taking
		over the routes. So, I don't think MSC has any interest in Italy,
		so it's but it's there are antitrust considerations, so you can't,
		technically, I think, you cannot go from a three-player-market to
		a two-player-market [] situation where there is [], or there
		can be just the disposal of certain routes, rather than the overall
		business. So, it's not straightforward, because as I said, there
		are antitrust considerations

	OP1	I understand.
	Di Meo	-to be taken into account.
00:32:25	OP2	We know quite well MSC, we don't know, to be very frank,
		Grimaldi is I have heard the name a few times in the past, but
		I don't have enough information about this company. What are
		your contacts with those MSC, we can actually bring contact,
		bring money from there as well, so
	Di Meo	I have not chased any contact with these guys because I'm
		waiting to have negotiating leverage, so
	OP2	Let me take you just one second sorry.
	Di Meo	The lawyer of Grimaldi has got in touch with me in the past,
		there have been just exchange of views, let's say, but I've been
		playing my game, he's playing his game.
	OP1	I understand. So, I think it's a bit premature.
	OP2	Yeah, it is.
	OP1	But the path is quite, quite good.
	OP2	But I
	OP1	I think if we will be patient, it's a matter of how long? It's a
		year, half a year, one month?
00:33:35	Di Meo	I think the restructuring will be clear at the next six months.
	OP1	I understand.
	OP2	I like the idea of 76 vessels, I think it's a good opportunity for
		us, to be very frank. We can exchange vessels as well. But we
		need to do some internal investigation and come back to you to
		let you know that we are, you know, we would like to
		cooperate. And I would like to take one step backwards and ask
		you, how do you work in terms of consulting as a you work on
		success, commission, a monthly?

	Di Meo	I've never I mean, since I left the hedge fund business, I never
		kind of, consulted, because I'm an investor. I have money to
		manage, my own family office money. I make more money
		investing 10 million and making it 50 million, rather than
		asking for a consultancy position.
	OP2	I totally understand and respect So. as I mentioned before
	OFZ	I totally understand and respect. So, as I mentioned before,
		should we have this money allocated, can we come back to your
		few investment possibilities, whether it's, you said, [].
00:34:56	Di Meo	We can see if there is a way where I can help out and maybe we
		could invest, or, there are ways that need to make sense for me,
		because as I said, it's I'm in the business of making taking
		the millions and multiply them. So, I wouldn't
	OP1	We all do.
	OP2	We're all on the same boat.
	Di Meo	Yes, but I was paid for working for the hedge fund, I was paid
		million dollars, and I gave there up because I thought it was bad
		opportunity cost compared to what I so, what I'm saying, as I
		said, I think a co-invest some co-investment or managing of
		the assets with the performance being things like that can
		probably make more sense to me, but let's discuss that if we
		identify a situation which really can get to the finish line.
00:35:56	OP2	I totally agree with you. What I would like to do is the
		following, as always, if you have anything later on to I'd like
		to take it over to our board and present it to our customer. I'm
		very keen, I can see huge opportunity with this vessels,
		exchanging vessels between Hong Kong and Italy, I can see a
		lot of potential here.
	OP1	Also the waste management sounds very good.
	OP2	Yeah, yeah, that's true as well.
	OP1	Yeah.

	OP2	Let us go back to our board, present you, present the
		opportunity. Is it possible for us to come back to another
		meeting, if only if you are in Greece because if you're not in
		Greece
	Di Meo	Sure, I need to leave now anyway, so
	OP2	-if you come in a tie, we don't want a meeting.
	Di Meo	I don't think I'll ever wear a tie for the rest of my life.
	OP2	[Laughs].
	OP1	Good for you, a very clever decision.
	Di Meo	It's the upside of having made some money, I don't have to
		wear a tie anymore.
	OP2	That's true.
	OP1	Perfect.
	OP2	That's true.
00:37:10	Di Meo	But by the way, I'm currently based just my I'm based in
		Greece and in Southern Europe from May to October, and I'm
		based between Dubai and Miami from November to April, so
		that those are my whereabouts at the moment.
	OP2	I can tell you one thing. We work fast, very fast. And we were
		set to have a mission to start, you know, taking out the money
		from Hong Kong. So let us come back to you, it will take us a
		week, not more than that. I think we have a meeting
	Di Meo	Absolutely.
	OP2	-I think we have a meeting on Thursday, right?
	OP1	Yeah, probably, yeah.
	OP2	We have a meeting on Thursday. I'm very impressed, to tell you
		the truth. I liked those two possible investments. I think we

	might have another person in the meeting in our next meeting,
	and let us see and then come back to you, okay?
Di Meo	I'll try to take it from a more civilized
OP2	No, no, no.
OP1	No, no, [].
Di Meo	-more civilized location.
OP2	It's a perfect view. He will like it a lot as well, so
OP1	Yeah.
OP2	Please stay, please be the same. Oh my god.
OP1	Great.
OP2	Life is great.
Di Meo	Thank you guys, speak soon.
OP1	Thank you very much, enjoy your life.
OP2	Sorry for [].
Di Meo	[] nice meeting you.
OP1	You too.
OP2	So bye, bye.
OP1	Thank you very much.
Di Meo	Say again
OP1	Have a great day.
OP2	Thank you.
Di Meo	Bye-bye.
OP1	Bye-bye.
Di Meo	Thanks, bye.
	[Meeting ends]
	OP2 OP1 OP2 OP1 OP2 OP1 OP2 Di Meo OP1 OP2 Di Meo OP1 OP2 Di Meo OP1 OP2 OP1 OP2 OP1 OP2 OP1 OP2 OP1 OP2 OP1

Date of the meeting: June 3rd, 2021

Name and duration of the recording: 20210603_100445 | 01:13:45

Time	Side	Transcript
stamp		
00:00:00	OP1	[Meeting starts]
		00:00:37 - I'm starting.
		00:01:28 - Hello? Hello? Hi, sir. How are you?
	Di Meo	I'm good and you?
	OP1	Fine thank you.
	OP2	[].
	OP1	Really, I'm disappointed, why I have nothing to see?
	OP2	Yeah. Come on.
	Di Meo	I wanted to have a more easy conversation to understand and explain. So, I left the beach, and I came home to take this video call.
	OP1	I understand.
	OP2	I think we should change locations.
	OP1	Yeah, the view last time was much better.
	OP2	The view last time was much better. You didn't see, but
	Di Meo	I'm glad to I'm glad
	OP2	You didn't see, but the girls that were passing behind. I didn't know what to say, you know. [Laughs]
00:02:19	OP1	By the way, where is it in Greece?
	Di Meo	It's Vouliagmeni, which is Athens.
	OP2	Okay.
	OP1	Oh, I thought it's Nammos or something like that.

	Di Meo	No, no, it's not the time of the year yet.
	OP1	Yeah.
	Di Meo	I'll be there in August.
	OP1	Perfect. Great place, by the way.
	Di Meo	Yeah. It's gotten a bit too expensive and a bit too flashy but
	OP1	Yeah. [Simultaneous talk]
	Di Meo	-I've been going to Mykonos for the last 25 years and it's changed a lot. [Simultaneous talk]
	OP1	Yeah, but a lot of girls that looking for the right husband.
	Di Meo	Yeah. Yeah. Good for them. good for them. [All laugh] Good luck.
	OP1	Yeah. Okay. So, first of all, thank you very much for having this call with us.
	Di Meo	My pleasure.
00:03:08	OP1	We had several discussions, and we would like to discuss it with
		you in order to try to move things forward.
	Di Meo	Sure.
	OP1	We looked at two things, the waste management, we didn't find much, but we have a few questions.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP1	And according to your suggestion, we also looked upon the vessel thing.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP1	And there, we found a lot, a lot of materials and articles. Yeah, I know why you're smiling, I smiled also. We saw that there are a lot of as-if problems, but it's nothing that fears us, quite the opposite. We finding in that a great opportunity for us, and this is exactly the things that we are looking for. So

	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP1	It's not we are not afraid of that, we are seeing it as an
		opportunity. And But still, it rised [raised] a few questions that
		we would like to discuss it with you if it's possible.
	Di Meo	Sure.
	OP1	I think there are things that we read in the articles, in the media,
		and I think that you know the truth better than the things that
		were written in the internet because you are there, and
		journalists sometimes would like to exaggerate or to sell
		newspapers.
00:04:38	Di Meo	Well, very simply, very simply, the owner and the company
		have been speaking to the press to give a certain idea to the
		7,000 employees and families, that effectively, the reason why
		the company is in such a situation it's not their fault
	OP1	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-which indeed, it is. So, it's the usual scapegoat attempt by the
		shareholder who is under terrible pressure because he has 7,000
		employees, 7,000 families, and the business is being it's the
		fifth generation in that family. There is a big, big pride thing
		going on against the Grimaldi family, which has been attacking
		them for the last year few years with fierce competition on the
		same routes. And so, it's a look, the guy, the owner is famous
		in Italy for being a nutcase, a drug addict, he was investigated in
		in Florida when he beat up his ex-wife. So, the guy is a nutcase.
	OP1	Okay.
00:05:56	Di Meo	Now, it's pretty clear, to everybody, it's pretty clear from the
		Facebook posts he put out. I have I mean, to be honest, I did
		deal with some Napolitan, from Naples, entrepreneurs, for
		example, in the waste management business but and I was
		expecting, everybody warned me that this ship owner was a
		different level of craziness. And also, in part, it's where I go in

terms of opportunities. I go where other institutional funds fear, fear for reputation damage, fear for physical threats. Obviously, I don't have a family, wife and kids, so I take this decision to take certain risks more lightly than others would. But that's also part of the opportunity.

00:06:54 - Ultimately, I think that-- I mean, usually, 99% of times, things go anyway the way they should go, I mean, if a shareholder doesn't have money to pay the creditors, the creditors in a normal jurisdiction, not that Italy is a normal jurisdiction, but quasi normal, ultimately, creditors take over the company or anyway, dictate the terms of the restructuring transaction. So, obviously, the temperature on this deal has been higher than most of others, and the personal attacks that the guy has made to me have been heavy. But I have large shoulders and I'm used to pressure, and that's where I create alpha for my money and my investors' money.

00:07:44 - As you might have seen, the fight is still there. There are these days two Chapter 11 filings on the two main companies. Chapter 11 in Italian is called 'Concordato', so when you read the word 'Concordato', in your mind it should be kind of Chapter 11. Chapter 11 filing on the two main companies, Moby and CIN. The bankruptcy court, at this point in time, will need to decide one of the two things. Option number one, they say, 'You guys have done too many syphoning of assets away from the company, too many bankruptcy crimes so that we cannot allow the Chapter 11, the Concordato, go forward, so, we'll declare a state of insolvency on the company.'

00:08:41 - And once that's done, the Minister of Economic Development in Rome will need to name a so-called 'extraordinary administration' to preserve the continuity of the business, and to preserve the employees, mostly. Once-- what

		that means is that there is a government-appointed new CEO,
		which will do one of the two things. One is, sell the business as
		a whole or sell the business in pieces, in a liquidation scenario.
	OP2	What would you prefer? [Simultaneous talk]
00:09:17	Di Meo	Because of the continuity, the territorial continuity between
		Italy and the main islands of Sardinia and Sicily, I don't think
		that we will go into a piecemeal liquidation scenario, and also
		for the job force considerations, which as you might appreciate
		in Italy it's very top in the priority list of the government. So, I
		think that some form of continuity of the business will happen,
		but that's obviously not a great scenario for my credits, my
		bonds, because I will recover, I think, way more than what I'm
		invested in, because the value is there, but obviously I do not
		have a say in the restructuring process because the
		commissioner comes in and he decides what to do. And once he
		liquidates, as a business, or as a piecemeal liquidation, he will
		distribute money to creditors.
		00:10:16 - So, yeah, I would end up in a passive situation where
		I just recover whatever is recovered and without any active
		governance in this situation. Scenario number two, which at this
		point in time I honestly give 50-50 probability between the two
		scenarios, the Chapter 11 filings are allowed by the court, the
		Bankruptcy Court of Milan, to proceed. Once they proceed,
		there are again two options that the court will chase. Option
		number one, which I think it's more likely, because the family
		has stolen money left, right and centre and conducted
		bankruptcy crimes, among which a very dangerous one which
		could potentially bring to the arrest of the board members and
		the owner, which is, they've been taking subsidies from the
		Italian state on the controlled company CIN, and they are taking
		the subsidies and distribute it upstream to Moby in a situation of
		financial distress, so, when you distribute dividends in a

situation of financial distress and then you go in Chapter 11, you have problems as a director.

00:11:27 - Not only that, this-- effectively, the public prosecutor in Milan will see as CIN using state money to pay dividends to the controlling company, Moby, which has destructed such money into private jets, a villa in Sardinia purchase, apartment in the centre of Milan purchase for the benefit of the owner and not of the company. That is a very serious crime in Italy, and that might prompt the public prosecutor to have a preliminary house arrest to the owner, which we have seen in other case, similar case in Italy. Also, because this is a very visible case, so the pressure on the public prosecutor will be high to give an example to the rest of Italy when these things happen.

00:12:19 - So, there is-- if we go down the path of the Chapter 11, there are two alternative scenarios, one where the court decides, 'Okay, I let the company to go through the Chapter 11 but the shareholder is out'. In some shape or form, they'll perform moral suasion by saying, 'Either you resign, or we'll proceed with a house arrest', things like that. Or in a more legal way, they will just pass a judgment that says that it's an interest of the company to remove the current board and the Court of Milan will name an independent Chief Restructuring Officer to run the Chapter 11 proceedings.

00:13:02 - Option number two, they somehow manage to leave the current board and current shareholder in charge of the process. In that case, again, the counterparty in the negotiations will be the current shareholder which, obviously, he would do anything to screw me and the other way around, similarly. So, it's an open battle which will last a few months because this Chapter 11 proceedings, once they are started, it takes 9-12 months to finish off, when in the meantime you have judicial commissioners appointed by court to do due diligence on the

o do a report to the creditors of 200 pages saying,
situation, I think you should better off voting in
e Chapter 11 or voting against.'
So, all that process starts and, again, it's an
process with the court and it lasts a long time. But
are as a creditor with a key voting stake in a position
vernance on the situation, and so that's where I
to be. We'll soon discover and so, depending on that,
entially consider an alternative competing plan,
plan, to the one that the shareholder, if he was left in
ald put forward, where I bring the votes and
the money that you might you guys might have and
ith an industrial and not only financial plan for the
s, that could be appealing to the Bankruptcy Court.
So, that's where the situation stands. And again, the
are not even financially sophisticated or
y sophisticated to understand a lot of these things.
like reading The Financial Times commenting on a
uring or US restructuring where the journalist has
nderstanding of finance and bankruptcy proceedings
n Italy it's just, like, very poor. And so, it's more a
nalism rather than anything else.
estion you might have, please go ahead.
e very frank with you, we went through the papers,
my people to start reading and understanding who's
no's actually controlling the power. But the most
ning is that we were approved between \$50 to \$70
o ahead and work on some deal with you to see how
erage it in terms of what is the leverage that we get
money, but more importantly is the vessels
Frankly saying, in the end part, for us it's important

	I	1 . 1 1 70 1 . 11 . 0
		about the vessels. If we can, you know, touch basis on some of
		the vessels, and we would like to, of course, come to Italy and
		see them and check them, this is more of an opportunity for us.
		So, what we see and how we actually went to our management
		is, 'Okay, we need some leverage money in the beginning to
		give our person', yourself
	Di Meo	What do you mean by leverage money, so that I understand?
	OP2	Leverage money that you will control everything, that we don't
		put our money in somewhere that we won't see it anymore and
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	-we will be able to benefit out of a), maybe controlling the
		company, b), having the ability to get our hands on few of the
		vessels there. That's a very important aspect. For us it's a great
		opportunity because you know, I mean, there are not that many
		vessels for sale today, and after the COVID-19, things are
		picking up and we are looking to expand our vessel capacity
		right now. So, it's a
	Di Meo	In which jurisdiction?
	OP2	I'm sorry? Hong Kong, China, and Japan.
00:17:20	Di Meo	And do you think that I'm sure you have seen the specs of
		these passenger and cargo vessels?
	OP2	Some of them, yes. some of them, yes.
	Di Meo	Some of them are
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-worthwhile?
	OP2	We identify four that very much appeals to us. You know, it all
		depends on the sea level, what kind of what is the capacity, do
		they have a cargo capacity and person capacity, so our people

start, you know, looking at the vessels themselves. That's first and foremost. And that could be in this month also. So, this is our goal in this thing. Di Meo And to do that, just to be clear, to do that, you don't need me. I mean, you can just you would know where the vessels are, you can just have your people go [] the vessels, buy a ticket, and ' OP2 [Simultaneous talk] I know that. I know that, but reading some of the articles, we see that you have a lot of power in controlling this bankruptcy or Chapter 11 issue, so we yeah. Di Meo Yeah, that just, I think I I want to be very clear on the concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. OP2 Okay. Okay. Oo:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			will go into and first thing, we would like to come to Italy and
Di Meo And to do that, just to be clear, to do that, you don't need me. I mean, you can just you would know where the vessels are, you can just have your people go [] the vessels, buy a ticket, and OP2 [Simultaneous talk] I know that. I know that, but reading some of the articles, we see that you have a lot of power in controlling this bankruptey or Chapter 11 issue, so we yeah. Di Meo Yeah, that just, I think I I want to be very clear on the concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. Oo:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy			start, you know, looking at the vessels themselves. That's first
Di Meo And to do that, just to be clear, to do that, you don't need me. I mean, you can just you would know where the vessels are, you can just have your people go [] the vessels, buy a ticket, and (DP2 [Simultaneous talk] I know that. I know that, but reading some of the articles, we see that you have a lot of power in controlling this bankruptcy or Chapter 11 issue, so we yeah. Di Meo Yeah, that just, I think I I want to be very clear on the concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. Oo:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			and foremost. And that could be in this month also. So, this is
mean, you can just you would know where the vessels are, you can just have your people go [] the vessels, buy a ticket, and OP2 [Simultaneous talk] I know that. I know that, but reading some of the articles, we see that you have a lot of power in controlling this bankruptcy or Chapter 11 issue, so we yeah. Di Meo Yeah, that just, I think I I want to be very clear on the concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. O0:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			our goal in this thing.
can just have your people go [] the vessels, buy a ticket, and OP2 [Simultaneous talk] I know that. I know that, but reading some of the articles, we see that you have a lot of power in controlling this bankruptcy or Chapter 11 issue, so we yeah. Di Meo Yeah, that just, I think I I want to be very clear on the concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. OO:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.		Di Meo	And to do that, just to be clear, to do that, you don't need me. I
OP2 [Simultaneous talk] I know that. I know that, but reading some of the articles, we see that you have a lot of power in controlling this bankruptcy or Chapter 11 issue, so we yeah. Di Meo Yeah, that just, I think I I want to be very clear on the concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. O0:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy			mean, you can just you would know where the vessels are, you
of the articles, we see that you have a lot of power in controlling this bankruptcy or Chapter 11 issue, so we yeah. Di Meo Yeah, that just, I think I I want to be very clear on the concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. Oo:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			can just have your people go [] the vessels, buy a ticket, and
of the articles, we see that you have a lot of power in controlling this bankruptcy or Chapter 11 issue, so we yeah. Di Meo Yeah, that just, I think I I want to be very clear on the concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. Oo:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			
this bankruptcy or Chapter 11 issue, so we yeah. Di Meo Yeah, that just, I think I I want to be very clear on the concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. Oo:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.		OP2	[Simultaneous talk] I know that. I know that, but reading some
Di Meo Yeah, that just, I think I I want to be very clear on the concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. Oo:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			of the articles, we see that you have a lot of power in controlling
concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. O0:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			this bankruptcy or Chapter 11 issue, so we yeah.
structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. O0:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.		Di Meo	Yeah, that just, I think I I want to be very clear on the
state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million, but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. OP2 Okay. Okay. O0:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			concept of negotiating power. I am the largest creditor in the
but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. Oo:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			structure, in the controlling company, so even though there is a
the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. Oi:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			state credit at the subsidiary CIN, which is bigger, 180 million,
whole capital structure of the mother OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. O0:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			but it's unsecured, my €80 million of bonds can have sway in
OP2 So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300? Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. Oo:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			the bondholders' meeting of 300 million, which controls the
Di Meo Yes. OP2 Okay. Okay. Oi:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			whole capital structure of the mother
OP2 Okay. Okay. Oo:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.		OP2	So, you hold personally 80 million out of the 300?
00:19:15 Di Meo So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.		Di Meo	Yes.
cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.		OP2	Okay. Okay.
craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.	00:19:15	Di Meo	So, that gives control in the process to the extent, I have a
wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			cooperating debtor company that I can cut a deal with. For
that's unfortunately the guy OP2 Okay.			craziness reasons because the guy is a fucking nutcase who
OP2 Okay.			wakes up at 1 pm every day, he sniffs coke and gets drunk, and
			that's unfortunately the guy
00:19:45 Di Meo And he is not in the business, but he's still the son is a CEO.		OP2	Okay.
	00:19:45	Di Meo	And he is not in the business, but he's still the son is a CEO,
but the son is an idiot like a piece of wood, I've met him a few			but the son is an idiot like a piece of wood, I've met him a few
times, he cannot distinguish a cat from a tiger, I wouldn't let			times, he cannot distinguish a cat from a tiger, I wouldn't let

him run a pizzeria, let alone a complex shipping company. The
problem is that the son cannot touch anything unless the father
gives the okay, and the father is in a mental state that again, I've
described, and I mean, if it now, certain Facebook posts I
managed to get the public prosecutor to take them down, but the
guy is was, I mean, I can also show you, ranting stuff, thinking
that's behind my money there is Grimaldi that wants to make
him go bankrupt, which I assure you not, because if that was the
case, then I would have not even sit down at the negotiation.
00:20:44 - So, it's completely it's a complete nutcase because
99% of the shareholder at this point in time, they would have
understood very clearly that there was only one thing to do, sit
down with me, cut the deal and then force all the other creditors
to take the deal that we have decided, and the game was over.
And I'm a financial investor who's only after money, making
money, so it was very easy because I had no industrial angle on
the situation. So, it was the dumbest, simplest thing to do. And
because he cannot accept the concept that I put him in a corner
by filing a bankruptcy request two years ago, which has then
determined the liquidity squeeze, the necessity to file for
Chapter 11 and so on, in my request to partially govern the
company, he cannot accept that, and that's why he is gone in all
sorts of directions which made no sense, because he's still
looking for a financial investor which you might have read, it's
called 'Europa Investimenti', who have never done a deal of this
type, it's I have never seen them in my market space. And they
are so stupid themselves that they did negotiate with an out-of-
the-money equity shareholder instead of going to the largest
creditor that, in a Chapter 11, determines the vote of the
restructuring. So, it's wasted
Which is you.

OP2

00:22:13 | Di Meo

Yeah. Which they wasted so many months, this thing could have been done one year ago. So, again, going back to the negotiating power, in 99% of the situations this deal would have been already done, if the guy was 10% rational, not 50% rational, 10% rational. He is a nutcase, so my hope is that-because now he has a personal vendetta against me because he sees me as the guy who created this plot to take over the company and have his family being moved on the side, which is also from the documents not true because the last offer we sent was an offer where he was kept in control of the company, with my — in operational control — with my oversight, with my men, financial director, head of legal and so on, but I was telling him, I was giving him a deal where it says, 'You keep-- I get what I want financially, you keep operational control of the business, but I control what the fuck you do. And if you don't meet the business plan, then I take over also operational control.'

00:23:26 - So, he was given a bigger than life chance, which in 90% of the other restructuring deals, shareholders have not given, so the guy is completely nuts. Now, if the Bankruptcy Court of Milan does something from a moral suasion standpoint or legal standpoint to push him aside, then yes, definitely the new board would have-- would first turn to me, and I have the negotiating power that you mention. Or if the guy just realised that after having taken all the wrong decisions-- but he's gone so far down the road by filing lawsuits in New York, he's been shooting left, right and centre. He's-- I mentioned you last time, he's even filed a criminal complaint against me on the basis that my way of negotiating is an extortion just because I don't accept his offer.

00:24:30 - So, I was like-- he made an offer which was basically, I take a partial write-off and he controls 100% of the company. We said no as a bondholder group. And then he went

		to the court and said, 'Oh, this guy is extorting me'. Obviously,
		I had my criminal lawyer go to the court and the public
		prosecutor said, 'You don't have to worry about it, we know
		that the shareholder is a nutcase, and he is trying to put pressure
		on your guy because he thinks that ultimately, finance people
		care about reputation, and they will cave.' So, but that's what
		that's the situation we are talking about, so it's a fluid situation
		_
		where I need to gain that negotiating power that you are talking
		about only at the point where this guy, somehow, is put on the
		side by the court.
00:25:19	OP2	Assuming you have us behind you, what will in terms of
		money, and what will tilt over your position in the court? If you
		can say you have this amount of investment, or we can take this
		amount of
00:25:34	Di Meo	So, what I would do, what I would do is, in the eyes of the
		Bankruptcy Court, for a competing plan to be attractive,
		forgetting the vote dynamics, I need to show up I have not
		thought about it, but, like, my instinct would say, if we can
		show up with a plan which has your new money and maybe I
		put some more money myself in, which is, let's say, an equity
		capital increase in the company in exchange for the creditors to
		take a massive write-off. And I will say yes to that massive
		write-off because I will be more than compensated in the new
		structure, but through my 'yes', I can force the other creditors to
		take a massive write-off. And then we also say there is already a
		disposal plan, let's say you have four vessels that you really
		want and I can say we already have a bid on four plans which
		also finance the recovery of the creditors after the massive
		write-off, so we show up with a plan where we take over the
		equity, we split it in some way of we have to create a capital
		structure that makes sense for me and for you guys. And so, we
		have an equity deal in the old perimeter, and then we also have
		the advantage of taking out from the old perimeter four vessels
		and an animge of taking out from the old perimeter four vessels

		at a full price, or in the eyes of the court, we are also getting
		additional cash with
00:27:06	OP2	But how can I make sure that we will have the opportunity to
		buy those four vessels? Assuming we wanna put our hand on it-
	Di Meo	Because it would be part of the plan. Would be the part of the
		plan. So, I and as I said, we need to look into the details and
		we need to do the work and so on, but ballpark speaking, if I can
		go to the court and say, 'I have a financial and industrial
		backing', obviously if we put you the industrial hat, it's gonna
		be much more appealing to the court. They will, obviously just
		so you're clear, they will want to understand exactly who you
		guys are, who your ultimately source of fund is, the credibility,
		because if they smell something fishy, they will not allow it, and
		that goes beyond the law, it's about perception. And there will
		be people in Italy on the press that will say, 'Oh, the Chinese are
		coming' I don't know again, I think you said to me it was a
		Chinese man in this case.
	OP2	It's a Chinese, yes. Chinese man, yeah.
	Di Meo	Chinese [] yeah. So, I mean, Chinese have already bought
		some assets in Italy, they made a bit of a mess with Milan AC
		football club, they're being a bit of mess they're doing a bit of
		a mess with the Internazionale football club, so it's
	OP2	But Di Meo, you know, it's not a company that it's crystal clear.
	Di Meo	No, I understand.
	OP2	We are here because it's in distress, so, I mean [Chuckles]
	Di Meo	Yeah, yeah, yeah.
	OP2	Yeah.
00:28:36	Di Meo	No, no, I already warned you not I mean, I'm sure you do
		understand the dynamics, but Italy is one of those places where-

		- again, there will be people fighting against me and you and
		they will use this kind of arguments. Now, so, ballpark
		speaking, what could be attractive to the court and potentially
		also to have some other support by other creditors to vote the
		plan is one where we put fresh money in as a capital increase so
		we preserve the jobs. We have, as part of the plan, a disposal
		plan of X number of vessels at a fair market value, which we
		already know what the fair market values are because there was
		a as part of the Chapter 11 filings, there was a third party
		appraisal by a Bocconi professor of liquidation value and fair
		market value of the vessels. So, we know where the benchmark
		is, so if we can show [] say, 'We're paying 10% more than
		fair market value and we are getting you the cash', so that's an
		attractive plan to the court.
	OP2	Okay.
	Di Meo	So, that kind of plan
00:29:48	OP2	In terms of shareholding, in terms of shareholding, we need to
		come back to our, you know, customers and say, 'Okay, we got
		the right person.' And they asked us a lot about, you know,
		'How can you make sure that any injection of money can be
		controlled by Di Meo?' 'How can you what is the structure
		that actually Di Meo is holding to make us confident that this
		money of course, you use it as leverage, but how we can make
		sure that this money is for our benefit in terms of first investing
		in a company for its continuation, and then the next stage in a
		year from now, addressing the sales of the vessels themselves?'
	7.7.5	
	Di Meo	So, just to be clear, the sale of the vessels it's easy because we
		would go in, and as part of the plan, it would be a firm bid on
		the vessels. And once the plan is voted, the court will release the
		first [] mortgages on those four vessels, five vessels, whatever
		they are, and the transactional sale goes through automatically

		as part of the homologation by the court of the Chapter 11. So,
		zero
00:31:00	OP2	But we read an article, I don't know, we read another article, I
	012	don't remember wait, one second, it was something about
		another company that wanted to buy, and you were actually
		holding it. So, I was very happy to see it because if you
	Di Meo	No, no, no, wait, wait, wait
	OP2	-if you are holding it and I'm and I bring the money, then I'm
		in a good position. [Simultaneous talk]
	Di Meo	No, no, no, no. Wait, wait, wait, wait, wait. Very different
		situation because DFDS, the Norwegian company that wanted
		to buy two vessels
	OP2	Yeah, Norwegian, yeah.
	Di Meo	-not for cash, but for exchange of vessels plus cash.
	OP2	[Simultaneous talk] Okay, okay, okay. Now I understand.
00:31:54	Di Meo	Was buying the vessels at good prices, the problem was that
		the proceeds from those vessel sales would have gone to the
		bank, not the bonds. So, it was not in my interest for the
		company to get cash to keep going and pay down the banks, and
		then I would have been left at the end of 2023 with no vessels
		left and a big credit with the banks having gotten out in the
		meantime.
	OP2	Understood, understood.
00:32:23	Di Meo	So, it was our so, we filed insolvency request, the bankruptcy
		request in September 2019 because also, we wanted this
		transaction to be stopped so that our position between the bond
		and the banks would have been equalised
	OP2	Understood.

	Di Meo	-and not only equalised because we have more bonds that bank debts, we can outvote the banks in the Chapter 11. So, I was stopping the bleeding of the cash, going to the bankside of the bonds and I was gaining, negotiating, leveraging the Chapter 11 because the bond is bigger than the bank debts. So, that was the double purpose. So, back to
	OP2	Does UniCredit has any bondholding as well? No, it just have the debt?
	Di Meo	No.
	OP2	Okay.
	Di Meo	Only the debts. And they are, at this point in time, they more care about the situation going without insolvency because they will have responsibilities for a number of reasons. So, they are looking at the file from a legal standpoint, not from an economic recovery standpoint, which is a good thing usually, if you wanna cut a good deal
	OP2	Yeah. Yeah.
00:33:33	Di Meo	-because you don't really care about the recovery. Now, so, the vessel sale is not a problem, you will get your own lawyers to say that and that's I'm not concerned that you will not get comfortable because it will be part of the plan, in instantaneous transactions, and at the moment that the homologation by court of the Chapter 11 plan is done. With respect to the controlling of the remaining perimeter, then obviously, I would envisage a situation where, from a governance perspective, we would go in look, I don't know if you bring to the table any operational angle that can be used or I need to go and find the ex-CEO of a competitor to govern the remaining perimeter because that will be something that the court will look at with a lot of interest because the court cares about the creditors' voting in favour of the plan, but also that six months later, after the homologation,

		the company doesn't go bankrupt again, because then the court
		itself has responsibilities.
		00:34:55 - So, I would say the governance that we have let's
		say we have a 50/50 governance of the equity, I contribute some
		credit and some preferential recovery of the prior credit, you
		contribute some fresh equity, let's say that we start from a 50/50
		standpoint of partnership. And again, if you think you can bring
		an industrial angle, and so you want more operational control, I
		don't have a problem with it
	OP2	I tell you quite frankly, we're not that familiar with the vessel
		industry in Italy. And from what I'm reading, it's not an easy
		path, mainly managed by those two companies, Grimaldi and
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	-Moby, and we don't know Grimaldi.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	But from what we read, it's not an easy competitor. So, I can tell
		you right now as we speak, getting you the advantage in terms
		of financial, bringing you the money to get the leverage, this is
		one thing we can do. And the other thing, as I mentioned, we
		want to address those four to five ships
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	That's a second stage. How you know, seeing the business and
		going into the business and running it with our help, what we do
		in China, Hong Kong, and Japan, it can be done, but it's not
		something that I'm thinking right now, we can discuss it
	Di Meo	[Simultaneous talk] Okay. Okay.
	OP2	We can see, understand what is the turnover, with a lot of
		subsidy, fees there. I don't know if the routes are profitable or
		not, so we need to really evaluate
L	l	

	Di Meo	Yeah, yeah. Fine, fine. Yeah, fine.
	OP2	-really evaluate how profitable this business is.
00:36:50	Di Meo	But the base case is one where we would need to bring in an
		experienced Italian CEO, possibly an ex I've been in touch
		with a couple of ex-CEOs in the competing businesses, to
		actually take over the operational control. Or, you know, there is
		also a scenario where, if the family comes to terms and the
		father decides to go away, and then we could also consider at
		some point some form of operational control together with
		them. Because look, the reality is that they are doing quite well
		in terms of ticket sales at the moment.
		00:37:43 - I think it's they've been suffering from the
		competition from Grimaldi that wanted them to go bankrupt, but
		once we saved them from bankruptcy, I don't think Grimaldi
		will keep going. So, there is a scenario where with the father
		out because he's a crazy guy, and a lot of tutorial to the son, we
		can still have the current management infrastructure in place if
		we don't succeed in bringing in an external CEO with
		experience in the sector. So, I think starting point we leave all
		the options open. I think that between my creditor rights and the
		new money that you would bring in and the backstop bid to the
		vessels, I think we would have a lot of leverage in the process.
00:38:37	OP2	Tell me, how can we make sure I think I've asked this question
		before, and I've been wondering. How can we make sure you
		control the creditors? How can we you know, the bondholders.
		I know, you know, you hold it in Sound Point Capital and it's
		yours, but I don't know
	Di Meo	I have a notary statement, I have a notary statement from a
		month ago that's from an English Savills notary, that states
		clearly that under my direct or indirect control, I have that
		amount of bonds.

OP2	So, this is the bonds of Sound Capital, right?
Di Meo	No, no, no, it's outside Sound Capital.
OP2	It's outside, I see, I see. So, if you control the bonds, if you
	control you have control, and we would like to see some
	indication of that. If you control the bonds, then we feel safe in
	understanding that, okay, the court can decide A or B,
	whichever it is, but if it decides to our part, then it's a very easy
	way to take over and put our own CEO and our own
	restructuring, etcetera.
Di Meo	Yeah, it's never gonna be an easy way, just to manage your
	expectations. It will be a prolonged process where we need to
	put forward with advisors a competing plan. I say a competing
	plan competing against the company plan, in case the company
	plan goes into a direction that we don't like, which I assume
	that's the case. So, it's going to take a lot of work and a lot of
	massaging with the right advisors in the Court of Milan to put
	forward our case, to explain it well, to make the judges
	understand that it's an interest of the creditors and the
	employees. So, it's a long process. And ultimately, yes, we
	yeah, we need to work in that direction, but it's not just like
	[snaps fingers] this, so, it's a fight that
OP2	And can we be sure that your other, you know, the representing
	of all the bondholders that currently have accredited with the
	company, you are the only you have the only mandate to
	actually do whatever it is with the bondholders?
Di Meo	Well, I have the mandates on the bonds I control. [Chuckles] So,
	on 80 million out of 300. You need to get to so, I can stop any
	other bondholders-driven plans, I would need to have 50% of
	the bonds to force my plan onto others. As you know, I've been
	coordinating with other hedge funds in the past, they are not the
	best partners because they are very scared about reputation, so
	every time the shareholders was filing a complaint, they were,
	Di Meo OP2 OP2

n, let's give to the
ot the way I negotiate,
' money and my money.
the €80 million. So,
t's the right plan, we
ors that I need to make
ion process of the
t we need to have a
in the scenario where
declares prosecution of
e need to see under
the current shareholder
ving seat and to put
ficer in it, which would
is the amount you're
ing? In terms of
ou have
giving the authorisation
nount of money for your
, we' to the court,
order to heal the
re looking at for such
ich amount we refer to
on't know which vessels
y are the largest, more
usually €70 to €80-90
t talking about that
the scheme would say

	something like this, the consortium between us puts I'm
	talking to the court now.
OP2	Yeah.
Di Meo	I would go and say, 'I put, let's say, \$70 million through my investors', you guys, 'as an equity increase into the restructured Moby-CIN after the creditors accept to take to write off X, Y, Z amounts.' On top of that, I already have bids from the same investors on these vessels of let's say the fair market value is €300 million, I come in at €350 million and that gives certainty of recovery in the plan of certain amounts to creditors. So, when you don't show up in two different moments in court, when you show up you need to have a closed bulletproof plan with commitment. So, if you're asking me again, I don't know which vessels you have targeted, but in the current situation I think that \$70 million for the equity capital increase to control the remaining perimeter and a premium, even a small premium, 10%-15% on the fair market value of the vessels you target, that is the money you're talking about.
OP2	Okay. I mean, that's
Di Meo	Where just to be clear. Where, just to be clear, the four-five vessels, they come out of the perimeter, and they go in your perimeter wherever you want, and you do whatever you want with those four-five vessels.
OP2	That's exactly my plan. They were asking me why between 60 to 70, I said, 'Listen' because the previous investor, there was an investor who actually offered another 60 million, I said, 'Okay, let's offer \$70 million for restructuring and maybe get a discount, later on, on the buying of the vessels through the \$70 million.' So, it will give me a compensation onto the buying of the vessels themselves.
Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2 Di Meo

00:46:33	OP2	So, it will give you and the bondholders leverage into the
		dealing itself, whether the court will decide to put in a CEO in
		replace or not, we would have to work it out. But really, it will
		give me a leverage into the next step in line into the acquiring of
		the ships.
	Di Meo	No, no, but just to be clear. You're talking I'm sorry if I'm a
		bit specific and I want to be crystal clear with you guys because
		you're not part of my sector. And so, I understand that certain
		things for me are obvious, for others are not, and I really care
		about my potential partners to understand very well what
		they're going into. When you say 'the next step', to me it's the
		same step. There is no subsequent because we would go to court
		and say, 'Dear court, we, as a consortium, put in \$70 million in
		equity capital increase in the current structure. As part of the
		same plan, we would be' At the moment we put in the \$70
		million, we instantaneously take out four vessels, five vessels at
		this price, so they come out of the perimeter the same day, so to
		speak, when you put in the \$70 million in equity in the old
		structure, subject to creditors accepting a certain write-off. So,
		the advantage of this is that there is fresh equity in the
		remaining structure for the continuity of the business. There is
		already a good recovery on four-five vessels because we're
		offering a small premium to fair market value. Now, between
		me and you, the fair market value of the third-party appraisal is
		very low because it's always in the interest of the debtor to
		show the court low levels
	OP2	That's right, yeah. Yeah.
	Di Meo	So again. So, when I talk about 10%-15% to fair market value
		of the report is a price which is below real market value
	OP2	I understand.
	Di Meo	In my opinion, for my experience.
	1	

bear in mind that we actually put in another 60 to 70 million into the restructuring. So, for us the overall cost is gonna be the control of the restructuring. So, for us the overall cost is gonna be the control of the remaining. I will OP2 [Simultaneous talk] How is that? Di Meo So, your well, because we will control the equity of the remaining perimeter, where there are a number of other vessel in the business continuity. I will never cut a deal where we throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter becaus I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimet - I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.		OP2	I understand.
bear in mind that we actually put in another 60 to 70 million into the restructuring. So, for us the overall cost is gonna be the control of the restructuring. So, for us the overall cost is gonna be the control of the remaining. I will OP2 [Simultaneous talk] How is that? Di Meo So, your well, because we will control the equity of the remaining perimeter, where there are a number of other vessel in the business continuity. I will never cut a deal where we throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter becaus I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimet - I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.		Di Meo	So
into the restructuring. So, for us the overall cost is gonna be the control of the restructuring. So, for us the overall cost is gonna be the control of the remaining perimeter. Di Meo So, your well, because we will control the equity of the remaining perimeter, where there are a number of other vessel in the business continuity. I will never cut a deal where we throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter becaus I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimeter. I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.	00:48:37	OP2	But if you talk to fair if you're talking about fair market value,
Di Meo No yes, but you are going to get a return on this \$60 to \$70 million. I will OP2 [Simultaneous talk] How is that? Di Meo So, your well, because we will control the equity of the remaining perimeter, where there are a number of other vessel in the business continuity. I will never cut a deal where we throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter becaus I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimet - I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.			bear in mind that we actually put in another 60 to 70 million
Di Meo No yes, but you are going to get a return on this \$60 to \$70 million. I will OP2 [Simultaneous talk] How is that? Di Meo So, your well, because we will control the equity of the remaining perimeter, where there are a number of other vessel in the business continuity. I will never cut a deal where we throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter becaus I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimet - I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.			into the restructuring. So, for us the overall cost is gonna be the-
Di Meo So, your well, because we will control the equity of the remaining perimeter, where there are a number of other vessel in the business continuity. I will never cut a deal where we throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter becaus I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimet - I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.			
OP2 [Simultaneous talk] How is that? Di Meo So, your well, because we will control the equity of the remaining perimeter, where there are a number of other vessel in the business continuity. I will never cut a deal where we throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter becaus I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimet - I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.		Di Meo	No yes, but you are going to get a return on this \$60 to \$70
Di Meo So, your well, because we will control the equity of the remaining perimeter, where there are a number of other vessel in the business continuity. I will never cut a deal where we throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter becaus I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimet - I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down to toilet.			million. I will
remaining perimeter, where there are a number of other vessel in the business continuity. I will never cut a deal where we throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter becaus I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimet - I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.		OP2	[Simultaneous talk] How is that?
in the business continuity. I will never cut a deal where we throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter becaus I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimet - I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down to toilet.		Di Meo	So, your well, because we will control the equity of the
throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter becaus I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimet - I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down t toilet.			remaining perimeter, where there are a number of other vessels
I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimet - I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'r saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down t toilet.			in the business continuity. I will never cut a deal where we
- I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I're saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels at an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down to toilet.			throw money down the toilet on the existing perimeter because
saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels a an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down to toilet.			I, as a creditor, Antonello, I need to recover from that perimeter-
an attractive price without an auction, which is important, because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.			- I mean, we can do side deals and stuff like that, but what I'm
because through this structure we are avoiding an auction because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down to toilet.			saying is that I'd like to cut a deal where you buy the vessels at
because that's what's gonna happen OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.			an attractive price without an auction, which is important,
OP2 Yeah. Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down to toilet.			because through this structure we are avoiding an auction
Di Meo -if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.			because that's what's gonna happen
supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.		OP2	Yeah.
discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.		Di Meo	-if there isn't so, we avoid the auction, so you secure the
deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down toilet.			supply. At that premium fair market value which is probably a
make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down t toilet.			discount to real market value. And on top of that, I will craft a
values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down t toilet.			deal where the \$60-70 million, they have a return, so they will
toilet.			make money in the process. So, obviously we need to look at
			values and business plan and so on, but it's not money down the
			toilet.
00:50:09 OP2 [Simultaneous talk] Let me ask you another question that we	00:50:09	OP2	[Simultaneous talk] Let me ask you another question that we
were asked. How about buying the other bondholders? You sa			were asked. How about buying the other bondholders? You say
you have 80 million. We can			you have 80 million. We can

	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	You know, there is another \$220-million bondholders. How
		about buying majority so both of us can create a one-pack into
		the court itself?
	Di Meo	That lowers the execution risk of the voting system only if we
		had in a situation where the court will not declare insolvency
		but will allow the Chapter 11 proceedings to go through. I think
		that is something that we can craft very carefully because you
		know how hedge funds are, if they smell that there is a buyer,
		then the price doubles.
	OP2	I see.
00:51:04	Di Meo	So, I think we need if you want to go down that direction case,
		we want to make sure that the execution risk is even lower, we
		can orchestrate that. It takes what I call 'art' from a trading
		perspective, which is my business, but I think we can get to that
		point. I just want you to spend potentially the least amount of
		money for potentially buying the bonds so that we then have
		more recovery for the upside. Because let's say you use okay,
		let's say we want to buy €70 million of bonds, face value, to
		secure the vote in a Chapter 11 proceedings and so on without
		any doubt. Let's assume that we have to spend 50, 60 cents to
		buy the bulk of bonds in one go, that's gonna cost €35 to €40
		million, so we are already using it's a good investment, but
		what I'm saying is that obviously, I assume that those €35-40
		million come off from the ticket of 70 that we were discussing
		before. So, I assume that it's not on top.
	OP2	I lost you, I'm sorry. Di Meo, I lost you.
	Di Meo	You lost me in terms of?
	OP2	No, no, I lost you in thinking. [Laughs]

	Di Meo	Yeah, no, okay, fine. What I'm saying is that if you have, let's
		say, 70 million to play with
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-on top of the vessel purchases.
	OP2	Of course. That's what I said before.
00:52:59	Di Meo	Okay. I think that we have two scenarios. One is, we try
		maybe those are subsequent scenarios. First, we try I play my
		games with the others to try to buy them out and secure the 50%
		that we need to be 100% sure of the voting. So, that means
		buying another €72 million, something like that, of face value
		bonds
	OP2	[Simultaneous talk] So, 72 will give you \$152 million in
		bondholding.
	Di Meo	Yeah, we need to get to 150, to have certainty
	OP2	Sure.
	Di Meo	Meaning that we don't need to ask anybody else for the vote. To
		buy €72 million, assuming obviously we need I have an so,
		let's say I have an interest for you to spend for us to spend the
		least amount of money possible.
	OP2	Yeah.
00:53:58	Di Meo	So, let's say for now that being general, so to speak, we spend
		up to 50-60 cents on a dollar on €72 million. That means
		investing let's say \$35 to \$40 million euros, euros. €35 to €40
		million to purchase those bonds. Now once we have all those
		bonds, let's assume we still want to create a plan in the eyes of
		the court where there is fresh equity in the perimeter, probably
		we still have to come up with a check of at least €40 million to
		the court. So, I'm trying to keep as the 35 to 40 plus 40, so you
		don't spend the 70 we talked about, you probably spend 85, but

		obviously you have much more upside because we have more
		bonds, so.
00:54:53	OP2	So, let me try to understand, to summarise what you said.
		Assuming we buy we get a majority of votes with regard to the
		bondholders
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	-which is around \$70-something million million euros, is that
		correct?
	Di Meo	Yeah, euros. Yeah.
	OP2	In addition, you would like another €40 million to invest in the
		court. Does it come out of the 70 or it's an additional? Because
		frankly saying
	Di Meo	No, no, no.
	OP2	-[] this 40.
	Di Meo	No, no. It comes out so, what I'm telling you is, you can spend
		money two ways on top of the purchase of the vessels. So, that's
		a constant in the scenarios. So, the purchase of the vessels,
		depending on the vessels you choose, has its own way its own
		money. On top of the purchase of the vessels' money, there are
		two ways we can play with. From a negotiating and governance
		standpoint, the best way would be, I try to line up enough
		bonds, I obviously I worked in investment banks, I know
		people have been trading these things, I know who own the
		bonds, I know this is my job, to make sure that I buy bonds at
		the lowest possible price. We try to line up enough bonds to
		control 50% and then to also get the new equity of the business.
		We spend some less money than 70, let's say 40, so we spend
		35-40 million for the purchase of the bonds, and we spend, let's
		say, 40 million in the equity capital increase
	OP2	Understood.
	<u> </u>	

	OP2 Di Meo	I understood. So, in total, in total, instead of spending 70 all in equity, we
00:56:39 I	Di Meo	So in total in total instead of spending 70 all in equity we
		50, in total, in total, instead of spending 70 an in equity, we
		would and then we would still need to get okay, I'm the
		largest creditor, so I [] this way, but we still run some residual
		execution risk in the restructuring. Instead, if we were capable
		to spend €35-40 million in purchasing enough bonds to secure
		the 50% majority, and we spend another, let's say, €40 million
		in
(OP2	In restructuring.
I	Di Meo	-what was before 70
(OP2	[].
I	Di Meo	-the restructuring, that is the best situation because you control
		the vote and then you just put €40 million in equity. That is key
		for the court that's because they don't look at you as buying
		the bonds as being it's like, if you have the bonds, I have the
		bonds, or another fund has the bonds, it's not money to the
		company from
(OP2	They don't care.
I	Di Meo	-from the court's perspective. So, to create the asymmetry to
		reduce the creditors' recovery to the maximum we can, so that
		our equity investment is worth much more in the remaining
		perimeter, we need to put some fresh equity in the plan.
(OP2	Into the company.
I	Di Meo	I think that 40 is a number which is small enough not to be too
		worried about, and you can probably recover elsewhere, but big
		enough to make sense to the court.
00:58:09	OP2	What is your estimation that the court would give us would
		actually take out the owner out of being a CEO?

Di Meo	Look, I think look, well, I would say, first, once if we were
	to have 50% of the bonds, to be honest it doesn't matter
	anymore because then, there is no way for him to go around me
	in any shape or form. So, clearly, the bond buying reduce
	further any negotiating leverage of the shareholder, even if the
	court allows him to stay in charge of the restructuring process
	until homologation. In terms of timing, it's very difficult to
	second-guess when a judge will decide. I think there is only one
	way to do, it's just to wait and see and react promptly. I would
	say the other thing which we can if you are convinced about,
	and again we can start sharing some documents like the third-
	party appraisal
OP2	Yeah.
Di Meo	-the business plan presented and all of that stuff.
Divieo	
	00:59:23 - I need to be careful because I signed an NDA some
	time ago, so I just wanna make sure that I don't infringe any
	confidentiality obligations and so on. But the one thing that it
	would be anyway very powerful is having at least the bond
	buying money into some escrow that I can then direct trader at
	Morgan Stanley to buy it's like I would need to for the
	bond buying, it's not that you go to the market and you buy the
	bonds, you will never get to the levels you need. I need to go to
	the three, four other bondholders in a group and saying, 'I know
	you guys are frustrated', I'm gonna take this owner for the next
	two, three years in legal challenges, I'm gonna scare them out in
	a way. And then I say, 'Look, if you want out and I give you
	some profit on the current mark of the position you have, you're
	out and I'll take the bonds, and I will keep the fight on my own.'
	That is the dynamic, the only dynamic I see through which
	we're capable, in one shot, to go and buy the residual bonds that
	are needed to get to the 50% threshold.
1	

	OP2	Di Meo, it sounds like a plan to me. But I need to and of
		course, all the legal and everything, once the legal goes in, then
		you have other issues that will raised from here to nowhere.
		But
	Di Meo	Yeah, yeah, absolutely.
	OP2	In terms of escrow, when is the what bank would you like us
		to put the escrow amount? And how do you work with escrow
		account?
	Di Meo	So, I think the right way for you to do that, because I put myself
		in your shoes and as I said, I've worked only in London, in
		Anglo-Saxon firms, so I
	OP2	No, I'm asking whether UniCredit does
	Di Meo	No, no, no, no, no, no.
	OP2	No? Okay.
	Di Meo	Forget that. Forget that.
	OP2	Okay. That's
	Di Meo	So, the purchase
	OP2	That's why I was asking, I said
	Di Meo	No.
	OP2	If you're gonna say 'UniCredit' then I have a problem.
	Di Meo	No.
	OP2	Okay, good.
01:01:36	Di Meo	No, the way it would work would be the following. My trader,
		trusted friend is at Morgan Stanley. I know the global head of
		the business, he's Italian, he's my brother. We've been buying
		these 80 million together. So, the way I would do it is, first, I
		would need to cut the deal with the hedge funds, which I don't
		need Morgan Stanley for. So, once I've cut the deal with the

		hedge funds, I will say, 'You will receive technically the bid
		from Morgan Stanley' then I need to get the money to Morgan
		Stanley, it cannot come through you, clearly. I have a broker
		dealer that I use, so I usually let's talk about my money, how I
		do it. I have my broker deal I have a broker dealer in London
		that has a trading line with my trustee, my bank, and so, from
		my bank to the money goes to the broker dealer who is just an
		agent, so he doesn't own the money in between, but he gives
		because he has a trading line with Morgan Stanley, he gives the
		bid to Morgan Stanley. Morgan Stanley gives the bid to the
		hedge funds, and so that's the chain.
		01:02:53 - Now, for me to give the order to the broker dealer
		that then gives the order to Morgan Stanley, I need to have an
		escrow with I use a trustee that I trust for many years, who has
		all my money, so we would need to put the money the trustee
		is based in Isle of Man, so UK jurisdiction but very flexible,
		very private, which I like, and I'm sure you guys like. So, he
		would create and this guy manage billions, so me as a client
		with few tens of millions, it doesn't move the needle for him.
		So, that is a guarantee for you, guys. So, he would set up a client
		account in Royal Bank of Scotland or Barclays, these are the
		two banks he works with.
	OP2	Okay.
01:03:50	Di Meo	Or the yeah, these are the two banks he works with. So, he
		would set up a client account, the client account is an escrow
		account, we will write an escrow agreement so that we are, with
		your lawyers, 100% sure that
	OP2	Sure. Yeah, yeah.
	Di Meo	-the money is not going anywhere. So, the money goes the
		escrow agreement will say, 'I'm transferring this amount of
		money into this escrow account, client account of this famous
		trust company that will only be used under the direction of the
L		

		portfolio manager', which would be me, to 'only for the
		purpose of purchasing'
	OP2	The bond?
	Di Meo	'-these bonds at a maximum price of X.'
	OP2	Understood.
	Di Meo	That's
	OP2	[Simultaneous talk] I've done it before, and totally understood.
01:04:37	Di Meo	So, the trustee then has the money in the client account, then once I give him the direction, give a bid to the broker dealer on these bonds at this price, the broker dealer will give the bid to Morgan Stanley, and Morgan Stanley will buy the bonds from the hedge funds.
	OP2	Di Meo, I'm terribly sorry, but I see that I'm running out of time because I have another meeting. I would like to send you the four-five vessels that we are interested in.
	Di Meo	I'll tell you what the fair market value is.
	OP2	Yeah. Can you tell me the fair market value for that?
	Di Meo	Sure. Sure.
	OP2	So, I can come back to you and say, 'Okay, these are the four vessels, these are the steps that we would like to take, and let's see how we continue from here on.
	OP1	Yeah.
	Di Meo	Okay.
	OP1	I would like to ask something. We saw that Sound Point Capital, they are also a bondholder in this
	Di Meo	No, no, not anymore. Not anymore.
	OP1	Not anymore? I understand.

01:05:32	Di Meo	So basically, just to be completely transparent, which I am, and
		I cannot be otherwise, but so, when I worked at Sound Point,
		they were exiting the European business in the last two years,
		they were still involved in some investments with me that I've
		done for them very profitably. And we put on what's called a
		'trading position' in Moby, so a single-digit exposure. At that
		point in time, I didn't invest my money and my family office
		money. We put on a trading position because a bondholders
		committee was being formed, so that I would go to the people
		who were buying bonds and say, 'Look, we are small, but you
		know that I'm probably the most good or bad and renowned
		Italian distress investor in this kind of situations. So, maybe I
		don't bring many bonds for the vote, but you have Antonello Di
		Meo that you can use.'
		01:06:35 - And the way I sold it to Sound Point was, 'Guys,
		let's put two-three million market value at risk. You have a free
		option that if they allow me in the committee, then if there is a
		new money deal, let's say, 200 million super senior, which
		Sound Point was very eager to do because it just raised a lot of
		money for those kind of business, at least we have a right to first
		refusal because we will see the deal first. That's why Sound
		Point initially was involved, they signed a bankruptcy petition.
		And then, when it was clear that I needed to leave and I took a
		view on the situation, that's when I exited Sound Point first,
		they sold the trading position because I was not there anymore,
		and then I put my money and family office money to purchase
		the bonds after I exited Sound Point. All of this, the shareholder
		doesn't know. Obviously, there is no fucking incentive for me to
		tell my counterparts all my big personal business.
	OP2	Yeah.
	OP1	Yeah, of course.

01:07:41	Di Meo	So, when you see in the press that he filed in London insider
		trading charges against me, that's because he thought first that
		Sound Point had a big position and that's why the other hedge
		funds were letting me to drive the seat in the driving seat in
		the negotiation. That once I exited Sound Point, I traded from
		Sound Point to the new investors the position
	OP2	I see.
	Di Meo	-but there was no position. So, obviously that's part of when I
		say 'the art of trading and theatric' is now he believed that,
		which is absolutely not true, and that's why he shot in the dark
		the insider trading charges. Which, by the way, even if it was a
		Sound Point position and I traded him to a new investor, when
		the trade happened, I wasn't in possess of any confidential
		financial information because after three-four months [].
		[Internet disruption] [] to scare him out then go []. [Internet
		disconnects]
	OP2	We lost you.
	Di Meo	Hello?
	OP1	We lost you in the end.
	Di Meo	Alright.
	OP2	Yeah, we lost you in the last part.
	OP1	Last [].
	OP2	Last minute.
01:09:27	Di Meo	Yeah. So, the last the long story short is, Sound Point is out,
		has been out for almost a year now
	OP2	Good.
	Di Meo	There is nothing. They know that I'm involved, so I've been
		transparent with Sound Point, so it's all crystal clear.
	I	

	OP1	I understand. Another small question. I'm a true believer about
		learning from the past about the future. Why up until now, the
		restructuring agreement was failed, actually?
	Di Meo	Say it again, sorry? What happened until now?
	OP1	The restructuring agreement was failed about
01:10:04	OP2	What was the cause for the failure of the restructuring
		agreement?
	Di Meo	The reason why we haven't done a deal is because the
		shareholder wanted to do a deal where he kept all of the equity
	OP2	He kept all the shares, yeah.
	Di Meo	All of the control. We took a write-off, and he found a financial
		investor, Europa Investimenti, to put in the money and to make
		three times their money at my expense. So [Chuckles]
	OP1	I understand.
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	Fuck yeah, that's why he can go fuck himself.
	OP1	Yeah. You're absolutely right.
	OP2	As we say in [], vaffanculo. [Italian: Fuck off]
	Di Meo	Esatto. [Italian: Exactly]
	OP2	Di Meo, thank you so much. Terribly sorry we have to cut short.
	Di Meo	You're welcome.
	OP2	You'll receive from us in the next week the four vessels that we
		are interested.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
01:10:52	OP2	Give me an estimation. Let me see, and we will actually, with
		your help, let us know where are they positioned so we can
		come to Italy, our people, we need to get three or four engineers

		to come to Italy. Just, you know, have a look around at the
		vessel
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	-go up and check, you know, the bits and bites of the vessel itself.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	This is very important. It will take not more than a week or two to do that.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	Once this is done and we get the okay, then let's sit down, let's meet somewhere in Europe
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	-and discuss how we can actually proceed with the, you know, whether positioning us in a bondholding or you know, injecting money into the company in some way or form.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
01:11:47	OP2	I want to get my legal into understanding better what are thewhat is the situation in terms of what are the possibilities for the court to make a decision that is gonna be
	Di Meo	Sure.
	OP2	-against our side. So, we are in the beginning of June. I'd like to start understanding a better way in investing towards mid of July.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	Does this sounds okay to you?
	Di Meo	Yeah. No, the only thing I would speed up if there is interest is potentially the line-up of the bonds because I think the games will be done, at least in terms of it it goes down the Chapter

	11, the Concordato route, then the company will immediately
	engage with the bondholders. So, again, that is the only thing
	that we don't want
OP2	I understand
Di Meo	-the other bondholders to go further down the line and then they
	raise the price because they see the light at the end of the tunnel.
OP2	I totally understand, but please understand our side. I need to get
	my hands on the understanding of the ships.
Di Meo	Absolutely. Absolutely.
OP2	Once this is done and I get the confirmation that this is what
	they want and we get the go-ahead, then it's a much easier
	route
Di Meo	Yeah, yeah.
OP2	-to say, 'Okay, now how much it is?' and what financial, you
	know, structure should we buy into and how to do that.
Di Meo	Yeah. Okay.
OP2	Okay?
Di Meo	Sounds good.
OP2	Sorry we took so much of your time.
Di Meo	No problem. And you let me know if you want to talk about the
	waste management stuff another time.
OP2	We'll do.
OP1	Yeah, we'll do
OP2	We'll do. Thank you.
Di Meo	Thank you, have a good day.
OP1	[].
OP2	Have a great day, bye-bye.

OP1	Bye.
	[Meeting ends]

Date of the meeting: July 29th, 2021

Name and duration of the recording: 20210729_182915 | 00:36:41

Time	Side	Transcript
stamp		
00:00:00	OP1	[Meeting starts]
		00:03:25 - Mr Di Meo?
	OP2	Hey, Ruslan, how are you? Do you hear me?
	OP1	I can hear you, hi.
	OP2	Good.
	OP1	Good evening, David. Mr Di Meo
	OP2	Hey Antonello, how are you?
	Di Meo	Very well, and you?
	OP1	Hi, how are you?
	OP2	Good, good, good. Look at the sun. Come on, take it off, take it
		off the screen, man.
	OP1	What, you're still in Greece?
	Di Meo	I'm in
	OP1	[Connection Issues] in Mykonos.
	Di Meo	We have a villa 10 minutes north of the town, and now I'm on a
		beach in the southern side of the island because the wind comes
		from the north.
	OP1	Yeah, and you don't like the wind.
	OP2	You always say, 'We, we, we.' You always say, 'We', 'We
		have a villa.' Meaning, you and who is the?

	Di Meo	And some friends, some friends.
	OP2	Ah, friends, friends. I thought something else
	Di Meo	Yeah, some friends.
	OP2	Long hair, something like that.
00:04:33	Di Meo	No, no, no, no.
	OP2	No, okay.
00:04:37	Di Meo	You don't go to a restaurant holding a sandwich in your hands.
	OP2	A sandwich, yeah, I don't, yeah.
	OP1	Yeah.
	OP2	That's true, that is true.
	Di Meo	If you see what I mean.
	OP1	Yeah. You visited [Connection issues]
	Di Meo	I think I lost Ruslan.
	OP2	Ruslan? Ruslan, are you with us?
	OP1	Yeah.
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	You said, 'You visited'
	OP1	I asked if you already visited Nammos or not yet?
	Di Meo	No, no, I just arrived, I just arrived.
	OP1	I understand.
	Di Meo	It takes some [Connection issues] before going all in, so
	OP1	I fully understand.
	Di Meo	Before completely wasting the wallet there.
	OP1	Yeah. So, first of all, thank you very much for having this call with us.

	Di Meo	My pleasure.
	OP1	I'm in a reasonable time but David, we kept him very late at
		night. But this is very important for us, so he, with his good
		will, said 'Okay', even though it's 11 o'clock at night at his
		place.
	Di Meo	Oh, it's not too bad.
	OP1	Ask her to stop for a minute.
	OP2	Ah, stop, stop, stop, stop, stop, stop, stop.
	OP1	Stop, stop.
00:05:59	Di Meo	You guys can't focus, I see. I think I need to go in the toilet.
		[All laugh]
	OP2	Di Meo, Di Meo.
	Di Meo	That was not even a great asset, for the record.
	OP2	You know, can you share with us some sandwiches?
	Di Meo	You have to come back here, in Greece.
	OP1	Yeah. So, the thing is that we have a board meeting and
		[Connection issues]
	Di Meo	Yep.
	OP1	Hello?
	OP2	Yeah, we lost you, we lost you.
	Di Meo	Now you're back.
	OP1	Okay, so the board is more of thinking of buying the ships.
		And after the meeting with you, we came with the idea of more
		to [Connection issues]
	OP2	The line is really bad. Ruslan, do you want to go once again to
		turn off and turn on, maybe it will work, and I will keep on with
		Di Meo?

	OP1	No, it's okay, I'm
	OP2	Okay.
	OP1	It's okay.
	OP2	Okay.
00:07:09	OP1	So, we came with the idea of investing more in the bonds, something that will provide us, as far as we understood, some income after we will have more than 51% of the bonds, and it would give us some sort of an advantage [Connection issues] the owners of [Connection issues] Now, David and I we are preparing for the board meeting and [Connection issues] Hello?
00:07:55	Di Meo	You're back now, you're back.
	OP2	We're losing you all the time.
	OP1	What you heard from that?
	Di Meo	By the way
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-in case it can be helpful, Ruslan, because you really said a couple of things which weren't 100% appropriate. If you want to share with me some materials that you want to present to the board to doublecheck I mean, which blanking out what's confidential and what you don't want to show to me, but if you want me to give a doublecheck to all the information that you're presenting to the board to make sure that you have verbally understood exactly my words.
	OP2	No, this
	Di Meo	Because there were already a couple of things, like
	OP2	This is an important this is a really important issue, Di Meo, or Antonello, whichever

00:08:41	Di Meo	Antonello, Antonello.
	OP2	Antonello. It's a great thing you raised it because, I mean, we
		were sitting together, and we did not write everything. And one
		of the reasons we wanted this meeting is just to, you know, put
		it down in writings so we can actually come, you know, better
		prepared to the board meeting.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	Did you hear what I said, or I was cut off?
00:09:07	Di Meo	Yeah, I think I got the gist of it, so
	OP2	So, and to be very frank with you, the board is very keen to
		proceed, there is some obstacles into the amount that we, so-to-
		call, 'risk' in providing in putting in the bonds, and the
		amount that we are putting in and securing for the ships. So
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	As you mentioned, if you can highlight, I'll be writing down
		because both of us talked at that time and we just want to
		reiterate what you said previously, how you can do it by
		yourself, how you can use our money to mitigate and get 51%
		in order to get the other bondholders work with us.
	Di Meo	Yeah. So
	OP2	And what is the minimum amount last but not least, what is
		the minimum amount that you require from us in order to be
		able to facilitate such takeover.
00:10:21	OP1	Guys, give me five seconds, I will prepare, I will try to connect
		the internet better, okay?
	OP2	Okay.
	Di Meo	Maybe before we try this change of connection, it would be
		helpful, David, Ruslan, if you put some bullet points, your
		understanding of the process and the transaction and so on, and

		then I amend the bullet points to reflect with precision the
		process and the commercial agreement.
	OP2	Wow, that could be great. I think that could be great.
	Di Meo	Yeah, I'll That is probably the best way to proceed to avoid
		misunderstanding and I want to be precise in the information that you represent to the board. So, let's I would suggest that [Connection issues]
00:11:17	OP1	Now the internet is okay. I wanted to emphasise something. For now, we didn't present anything to the board. The thing is that we started to prepare our meeting, David and I, and apparently each one of us remembered the meeting a bit different. So, this is [Connection issues] Hello?
	Di Meo	Yes, you're back.
	OP2	Yeah.
	OP1	Yeah. So, this is why we wanted to have this meeting with you, in order to try to see if we understood correctly. Now
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP1	We can go through several points that you mentioned.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP1	And you can tell us if it's something that we can present, or it's something that we cannot present. Of course, we will
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP1	-follow your instructions, so there isn't anything inappropriate over here, there is just a misunderstanding between David and I, this is why
	Di Meo	No, it's okay, it's okay. It's a complicated matter, so it's understandable.
	OP1	Yeah, yeah. Perfect.

	OP2	But to complement what you said, Antonello, after this meeting
		we will send you the points that we would like to clarify and if
		you can put it in writing, it will be much better
	Di Meo	Absolutely, absolutely.
	OP2	-so we can actually present it to the board and show what is the
		next step and how we can go into contemplating altogether, and
		first and foremost getting the budget approval from my board to
		put in the money, to put in the, you know
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	-put the hands into the pocket.
00:13:03	Di Meo	Yeah, absolutely.
	OP1	Okay. David, would you like to start or you want me to start?
	OP2	Sure. I just want to understand, or if you can, once again, repeat,
		in terms of the bond shareholders that you collect and the
		others, out of the 300, you are holding about 80, the others are
		holding about 100—that the bank is holding 140, and the rest of
		the bondholders are holding how much?
	Di Meo	Well, the banks are holding 160 of the bank debt.
	OP2	160. Okay.
00:13:52	Di Meo	Is that clear, David?
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	So, the 300 is [Connection issues] owned by myself, some
		other hedge funds in total owning, I think they're owning about
		100. And then the market retail which would be difficult for
		them to vote is the rest of the 300. So, you can do the math.
	OP2	So, we had some understanding. So, Apitor Capital is holding
		how much?
	Di Meo	Aptior is holding a minor component. But to be honest, I don't
		want to go into the details of what each hedge fund is holding

and OP2 Understood, understood. Di Meo -I've already received too many legal attacks on these files, so. OP2 Totally understood. You mentioned 00:15:10 Di Meo But what I mentioned is that there is one hedge fund that holds the larger position of the other hedge funds [Connection issues' will want to sell for a markup today. So, once we manage to buy that hedge fund, the game is over. So, we control, through the bondholders meeting, 300 million of bond, because when you control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			because I think it's—I've signed an NDA with those hedge
O0:14:57 Di Meo So, I don't want to say anything that then can be held against me and OP2 Understood, understood. Di Meo -I've already received too many legal attacks on these files, so. OP2 Totally understood. You mentioned O0:15:10 Di Meo But what I mentioned is that there is one hedge fund that holds the larger position of the other hedge funds [Connection issues] will want to sell for a markup today. So, once we manage to buy that hedge fund, the game is over. So, we control, through the bondholders meeting, 300 million of bond, because when you control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation, once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			funds back in the time.
and OP2 Understood, understood. Di Meo -I've already received too many legal attacks on these files, so. OP2 Totally understood. You mentioned O0:15:10 Di Meo But what I mentioned is that there is one hedge fund that holds the larger position of the other hedge funds [Connection issues' will want to sell for a markup today. So, once we manage to buy that hedge fund, the game is over. So, we control, through the bondholders meeting, 300 million of bond, because when you control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?		OP2	I see.
Di Meo -I've already received too many legal attacks on these files, so. OP2 Totally understood. You mentioned But what I mentioned is that there is one hedge fund that holds the larger position of the other hedge funds [Connection issues will want to sell for a markup today. So, once we manage to buy that hedge fund, the game is over. So, we control, through the bondholders meeting, 300 million of bond, because when you control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?	00:14:57	Di Meo	So, I don't want to say anything that then can be held against me and
OP2 Totally understood. You mentioned O0:15:10 Di Meo But what I mentioned is that there is one hedge fund that holds the larger position of the other hedge funds [Connection issues] will want to sell for a markup today. So, once we manage to buy that hedge fund, the game is over. So, we control, through the bondholders meeting, 300 million of bond, because when you control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?		OP2	Understood, understood.
Di Meo But what I mentioned is that there is one hedge fund that holds the larger position of the other hedge funds [Connection issues will want to sell for a markup today. So, once we manage to buy that hedge fund, the game is over. So, we control, through the bondholders meeting, 300 million of bond, because when you control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?		Di Meo	-I've already received too many legal attacks on these files, so.
the larger position of the other hedge funds [Connection issues] will want to sell for a markup today. So, once we manage to buy that hedge fund, the game is over. So, we control, through the bondholders meeting, 300 million of bond, because when you control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?		OP2	Totally understood. You mentioned
will want to sell for a markup today. So, once we manage to buy that hedge fund, the game is over. So, we control, through the bondholders meeting, 300 million of bond, because when you control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation, once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?	00:15:10	Di Meo	But what I mentioned is that there is one hedge fund that holds
that hedge fund, the game is over. So, we control, through the bondholders meeting, 300 million of bond, because when you control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			the larger position of the other hedge funds [Connection issues]
that hedge fund, the game is over. So, we control, through the bondholders meeting, 300 million of bond, because when you control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			will want to sell for a markup today. So, once we manage to buy
bondholders meeting, 300 million of bond, because when you control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			that hedge fund, the game is over. So, we control, through the
control the meeting of the bondholders [Connection issues] 300 million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation, once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
million then you control the whole creditors of Moby, which is less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
less than 600 in total, and you just need 50.1%. So, that's the strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
strategy. So, you don't need to have 51% of the bond, you don't need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
need to get to 150 million because in the second bondholders' meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			, ,
meeting, you just need to have one third of quorum to have the meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation, once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
meeting, and then the majority of that. So, under my calculation once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
once that hedge fund is bought out, then we control for sure the bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
bondholders' meeting. Once you control for sure the bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
bondholders' meeting, you control the vote for 300 million of bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
bond in one class, and that controls the vote of the creditors of Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
Moby because there is less [Connection issues] simple majority. OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
OP2 I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach to?			
to?			majority.
		OP2	I see. Who is the majority of bondholding that we can approach
			to?
00:16:57 Di Meo You mean, in the bonds?	00:16:57	Di Meo	You mean, in the bonds?
OP2 Yeah.		OP2	Yeah.

	Di Meo	I hate to reply the same way I did before, but
	OP2	Oh, I see, okay, okay, okay. What else do we have written down
		here? In terms at that time you said there is, you know, the
		Moby-CIN-Tirrenia situation is political.
00:17:31	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	In what respect it is? Because when we wrote down political, a
		lot of red lights were flashed.
	Di Meo	Absolutely.
	OP2	So, how political this thing is, really?
00:17:46	Di Meo	Well, the fleet of Moby-CIN is the largest passenger ferry fleet
		in Italy. The continuity of movement between Italian mainland
		and the two main islands, Sardinia and Sicily, is guaranteed by
		mostly by Moby-CIN. So, the government has an interest to make
		sure that people are not left incapable of travelling between these
		very two large regions, in Sicily and Sardinia and Italian
		mainland, both in terms of people and in terms of cargo. So, in
		that respect there is some subsidies that the government gives
		CIN every year to perform certain routes.
		00:18:45 - So, to the extent that you guarantee the territorial
		continuity in Italy, and to the extent that you use public funding
		from the state for a certain extent with CIN, then obviously there
		is a political interest. The entrepreneur has shown to be unreliable
		and a nutcase, and that's why the government and specifically the
		ministry of Economic Development, would like a change of
		would like to see a change of governance in this strategic asset in
		Italy, because the owner is unreliable.
	OP2	This was stated in public or is it?
00:19:27	Di Meo	You know politicians. I mean, they cannot say in public, 'We
		don't want this guy to run this company' because we are not, I
		wanna make a joke, say, Russia or China, but to a certain extent-

00:22:09	OP2 Di Meo	So, to a certain extent is on one side it's leverage, on the other
	ODO	Yeah, it was much better when she came down.
	Di Meo	The leverage is that you can, once you control the situation, your vote can procure the bankruptcy in liquidation or the continuity, that's the leverage. But more than the leverage is a gift that you bring to the table to the Ministry, which is, I put you in the position to have the largest ferry asset in Italy be operated by normal governance, by [] without any support, I would say to the—to the ministry I would say, 'Tell me who should run this business, I just put the structure where I make my money and I leave the governance to whoever you dictate should be run this business by.' So, that's what it is. This perspective is dangerous. When people go up the stairs.
	OP2	And do we have as a group, do we have any leverage whatsoever here? Coming as a group of bondholders, do we have any leverage on the Ministry?
		can backchannel to the core and to the stakeholders the information, but they cannot go out in public and obviously, there are interviews by the prior minister who comments badly about the owner because the owner last time threatened to stop the ships entirely unless the state extended the subsidies for a period of time. 00:20:19 - So, there was a threat made by the owner to the state, the state commented in articles in the newspaper through the ministry, saying that this is irresponsible behaviour, and that's the end of it because that's a fact, they respond to a threat. But as I explained to you last time, the ministry of Economic Development is sponsored owned by the Northern League, and the Northern League has been funded by the main competitor of Moby.

		the table, so, obviously I'm working on the back channels to
		make sure that there is an understanding that somebody is
		working on an alternative plan which is predicated upon a change
		of governance in the company.
00:22:42	OD2	
00:22:43	OP2	In terms of at that time, there was some blockage of I don't
		know if it was you acting as the blocking party for the DFDS
		ships.
	Di Meo	Yeah. It's together with the other hedge funds we
	OP2	Yeah, yeah.
	Di Meo	-sent a letter.
	OP2	Yeah. So
	Di Meo	We sent a letter to the security agent.
	OP2	Yeah. Do we have should we should they want to sell the
		ships that we are interested in, do you have the same leverage
		here in stopping a deal?
	Di Meo	They cannot sell it before the closing of the restructuring.
	OP2	I see.
	Di Meo	So
	OP2	So, in terms of acting, you are very comfortable in saying
	Di Meo	[Connection issues] according to the plan
	OP2	Yeah.
00:23:36	Di Meo	If the company let's say, if the company receives an outstanding
		offer today by a buyer, the procedure, the bankruptcy procedure
		in Italy is such that the board needs to communicate to the court
		that it has received an amazing offer on one ship, and therefore
		it's in the interest of creditors to sell that ship. But not the sale
		cannot go through without an auction, so there needs to be an

		auction. Because it needs to be proven by the marketplace that
		that's an amazing offer. That's why nobody even tries.
	OP2	What I'm trying
00:24:12	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	I understand that. What I'm trying to understand, if you have
		enough power to stop such dealing, you our bondholding have
		enough power to stop such dealing, assuming everything goes
		wrong, like you did in this specific deal.
00:24:31	Di Meo	Obviously, I will do what my agenda predicates, which obviously
		is, if they try to sell an asset which I want to keep for whatever
		reason, I will put my lawyers on the case and explain to the court
		that it's not in the interest of creditors to sell that asset, that the
		alternative plan is predicated on the continuity, and therefore that
		asset is key for so, I think there are ways as a creditor, as a large
		creditor that you can convince the court not to proceed with an
		auction.
	OP1	Yeah
	Di Meo	But still, there would need to be an auction.
00:25:09	OP1	I wanted to ask about this special case. At the time, if I remember
		correctly, you said that you stopped the deal with Unicredit, was
		it enough or you did it also to put any pressure on the Norwegian
		also?
	Di Meo	No, the Norwegian, I didn't care about, maybe the price was a
		good price for those vessels, I don't know that yet. But what I
		knew is that the price could have gone to pay down the banks,
		given the documentation in place between the banks and the
		bonds. So, it was not in my interest, even if the price was good,
		that the banks got out far before the restructuring took place. So,
		it had nothing to do with the DFDS, it had nothing to do with the
		fact that the price was good or not, it had to do with the fact that
		whatever price could have gone to the banks and not to the bonds.

		So, it was not in the interest of the bonds. So, we sent a letter to
		the security agent saying, 'The company is in financial distress,
		there is bankruptcy hearing coming up, so you cannot release the
		security because that would be against the documentation.' And
		so, Unicredit didn't release the security.
	OP2	I see.
00:26:26	Di Meo	Unicredit acts as a trust [Connection issues] of the ships on
		behalf of the bonds in the bank. It's like having a trustee. Security
		agent is like a trustee.
00:26:45	OP1	I understand. Okay. I wanted to ask something else, as far as I
		remember from our last meeting, you said that there are few weak
		bondholders that you are in contact with: one is holding, if I
		remember correctly, 25%, the other one 30%, and another one
		50%
	Di Meo	Not percent, not percent. [] percent because otherwise 25%
	2111100	would be really more more than
		would be really more more than .
	OP1	Yeah, so what
	Di Meo	-the majority. So, they
	OP2	So, we spoke about bonds in general.
00:27:16	Di Meo	Yes. If you assume that the other hedge funds own in total around
		100 million, there are three-four players in that hedge like, there
		are three-four hedge funds involved, but there is one who is larger
		than the others, so that's the target number one because once you
		attack the big fish, then the other fishes [fish] disappear, and they
		don't have any voting power anymore.
	OP1	I understand. And you said that it's possible to influence them in
		order to cross to your side, is it correctly [correct]?
00:27:54	Di Meo	No, no. I have come to the conclusion that I will buy them out
		anyway, I have two options because I think it's peanuts money
		for controlling a half-a-billion company. So, it's only a matter of

		funding. I don't want all my eggs in this basket, personally, so
		the optimal way for me to do it is ideally with you because then,
		you also bring the value of buying the two large ships in the back
		end and that's value. That's valuable to me because that makes
		my alternative plan more credible vis-a-vis the court. But if you
		guys don't show up, I'm collecting the funding through my
		contacts to buy out that one hedge fund so that it's game over.
		Because it makes no sense, now that the situation is stable, the
		company is doing much better now with COVID coming out,
		there is a lot of demand for transportation in these vessels, so the
		situation is stable, it's not gonna go into bankruptcy, now that the
		court has admitted the company to a proper [Connection issues].
		00:29:20 – The company is worth a lot of money, so it doesn't
		make any sense to be cheap and not buy out the guy for 25-30
		million just because I want to save this 25-30 million, when with
		this 25-30 million you can control half a billion of a company, so
		I've come to that conclusion.
		00:29:41 - So, ideally, if you guys get there on time, happy days
		because there is the additional value add [added value] which is
		the buy of the ships, which gives more cashflow in the plan,
		certainty of cashflows in the plan. I don't need to say, 'I hope I
		will sell those ships for value', I already have bids for these ships
		at this value, and that's a transaction which would be part of the
		restructuring. But if you don't get there, I'm organising myself to
		get that funding because, again, it would be foolish not to do it,
		given the size of the company and the small amount of money
		that needs to be invested in assets, which are bonds which are
		fairly priced. So, it's not that you are throwing money away from
		the window, it's you see what I'm saying.
00:30:36	OP2	Can we in this respect this is another option, guarantee, put a
		guarantee, a certain amount of money, quite a large one, to you
		so you'll feel comfortable going in
	<u> </u>	

	Di Meo	I haven't, sorry, I lost you for five seconds, David, can you repeat?
	OP2	Yeah, can you can we do something this is one of the shareholders asked me, whether we can put a guaranteed money for you not to buy the bonds, but a guaranteed money so you can work it out with, show that you have the fund, but this money in the end will come for the purchase of the ships?
00:31:25	Di Meo	But the bonds, if you don't give the cash, you can't buy them. [Laughs]. That's the market. Okay?
	OP2	No, I totally understand. But you can use it as a guarantee when you go to other entities.
	Di Meo	And the other entities are putting up the cash and they want a piece of the cake.
	OP2	I see.
	Di Meo	Then I have to deal with them as my partner. If they say, 'I give 25, 30 million', we do it together, we share the company together, it's obvious. I'm being 100% transparent with you guys because
	OP2	No, I totally
	OP1	Yeah, yeah.
	OP2	I totally respect that. Bear in mind that, you know, we have 10 people on the board, each one has its own request and enquiry, and I think what we've built is quite substantial, I think we have most of the board agreeing to this position in order to work with you to buy some of the bonds and put some of the money at risk from our side.
00:32:38	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	And then deposit the other amount of money for the purchase of the ships.

	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	So, in essence, if everything goes right, we buy the ships at their
		stated price. If everything goes in the middle, we spend another
		certain amount to buy the bonds, and we have a shareholding of
		a company.
	Di Meo	I understand that. I understand.
	OP2	Yeah, sorry?
00:33:06	Di Meo	I understand. Maybe, David, as we said in the beginning, it's very
		useful, I think, to put all these things in writing so that the process
		is clear and there isn't
	OP2	That's why we
	Di Meo	-a misunderstanding. Because it's very clear in my mind, because
		that's what I do for a living. So, I wanna really make sure that
		you guys fully, fully understand exactly every part of it.
	OP2	Okay.
	Di Meo	And so, I'm willing to dedicate a few hours to review and to mark
		up whatever you guys
	OP1	David, we will write such a presentation
	OP2	Yeah, I totally agree. What I would like to do is, we will write a
		few points to you, we will send it to you, please add your notes
		and add whatever you think
	Di Meo	Yeah. Absolutely.
	OP2	-will be good for our board to approve it, and so we continue.
		Since we have the board meeting next Wednesday or Thursday,
		I think it's Wednesday, Wednesday, our time 6pm, your time I
		think, Ruslan, is about 12 or 11 o'clock, you're gonna be in
		England, right?
	OP1	Yeah.

	OP2	Okay. So, what I'll do is, I'll put in some thoughts that we think.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	I'll put in what I do remember from the meeting because I was
		doing both of us, were doing most of the talking so I don't
		really did not have the chance to write. And then you can add
		up whatever you have to say.
	Di Meo	Absolutely.
	OP2	And let's try to readjourn on Monday or Tuesday at the latest.
	Di Meo	Absolutely.
	OP2	Is that okay?
	Di Meo	That's perfect.
	OP1	Yeah.
	OP2	Ruslan, is that okay with you?
	OP1	I wanted to add something yeah, I wanted to add and ask
		something, if it's okay.
	Di Meo	Sure.
	OP1	You blame us that we don't have any Italian speakers in our team,
		and you mentioned
	Di Meo	No, I didn't blame you, I didn't blame you.
	OP1	Yeah, probably we are a bit stupid doing that, but
	Di Meo	No.
	OP1	-I wanted to ask you, maybe it's again misinterpretation of the
		Italian. [Connection issues] Can you hear me now?
	OP2	Yeah, now yeah.
	Di Meo	Oh, yes.
	OP1	A few bondholders that they are in connection with Moby. It was
		Apitor capital
L	1	

OP2	I have already asked yeah, I have already asked the question, Ruslan.
OP1	I understand.
OP2	You were cut off at that time.
OP1	I couldn't hear you.
OP2	Yeah.
OP1	Yeah, okay. So, sorry for repeating your question.
Di Meo	No, it's okay, no problem. Let's get the thing in writing very precise so that we're all comfortable that we are heading in the right direction.
OP1	Yeah.
OP2	Good. Anything else, Ruslan, you would like to ask?
OP1	No, I do, but probably I was cutting off. So, I will call you in a few minutes and probably you already asked the questions.
OP2	Yeah. [Laughs]. Okay.
Di Meo	Thank you very much.
OP2	Listen, don't call me, I'm going to sleep, I had a long day today.
OP1	Antonello, thank you very much, go back to your business which is much more fun than we are doing over here. So, sorry for interrupting you, by the way.
Di Meo	Not at all, not at all, with pleasure. Have a lovely day.
OP2	Thanks a lot, take care, bye bye.
OP1	Great, thank you very much.
Di Meo	Thanks, bye.
OP1	Enjoy, bye. [Meeting ends]

Date of the meeting: August 9th, 2021

Name and duration of the recording: 20210809_153039 | 00:53:49

Side	Transcript
OP1	[Meeting starts]
	00:02:57 - Hello?
OP2	Hey Antonello.
OP1	Hi.
OP2	Hey, how are you?
OP1	Fine, thank you. How are you?
OP2	Good, good. Tired. Long day today.
OP1	Yeah.
OP2	Very long day.
OP1	I can imagine.
OP2	How are you? I don't see you. Ah, same place, that's good.
Di Meo	Can you see me?
OP2	That's good.
OP1	Hello.
Di Meo	Hi guys, how are you?
OP1	Fine, thank you. How are you?
Di Meo	Very good, thanks. Can you hear me well?
OP1	Yeah, this time yeah.
OP2	Yeah, very well.
Di Meo	Great, because from
OP1	You are on the same stairs
	OP1 OP2 OP1

	Di Meo	-you never know from the heads
	OP1	-you are on the same stairs as last time.
	Di Meo	It's a place we come quite often, so, and it has good Wi-Fi and I
		know that these stairs are quiet, so that's why.
	OP1	Yeah.
	OP2	It's on the you told us last time it's on the way to the toilet,
		isn't it?
	Di Meo	No, no, no, no.
	OP1	Yeah, okay.
	Di Meo	It's not
	OP2	Listen, my friend
	Di Meo	-not on the way to toilet. I think it's, upstairs there are the
		rooms.
00:04:16	OP2	Oh, I see. Listen, first of all, I really appreciate the time and
		everything. I really we need this to firm up the presentation, it
		looks that it's going in good ways, good vibes from our place,
		but we need to affirm a few questions that you changed or
		added up. So, bear with us for a few questions, and let us, you
		know, close it and hopefully
	OP1	Yeah.
	OP2	-present it this week, is that okay with you? Antonello?
	Di Meo	Absolutely, absolutely.
	OP2	Okay.
	Di Meo	If you maybe when we have the final version, just for the sake
		of can you hear me?
	OP2	Yeah, we can hear you.
	OP1	Yeah.
		1

	Di Meo	Can you hear me?
	OP1	Yeah, perfectly.
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	Hello?
	OP1	Yeah. Hello?
	OP2	He fell down
	OP1	Hello? Yeah, maybe the Wi-Fi is not so good over there, next to the toilet.
	OP2	Yeah.
	OP1	We will wait. I will bring my coffee in the meanwhile.
00:05:51	OP2	How are you doing? Long time, huh?
	OP1	Yeah.
00:06:49	OP2	Do you hear us better right now, Antonello? Di Meo? Hey, do you hear us now, Antonello?
	OP1	Hello?
	OP2	We can see you but we cannot hear you.
00:07:44	Di Meo	Hello?
	OP2	Yeah, can you hear us?
	OP1	Yes, hi. Can you hear us? Hello?
	Di Meo	Yes I can
	OP1	Perfect.
	Di Meo	-hear you, yeah.
	OP1	Okay, David, would you like to start or you would like me to start?
	OP2	I'm going through the presentation, okay? So
	Di Meo	Yeah.

	OP2	-apparently do you hear us? If you don't hear us, just raise
		your hand, okay?
	Di Meo	Okay, okay.
	OP2	Okay. So, you mentioned that the financial institution that has
		funded your financial institution, about 80 million in bonds that you control. And I know you mention it before, we went to the
		board, we would like to go into a partnership, and they would
		like some more information, who are we partnering with. Is it
		your individual, or the 80 million bonds as a whole? You know,
		all those bondholders? Is that a private bank? I mean, who are
		the people that we are joining in with? And this relates to the
		other questions later on, into the other bondholders, but let's
		address this one.
00:09:18	OP1	He's gone again. This time it's his fault.
	OP2	Yeah.
	OP1	Not ours.
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	[Audio disruption] Pretty bad, unfortunately.
	OP2	Yeah, do you hear us now?
	Di Meo	[].
	OP2	Okay.
	Di Meo	Yes I can.
	OP2	Did you hear my last question?
	Di Meo	I got, I got your question. So, 50 million, and I'll be 100%
		transparent with you, I hope that, again, you treat the
		information with confidentiality, and I don't want to even see in
		emails or anything else. 50 million is directly controlled by my
		investment company. 29 million is controlled by Morgan
		Stanley.
L		

	OP2	What, I'm sorry?
	Di Meo	As you might understand, market Morgan Stanley cannot
		formally enter into agreement because they are a market maker.
	OP2	I see. So, if I hear you correctly, 'cause the sound is very bad,
		50 million is your private own, and 29 million is by Morgan
		Stanley, is that correct?
00:11:20	Di Meo	That's correct.
	OP2	Okay, okay. Question, why did you
	Di Meo	Now, just to be clear, David
	OP2	-why did you go ahead.
	OP1	Hello?
	Di Meo	Let's try with this, can you hear me now?
	OP2	Oh, much better, much better.
	OP1	Yeah.
	Di Meo	I cannot hear you still.
	OP2	Can you hear us now? Antonello, do you wanna get
	Di Meo	Let me try to connect again.
	OP2	You wanna do it do you wanna do it some other time when
		he's nearby a better internet connection?
	OP1	By the way, it's only Wi-Fi over there so he just need to go to a
		better place. I more will be more than happy to wait a bit, and
		let him change places.
00:12:36	OP2	Antonello, do you wanna change places, or do you want to
		connect later on? It's up to you.
	Di Meo	Okay, now it's no, no. Now it's now I can hear with you.
		Before there was a problem with the headset.
	OP2	Okay, okay, so now it's better. So if you

	Di Meo	So, so
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	Go ahead.
00:12:54	Di Meo	So, the numbers are those ones I gave you. Now you need to understand the sensitivity around Morgan Stanley, which is the following. First, they are a market maker, they cannot be seen by hedge fund clients as siding with one. So, they cannot enter formally into agreements with me or with anybody else because they are a market-making desk.
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	The guy who runs Morgan Stanley Global, Massimo Piazzi, is my best friend.
	OP2	Okay.
	Di Meo	He's the head of global distressed debt business of Morgan Stanley.
	OP2	Okay.
	Di Meo	He will vote what I say him to vote.
	OP2	Understood.
	Di Meo	He has an interest which is beyond Morgan Stanley, so that's my guarantee that he will vote the way I say. But he cannot, as a market-making desk, enter into agreements, otherwise they cannot freely trade. So, that is why the sensitivity around this issue. They are happy to put more money into the trade, so effectively, I already have an agreement with them that's, if you guys don't wanna invest money, I'll put some more money, they'll put some more money, and we'll try to buy the bonds that we are already starting to speak with the hedge funds because this needs to happen in August.

		00:14:30 - Now, that is the only two parties in the group. When
		you mention the hedge fund group, there are two-three hedge
		funds that own in collectively 100 million. One of them owns
		50 million, and that's the guy that I'm going to try to buy out
		because that means game over in the restructuring if I take him
		out. And unfortunately, I know that to take him out, 50 to 60
		cents on a dollar will be needed, and that's why the €25 to €30
		million. So, that's the exact landscape in terms of who you're
		partnering up with, and the governance on my side.
		00:15:19 - And I have, in order to be representing circa 80
		million before the Court of Milan and therefore having 26% of
		the bond under my control, I had Morgan Stanley send an email
		to a notary public in London saying that I effectively manage
		their position, and that's why I have this notary public
		statement [internet disruption] by Savills London that says,
		'Antonello di Meo controls 26% of the bonds.' So, the notary
		public wrote this statement, this sworn statement on the basis of
		an email that Morgan Stanley sent to represent that I have the
		management of their position.
	OP1	I understand.
	OP2	Now, this is why you erased the other bondholders from the
		presentation?
	Di Meo	No, no. It's just that I was formally [] in a group with the
		other hedge funds, and we disclosed confidential information
		[internet disruption] disclose my position, I disclose [internet
		disruption] to me, and we signed a confidentiality agreement.
		So, if there is a document that goes around, well, it's clear that I
		told you guys the names are in the public press, so
	OP2	Yeah, that's why I'm asking.
	Di Meo	-you can write the names of the hedge funds.
	OP1	Exactly.
<u> </u>	1	

	Di Meo	But in the public press, there is not the position of each hedge
		fund. Only myself can know the position of each hedge fund,
		and I'm under confidentiality agreement, and I try to avoid
		having people to go after me with legal actions, so. [Laughs]
	OP1	Yeah.
	Di Meo	Otherwise, I have too many battles to fight.
	OP2	To fight, I understand.
	OP1	Yeah.
	OP2	Okay. So let me put this, so I'm okay with this one. I'm just
		looking at the presentation, one second, ah? If you have any
		question, Ruslan, go ahead.
	OP1	Yeah.
00:17:53	OP2	Now, you mentioned before, we see in the news that the
		bondholders and are now discussing with Moby. That's what
		we managed to read in the news. Is that correct, that they are
		coming to an agreement with Moby and everything?
	Di Meo	Who is coming to an agreement with Moby?
	OP2	The other bondholders.
	OP1	The other bondholders.
	Di Meo	So, I spoke to them two days ago, they understand the other
		hedge funds that own 100 million. They are speaking to the
		company, you know that I have a different strategy on this
		point.
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	They're speaking to the company. They understand now there
		was a legal action by the state vis-à-vis Moby last week, which
		basically makes them understand now that the state will want a
		change of ownership. So, they told me that they're formally
		speaking with the company, but they're not going to rush the

		negotiation before September because they also understand that
		the court and the state, in some way, will effectively push out
		the current shareholder from the governance. So, they are
		pretending to negotiate because they have an interest to receive
		all the information that you can receive only if you speak to the
		company, but they again, they are not rushing into any
		agreement formally. And even if they do, they don't have the
		votes to put the plan through, so it's irrelevant because they
		need my vote.
00:19:43	OP1	[Simultaneous talk] Yeah, but how, how you can be sure that
		they are going with us and not with Moby?
	Di Meo	Because I need to buy them. That's the only that's why we are
		talking, because
	OP1	In the end, it's financial interest. And if they will see that their
		interest to go with Moby is better than us, so they will leave us
		alone and will go with them. Or
	Di Meo	Yes, but they don't have the vote to push through the
		bondholders meeting because I will block it in the bondholders
		meeting.
	OP1	I understand.
00:20:17	Di Meo	But in any case, as I said, at this point again, I've already
		taken the decision that I will buy out some of the bonds of that
		group. And once I buy out some of the bonds of that group, it's
		game over because then, it's irrelevant for the company to speak
		to 50 million of bonds when I own 130.
	OP1	Yeah.
	Di Meo	And I can push by myself the plan through the bondholders
		meeting.
	OP1	This is exactly what I wanted to ask. You said that you want to
		buy one of the bondholders. Are you putting all the eggs in the

		in one basket, which means you are aiming only towards one
		bondholder, or you have several bondholders that they are as a
		protection
	Di Meo	No, I want to take a no, because once you buy the bondholders
		with 50 million, the mathematics of the bondholders meeting is
		such that I don't need to buy anybody else.
	OP1	Exactly.
00:21:20	Di Meo	Also, the guy with 50 million is the only Italian guy in the
		group. The other guys will never try to defend themselves once
		they know that I have the votes in the bondholders meeting to
		push the plan I want. They will come and knock on my door
		asking me to join the group, but I don't need their votes
		anymore, at that point.
	OP1	I understand. And us joining to this venture, we're going to help
		you to buy out the 50 million?
	Di Meo	So, that's the idea. That's the whole idea, it's again, my plan
		is, I need to buy these 50 million and make sure that I have
		100% of control of the restructuring plan, which means I put
		forward a plan and I vote, and nobody can block me, that's
		100% controlled.
	OP1	You
00:22:17	Di Meo	So, the move, the move to have 100% control is to buy this guy
		out. I know it will take 50 to 60 cents on a dollar to buy this guy
		out, which means €25 to €30 million. If your money is coming
		in, we will do it with your money. If your money is not coming
		in, I will put some millions, and Morgan Stanley will put the
		rest.
	OP1	I understand. Will we have the chance to meet this person, or
		we need to give you the money and trust you with the purchase?

	Di Meo	I mean, you will need to trust me because it's will say that I can
		buy up to 50 million bonds up to a certain price, and so,
		effectively, let's assume that I buy 50 million bonds from other
		guys and not this one guy, it's still game over, because they
		wouldn't have the votes to counter me. It's easier to buy from
		one than to buy from six.
	OP1	I understand.
	Di Meo	Because if you buy from six people, you need to align six
		trades.
	OP1	Yeah.
	Di Meo	And so it's easier to go for the big fish.
	OP1	Yeah.
	Di Meo	And then everybody else is without the strategy.
	OP1	Can you please tell me
	Di Meo	You will need to trust me, it will be legalized in the escrow.
00:23:44	OP2	Antonello
	OP1	Yeah, I think, by the way, I think we have a delay in the line
		somehow, but I wanted to ask during the conversation, at least
		can you tell us who is this guy that hold the 50 million, that we
		can show some details to our board of directors, according to
		the plan of buying out the bonds of Moby?
	Di Meo	Let me ask you a question. Who can guarantee me that this
		information doesn't go out and I pay the consequences for it?
		Because I have two risks. First one is, again, you go and speak
		to this guy, and so you disintermediate me, and that's a
		commercial problem. And then there is a legal problem, that if
		this information then is put down in emails or in paper, then it
		will be very easy to demonstrate that I gave you such
		information.

need to see my angle also. So, I think that the golden pat between those two and I'm fully accepting what you're saying. First of all, I can assure you that we're not going approach this guy and we'll try to bypass you, it's somet that we are not going to do for a very simple reason, we	to hing
saying. First of all, I can assure you that we're not going approach this guy and we'll try to bypass you, it's somet	to hing
approach this guy and we'll try to bypass you, it's somet	hing
that we are not going to do for a year simple reason, we	are not
that we are not going to do for a very simple reason, we	
familiar with the market as well as you do. You saw on o	our last
meeting that even our Italian, it's a bit problematic, and	we
don't see the data as it is. So, we need somebody like yo	u so we
won't shoot ourselves in the head or in the legs. It will b	e stupid
from our side, so this is first. But in order for you to feel	
comfortable, and in order to provide us what we need, ca	ın you
describe this guy that holds the 50 million without saying	g his
name? Only that I and David will be able to present the	hing to
the board of directors.	
00:25:45 Di Meo It's a very large it's a very large, very large multi-billio	n
London-based hedge fund that invest in various asset cla	sses.
OP1 I understand, okay. Great, fair enough.	
OP2 Antonello, Antonello, let me ask you, the board, I don't	know if
you know the mentality in China, Hong Kong, is the it	's very
important for them that the relationship with the ministry	itself.
And you mentioned several times about the Ministry of	
Economics and the Northern League that is against Mob	y.
Would you say that	
Di Meo Not Moby, the owner. Against let's say they don't have	e = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
sympathy vis-à-vis the owner of Moby.	
OP2 Yeah. Oh, okay. Okay. So, they don't have a sympathy.	Do you
think that's what I've been asked. Do you think there's	
subsidised, paid by, bribed by, I don't know, by any other	r
external group like the competition? Where we're gonna	

	Di Meo	Oh, definitely. Definitely look, in a way, it's irrelevant
		because there needs to be a three-player market, it's not in the
		interest of the state and European anti-competition authority
		will never allow a consolidation of the market into two players.
		So, the state needs three players. However, the state needs three
		players who know how to behave, because what Moby did with
		to buy the vessels from the state and not to pay them, and now
		the state has a credit vis-à-vis a bankrupt company, it's not
		acceptable to politicians because that's public money, and they
		will be gone after in the next elections for it.
	OP2	We lost
	Di Meo	So, there needs to be a three [internet disruption] Moby do
		anything possible to change the ownership of Moby, that's the
		way you cut it. Now, you cannot see, as a state, to publicly say,
		'We don't like that entrepreneur', they cannot say, 'I do a deal
		with a certain creditor', that's not the role of the state. But as
		you very well know because you operate in that geography, the
		state behind the curtains decides and acts.
		00:28:32 - So, my strategy is to once I have the votes, it's to
		keep on creating a possibility of a restructuring plan with a
		change of ownership of Moby because that will mean that the
		state will be very friendly towards that plan and will tell
		Tirrenia to vote in favour of the plan. Tirrenia is the biggest
		creditor of the subsidiary CIN, which is the one which gets the
		public state subsidies.
00:29:11	OP2	We heard about a week ago, we heard that Grimaldi is behind a
		lot of this movement, and he's trying to take over through a
		Danish company, possibly, the company. And this how he can
		get control out of, you know, from behind, control out of the
		third line. Is that true? I mean, does it make any sense?
	Di Meo	When you say 'Danish company', you mean DFDS?
	<u> </u>	

OP2	Yeah.
Di Meo	That's a public company.
OP2	Yeah. But we heard that there is some movement of Grimaldi
	trying to take over this bankruptcy as well. And we are thinking,
	you know, are we fighting, you know, a very big conglomerate
	with no chance of winning?
Di Meo	It's very simple. The restructuring needs the creditors' vote.
	Grimaldi is not a creditor. So, the only thing he can do is, if the
	chapter 11 fails and the company goes into liquidation,
	Grimaldi can buy in an auction from the state receivers some
	vessels. Because of competition, he cannot take over Moby, he
	can only buy certain vessels and try to win certain routes, but if
	Moby is a growing concern, the restructuring will be voted by
	creditors, as simple as that. So, if Grimaldi comes to me and
	says, 'I want those ships. I'll give you the bid on those ships at
	above fair market value. Can you put together a plan which
	includes my [] needs?' I will say yes as much as I say yes to
	you guys, because I'm a financial investor, I only care in the
	recovery.
	00:31:34 - So But Grimaldi has never approached me, so
	again it's, they don't have a way to buy because there isn't an
	auction at the moment. So, if if, let's say tomorrow, the most
	aggressive thing they can do is, they make a formal offer to the
	board of Moby, but that will need to be voted by the creditors
	because there is a Chapter 11, so in the Chapter 11, in the
	Concordato, there is nothing that Grimaldi can do without the
	creditors' votes, without the bonds. Outside the Chapter 11, if
	the chapter 11 if the concordato will fail and go bankrupt, then
	Moby will be will end up in an extraordinary administration,
	the state will send receivers, and they will liquidate the estate.
	And Grimaldi will need to join the queue and participate to the
	auction.
<u> </u>	

OP2	I see. So, we I can assure our board that you are not
Di Meo	I'm
OP2	-playing in two ways.
Di Meo	No, no, Grimaldi has never gotten in touch with me. And it's
	look at me. From my standpoint, it's weak to speak to potential
	buyers of the assets unless I have the votes.
OP2	I see.
Di Meo	Because I need to sort that. So, once I control the governance of
	the votes, then it's different. But honestly, 100% Grimaldi has
	never approached me.
OP2	Understood.
OP1	I wanted to ask something. We are pretty familiar with the
	involvement of political groups in the business procedures in
	several places in the world, like in Russia, for example, and
	other places. So, we're quite familiar with those procedures.
	You mentioned the Northern League several times in our
	conversation. Does Grimaldi, in some way, connected to the
	Northern League?
Di Meo	Yes. I understand that they sponsor financially the Northern
	League. They are one of the financial sponsors of the Northern
	League. That's why Grimaldi hates Onorato, and that's why he
	wants to see this company managed by another owner. They
	know that they cannot take over the company because of anti-
	competition rules, but he personally hates Onorato, and so, his
	objective in life is to have Moby taken over by different owner.
	I'm sure they would prefer to have a friendly owner that they
	can have a better marketplace with better pricing, to make more
	money, that's what Grimaldi is after.
OP1	I understand.
	Di Meo OP2 OP2 OP1 OP1 Di Meo

	Di Meo	Grimaldi look, Grimaldi is an international company. Italy is a
		minority of their business.
	OP2	I know.
	Di Meo	In a normal world, they shouldn't bother about Italy, he only
		has a personal beef with Onorato, which has gone into the
		public press. They say things to each other through the
		newspapers, like, I don't know. And so, his objective, which is
		a personal vendetta, is to see Onorato without his company.
00:35:06	OP1	You remember the film 'The Godfather'?
	Di Meo	Yeah, I do.
	OP1	So in The Godfather he says, 'There isn't such thing, it's not
		personal. Everything in life it's personal'.
	Di Meo	It's personal, yeah. Yeah.
	OP2	Tell me, Antonello, I have one last question with regard to the
		presentation that we sent, and then I'd like to into some details
		with the legal, because I'd like to introduce to you our legal
		team. It's one of the largest groups on Hong Kong, [] Group.
		But before that, it was mentioned that, you know, UniCredit and
		Intensa has about 160 million debt there. What's their role in
		this procedure? Do they are they active, or just sit there, wait
		to see what happens?
00:36:08	Di Meo	No, the they have 100 sorry, first, there is five or six banks
		that in aggregate hold €160 million of bank debt. It's not the
		bond, it's bank debt. It's
	OP2	Yeah, yeah, it is bank debt, yeah, I'm sorry.
	Di Meo	-on top.
	OP2	Yeah, yeah, yea, you're right.
	Di Meo	So, because they have 160 and the bond has 300, whatever they
		vote is irrelevant.
	<u>I</u>	

	OP2	I see.
	Di Meo	Now, you also have to understand that when a company goes
		into a concordato, the relationship manager of the bank doesn't
		cover their credit anymore. It goes to the legal department. And
		the objective of the legal department is not to recover 50 cents
		instead of 30 cents. The objective is to minimize the potential
	OP2	Loss.
	Di Meo	-action against the bank that lent money to financially distressed companies, and UniCredit was also, together with Goldman Sachs, issued the bond, and so they have other legal issues to take care of. They don't really care if they recover 50 cents or 30 cents. It's a legal analysis for them to avoid a liquidation of
		the company, because in that case, claw back actions and criminal actions would be definitely started by the receivers against the banks of the company.
	OP2	Understood.
	Di Meo	Because it's a crime in Italy to lend money to a bankrupt company.
00:37:49	OP2	Last maybe I have some more, but Ruslan, if you have any
		we I'm gonna send you the information about our legal. Do
		you know which company, which legal firm are we gonna work
		with, or are we appointing directly with you? Our legal firm
		will work with a corresponding legal firm from your side, or is
		it they should approach you directly with all the proceeding?
	OP1	One second, I want to add to that.
	Di Meo	No, no, they
	OP1	Last time, when we met in brief, you said that we are it's
		looks like we're not familiar with the Italian market, and you're
		absolutely right. It's the small details. So the question is, would
		it be okay to find a local lawyer or law firm that we can attach

		to, in order to see the things more clearly? I think that the most
		logical one is the one that you are working with, if it's okay for
		them to provide services also to us, or to our legal firm, it's
		something that can be done. The question if it's okay with you,
		and how it's can we move forward with that.
00:39:06	Di Meo	So let's divide this argument into distinct things. First, the legal
		around the escrow is one thing, and that has nothing to do with
		Italy because the escrow account, it will be in the, let's say, in
		the Isle of Man, and so it's only a matter of English law. I don't
		need a lawyer to negotiate what works in terms of the
		documents, that's regulate the escrow account. Ultimately, after
		I commercially negotiate what makes sense to me, then I will
		have a last look by a lawyer. But I personally don't need it, so I
		would say, to answer the first question by David, get your
		lawyers directly in touch with me, because I'm a very legal
		person, even without being a lawyer.
	OP2	Okay.
00:40:12	Di Meo	And then last minute I will have a lawyer, just to double check.
		But again, that's a very distinct topic because it's about an
		escrow agreement, over an escrow account which will be under
		my direction within the rules of the escrow agreement in an
		account in Isle of Man, and so it's English law. Did you say it's
		Akin Gump, your law firm?
	OP2	Akin Group. Akin Group.
	Di Meo	Okay, because you I don't know if you mean Akin Group is
		the same as Akin Gump, G-u-m-p. I tell you why, because Akin
		Gump is representing Moby for UK and US law matters in the
		case, so they would be conflicted to represent you, and also,
		very importantly, you do not want that Akin Gump lawyers in
		London that are representing Moby know that there is this

		conversation. Because then we give Moby and its owner the
		chance to neutralize our partnership, so to speak.
		endice to neutralize our partitership, so to speak.
00:41:36	OP2	First of all, thank you so much for this information. I didn't
		know that, that's new, that's very new for me, I had no idea
		that let me see, that's what you say right now is kind of a
		surprising details for me. We need to
	Di Meo	If it's not the same law firm, maybe it's not the same law firm,
	Di Wico	
		but if it's Akin
	OP1	I think it's not the same I think this is not the same.
	Di Meo	Okay.
	OP2	Let me do the checking, it's an important aspect here. Very
		important aspect, let me do the checking
	Di Meo	Okay.
	DI WICO	Okay.
	OP2	-and I'll come back to you as early as I can. Anyway, if it's the
		same legal
	Di Meo	That
	OP2	-we will not use this group of course, that goes without saying.
00:42:21	Di Meo	On the second point, Italian restructuring point, I think it would
		be helpful if you speak directly to an Italian restructuring
		lawyer, to ask the questions that you ask me, for two reasons:
		one is because at some point [Laughs] I will start to charge
		you fees for all the [Laughs]
	OP2	That's okay, that's okay.
	OP1	Go ahead. It's okay.
	Di Meo	Just joking, just joking. But I want for you to double check my
		answers with the lawyer so that you can give more credibility to
		what I tell you. There is one lawyer that used to work for DLA
		Piper. He's now working for probably the best lawyer in Italy
		called Cappelli.
		Cuppelli.

	OP2	Cappelli?
	Di Meo	Cappelli law firm. He's now working for Cappelli law firm
		which, in my opinion, Mr Cappelli is the best lawyer in Italy,
		commercially. So, the lawyer speaks Mr Luca Magrini, he has
		followed a lot of these cases is with international aspects. And
		so, I would introduce you to him for you to
00:43:42	OP2	Luca is L-u-c-k, right a.
	Di Meo	L-u-c-a, and the last name is Magrini, M-a
	OP2	Ah, Magrini.
	Di Meo	-g-r-i
	OP2	n-i okay.
	Di Meo	-n-i.
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	Luca is a lawyer, a very good lawyer who speaks good English,
		and he's used to speak to foreigners. So, he's very he has a
		mentality of because you know, most of Italian lawyers, they
		would struggle to speak your language in a way, not only from
		an English standpoint, but from a mentality standpoint. So,
		Luca is very good because he knows this case very well because
		he was involved two years ago in this case. And he's a great
		lawyer and he's available. So, I will if you need, an Italian
		restructuring lawyer to speak to, to ask 100 questions about the
		process, I'll put you in touch with Luca Magrini, and you can
		harass him as much as you want.
00:44:53	OP2	Tell me, Antonello, there is a ridiculous request by a company
		named J Invest into insolvency of CIN.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	Do you know anything about it? What is it?

	Di Meo	Yeah, I know the guy who owns J Invest. The reason why he's
	Di Wee	trying to get CIN into bankruptcy is because he's a creditor of
		Tirrenia, Tirrenia is the state company. And he thinks that he
		has an interest too from the liquidation of CIN. Now, so he's
		hassling the court to declare the bankruptcy of CIN. Now,
		unfortunately for him, there is a bigger picture, here. And the
		bigger picture is, does the state want this company to stay alive
		and continue to connect Italy to Sardinia and Sicily? Or it
		prefers the liquidation of the vessels? Because the answer is, the
		court and the state want a continuation of the business
		preserving 7,000 employees and preserving the routes which
		connect Italy to Sardinia and Sicily.
		00:46:08 - The court will do whatever it takes to keep the going
		concern, and the bankruptcy request by J Invest is sterilised by
		the Chapter 11 process in CIN. And the Chapter 11 process in
		CIN is controlled by Tirrenia, so there is nothing that J Invest
		can do.
00:46:29	OP2	Understood. So, it would not interfere us in any way?
	D: M	
	Di Meo	No, no.
	OP2	Okay. Ruslan, just a small question, you put in your information
		and you put it, TPG. What is TPG Capital? It's the first time
	Di Meo	TPG Capital is Texas Pacific Group, it's the top three private
		equity fund in the US. The founder is David Bonderman,
		anybody in the United States knows that KKR, Blackstone, and
		TPG are the three largest, oldest private equity funds in the US.
	OP2	No, but then you do they have any holding with you in this
		venture?
	Di Meo	No, that's just my resume. Because you've wrote
	OP2	Oh, I see.
	Di Meo	-you've wrote, I think you've wrote

	OP2	Yeah
	Di Meo	-what did you write? JP Morgan.
	OP2	Yeah, you did we did write JP Morgan and we wrote
	Di Meo	Sound Point.
	OP2	Yeah, we didn't know that you were
	Di Meo	So, you have my full background, it's JP Morgan, top
		investment bank, TPG, top private equity fund, Sound Point, top
		hedge fund with credit expertise, so if I
	OP2	Any other thing
	Di Meo	-wanted to just give a
	OP2	I'm finished with the presentation, any other thing you think we
		should relate to the board?
	Di Meo	No, if you want please.
	OP1	[] I think this could hello?
	OP2	Go ahead, Ruslan.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP1	Yeah, Mr Di Meo, do you think that, as David asked, from our
		perspective I think those were the questions in order to help us
		present everything to the board. Do you think there is any kind
		of something else that might be an added value for us to
		persuade them with this deal?
00:48:32	Di Meo	Look, I think that from your perspective, it's all dependent
		upon I mean, I don't know your objectives. What I can say is
		that once we control a company worth half a billion, which is
		one of the strategic assets in Italy, a lot of good things can come
		out out of it. Not only on this company, but on a lot of other
		things. Because if let's I'll give you an example. Let's say
		you are interested in two ships, but we will together have
		governance on one strategic asset in Italy. So, if the ministry

		tells me, 'Make sure that the equity will be owned by guy X, Y,
		Z', I will say, 'Okay, fine, let's sit down', and on top of making
		money, I can say, 'Okay, but I have an interest to check that
		asset, or' so there is other business coming out of the fact that
		you hold the keys into one of the strategic assets in Italy. And
		the ministry wants something from you. 'Give the company to
		Mr X, Y, Z', and it's up to you, to us, to determine yes or no, so
		we can ask something back on top of the money, which is what
		I'm after.
	OP1	I understand.
	Di Meo	They that's
	OP2	And I can say
	Di Meo	-I think that's the strategic
	OP2	-and I can say that we have good contacts there, in the ministry.
	Di Meo	Yeah, absolutely.
00:50:02	OP2	Okay.
	Di Meo	But again, just to be clear, David, because Italy is a very
		bureaucratic country, there is not one guy who commands,
		having good contacts means I mean, let's put this way: I have
		good contacts to make sure that I create a case which is in the
		interest of the ministry to approve. It's not if I have the wrong
		case, if my plan is to keep Onorato in charge of the business,
		there is no good contact. They will never approve. So you need
		intelligence rather than good contacts. You need a brain to put
		together a case, a restructuring plan, which is in the interest of
		the ministry, so the ministry will support. So there is not such a
		thing in Italy anymore, 'Oh, you know Berlusconi and therefore
		you will get things done', because today in Italy, there are it's
		like 100 people fighting against each other. So you might have a
		contact in to one, but then you have 99 fighting against you. So

	you just need to create a smart case for the system to back you
	up.
OP2	Understood.
Di Meo	And that's more than contacts.
OP2	Understood.
OP1	By the way, you mentioned intelligence, and it's very important
	point, and I want to ask you, how you familiar with the other
	side that makes you sure that your plans will or our plans
	today will succeed?
Di Meo	When you say, 'The other side', you mean the political side?
OP1	All the sides. What makes you sure that the political sides, the
	bondholders, everything, will come up to a point that we are
	going to succeed with our plans?
Di Meo	Well, there is I mean, from bondholders, banks, and other
	creditors, it's very simple. I don't need them on board if I
	control the vote. The political side is more tricky, because they
	can change things. So, and the only and I've spoken to my
	intermediary who speaks to the ministry and speaks to Tirrenia
	administrators, and their only objective is a change of equity,
	ownership of this company, to put a reliable equity owner in the
	seat. That's what they care. So if I can create the situation to
	achieve these objective for them, they will support it.
OP1	I understand. So, in the end, it's all interests that coming to one
	point.
Di Meo	At least on the political side, yes. On the other sides, it's
	mathematics of voting.
OP1	Yeah, it's [].
OP2	Ruslan, I need to get information about the legal aspect. I'll put
	everything in one presentation, I'll send it to you for
	authorization, and then the board is on Thursday if I'm not
	Di Meo OP1 OP1 OP1 OP1 OP1 OP1

		mistaken, and if everything goes well, we can have some
		answers end of next week or the week after. We don't want to
		extend it very long. From my side, Antonello, thank you so
		much. Any other thing you like to ask, Ruslan?
	OP1	No, thank you very much.
	Di Meo	Whenever you want your legal people to speak to me just put
		them in touch
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-with me via email, and I'll arrange a call with them.
	OP2	Will do, will do.
	OP1	Great, great.
00:53:43	Di Meo	Thanks, have a good day, guys.
	OP1	Antonello, thank you very much.
	OP2	Thanks, take care.
	OP1	Have a great day.
	Di Meo	My pleasure, bye-bye.
	OP1	David
	OP2	Bye-bye.
	OP1	-good evening. Thank you very much.
	OP2	Take care, bye-bye.
	OP1	Bye.
		[Meeting ends]

Date of the meeting: August 16th, 2021

Name and duration of the recording: $20210816_171710 \mid 00:43:19$

Time	Side	Transcript
stamp		
00:00:00	OP2	[Meeting starts]
		[]. אני מעלה אותוי [Hebrew: do I put him on?].
		00:00:40 - Hey, Antonello.
	Di Meo	Hello.
	OP2	Hey, how are you, my friend?
	Di Meo	I'm good, I'm good. Can you hear me well?
	OP2	Yeah, very well. Very good line, very good line.
	Di Meo	No, but you don't hear the music in the background, right?
	OP2	No, we hear you very well.
	Di Meo	Okay, great.
	OP2	Just one second, let me try to get Ruslan on the line, I'm
	Di Meo	Sure.
	OP2	-corresponding with him through WhatsApp, okay?
	Di Meo	Sure.
00:01:12	OP2	Sorry about that, it's just very late and I have a very early
		morning tomorrow, so we need to work it out. By the way,
		before he goes up, we had a great meeting with the board. We
		had a very good meeting with the board.
	Di Meo	Okay, great.
	OP2	He will explain, but we had a very good meeting, I'm very
		happy about it, so
	Di Meo	Great, great, great.
	OP2	Yeah.
00:01:53	OP1	Hello.

	OP2	Hey Ruslan, how are you?
	OP1	Fine, how are you?
	Di Meo	Hello.
	OP1	How are you, Massimo where we are catching you?
	Di Meo	I'm let me turn the camera.
	OP2	You are on, you are on.
	OP1	No
	OP2	Oh, beautiful.
	Di Meo	I'm in a place called 'Hippie Fish', it's a relaxing
	OP1	How it called?
	Di Meo	Hippie, Hippie Fish.
	OP2	Hippie Fish?
	Di Meo	It's more of a relaxing chillout club, beach club in Mykonos.
	OP2	In Mykonos?
	OP1	And how is the fish involved in all of that?
00:02:34	Di Meo	The fish has been we put the right baits in the sea, and a few snappers came our way. So, I'm quite happy with the pride fish on the table.
	OP2	The baits speak Russian? The baits speak Russian?
	Di Meo	Yes. Yes, both of them. It's an easier territory for exploration, so
	OP1	You want me to help you with the translation?
	Di Meo	Thanks God there is Google Translate in
	OP1	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-that can basically, you speak into the microphone, and immediately translate the language, so it's very easy.

OP2	How much
OP1	Yeah.
OP2	-how much wording do you need, more than that?
Di Meo	Yeah, exactly. You don't need many words anyway. Russian women tend to be quite
OP2	Ruslan, we're not seeing you.
OP1	You can't see me? One second.
OP2	Oh, I missed you.
Di Meo	Oh, always the most elegant in the room.
OP2	Yeah, elegant in town, yeah.
OP1	Yeah, thank you, thank you. And I would pay a lot to exchange places with you, Massimo.
OP2	You got your tan as well.
OP1	What?
OP2	She's
Di Meo	Yeah, [].
OP2	-she's not yeah, she's not rubbing you enough.
OP1	Yeah.
OP2	So, I started, Ruslan, I just started before you went up in the air, I just started saying to Antonello that we had a great meeting, we had a great board meeting.
OP1	Yeah.
OP2	And I but I wanna leave this for you to say because you were more active than I am in the meeting, so if you can just give us the highlights, and then let's see what is the next step.

00:04:31	OP1	Yeah. So, first of all, thank you very much for having this call
		with us. I'm feeling very uncomfortable that we are disturbing
		your vacation every now and then.
	Di Meo	No, no, life is a vacation, so it's
	OP1	Yeah.
	Di Meo	-never disturbing.
	OP1	Yeah, and David, I'm really sorry about the hour, but it's
		something that we need to do, so I'm very appreciating your
		patience.
	Di Meo	What time is in Hong Kong, by the way?
	OP2	12:00.
	Di Meo	Oh, wow. Sorry about it.
	OP2	Yeah, it's okay.
00:05:02	OP1	It's time for his beauty sleep, but still. So, we had a very good
		board meeting. We explained them everything and gave them a
		brief about our meeting. We managed to persuade 80% of the
		board to support us, which is quite a lot. To be completely frank
		and honest with you, one of the things that helped us really, it's
		that Morgan Stanley is onboard. It's something that was, from
		our side, a gamechanger, and brought the right results to the
		decision as we see it. You remember, in the past we told you
		that there is differences between us, how we see the things and
		how the board seeing it, and now we brought the board to think
		as us, most of the board, 80%, as I said before.
		00:06:06 - The board is quite enthusiastic, and they asked us
		would it be possible to try to arrange a board meeting with you,
		in order to hear more and to be more verifying about the
		opportunities that we have.
	Di Meo	Absolutely.
	1	1

	OP1	There are few questions that they asked David and I, and in the
		end, they decided to ask you directly if it's possible. But if you-
	Di Meo	Yeah, with pleasure.
	OP1	-would like to have a sense about what they eager to know, or
		what are the questions, David will be more than happy to
		present them to you in order to maybe try to verify things with
		us at first.
	Di Meo	Any chance, David, you can put them on an email and
	OP2	Sure, sure.
	Di Meo	-or you wanna discuss now? Just
	OP2	No, not a problem, but let's it's only a few questions, I'll put
		them on email.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
00:07:05	OP2	But the idea, just to summarise what Ruslan was saying, is we
		had a we explained, as we are meeting with you all the time,
		we are meeting with the board and we are conveying and
		giving, you know, summaries of the meetings and
		understanding, to build the 'Okay'. And it's not so easy. You
		know, buying a ship is one thing, and it really doesn't matter
		how much it cost, if you have the technical people, the it's an
		easy process. Buying a company, buying a distressed company
		with a bond, it's a totally different process for us. And it's new.
		00:07:49 - As such, we are, you know, getting the support of the
		board in order for us to authorise the move into the buying of
		the ship. Now, there a lot of other questions are raised in the
		middle, what will we do, who is supporting us, who is the
		bondholders, all things that are not in the conventional
		purchasing of any boat or any product, for that matter. So, our
		forte here is you. And we are highlighting your professionalism,

		your expertise in the subject, your knowledge of the
		counterpart, you know, Moby owners and etcetera. But more
		than that, I think as Ruslan mentioned, when we mentioned
		Morgan Stanley, it just changed are you with us? We lost you?
		Hello? Antonello?
	Di Meo	Hello?
	OP2	Yeah
	OP1	Hello?
	OP2	-we lost
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	Yeah, we lost you.
	OP1	We lost you.
	OP2	Yeah.
	OP1	We lost you in the
00:09:04	OP2	Yeah. Anyway, when we mentioned Morgan Stanley, things
		have changed dramatically in our explanations. Just, it went
		from a lot of questions marks to, 'Okay, sounds good'. And this
		namedrop of Morgan Stanley created the shift in our
		environment, but we need some more
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	-they need some more support in terms of, if possible, you can
		bring them to the board meeting or bring your friend to the
		board meeting or give us some more of understanding who are
		we dealing with because, you know, Massimo is a name nobody
		knows, but the combination between you could get the shift,
		although to be very frank with you, we're already there. It's just
		to hammer the last nail on the coffin
	Di Meo	Yeah.

are authorised to get between \$20 to \$25 million. Di Meo Okay. That's for the bond purchases. OP2 Yeah. O0:10:19 Di Meo We can definitely top up that amount, if needed, between Morgan Stanley and I. So, in terms of so, if you agree, it's better if you give me a list of questions on email about what board will ask me. I think it's easier if we do it in two steps first one is the board meeting with myself, then because a Morgan Stanley, you have to understand the sensitivity aro it. Because it's public on the name, because they need to be to trade. So, if I ask a trader of Morgan Stanley to be part of meeting with people he doesn't know, and therefore he can trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, prisensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge with position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who's Global Head.	, we
OP2 Yeah. O0:10:19 Di Meo We can definitely top up that amount, if needed, between Morgan Stanley and I. So, in terms of so, if you agree, it's better if you give me a list of questions on email about what board will ask me. I think it's easier if we do it in two steps first one is the board meeting with myself, then because a Morgan Stanley, you have to understand the sensitivity aro it. Because it's public on the name, because they need to be to trade. So, if I ask a trader of Morgan Stanley to be part of meeting with people he doesn't know, and therefore he can trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pri sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge with position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who'	
00:10:19 Di Meo We can definitely top up that amount, if needed, between Morgan Stanley and I. So, in terms of so, if you agree, it's better if you give me a list of questions on email about what board will ask me. I think it's easier if we do it in two steps first one is the board meeting with myself, then because a Morgan Stanley, you have to understand the sensitivity aro it. Because it's public on the name, because they need to be to trade. So, if I ask a trader of Morgan Stanley to be part of meeting with people he doesn't know, and therefore he can trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pri sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge with position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who's	
Morgan Stanley and I. So, in terms of so, if you agree, it's better if you give me a list of questions on email about what board will ask me. I think it's easier if we do it in two steps first one is the board meeting with myself, then because a Morgan Stanley, you have to understand the sensitivity aro it. Because it's public on the name, because they need to be to trade. So, if I ask a trader of Morgan Stanley to be part of meeting with people he doesn't know, and therefore he can trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pri sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge with position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who's	
better if you give me a list of questions on email about what board will ask me. I think it's easier if we do it in two steps first one is the board meeting with myself, then because a Morgan Stanley, you have to understand the sensitivity aro it. Because it's public on the name, because they need to be to trade. So, if I ask a trader of Morgan Stanley to be part of meeting with people he doesn't know, and therefore he can trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pring sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge with position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who'	
board will ask me. I think it's easier if we do it in two steps first one is the board meeting with myself, then because a Morgan Stanley, you have to understand the sensitivity aro it. Because it's public on the name, because they need to be to trade. So, if I ask a trader of Morgan Stanley to be part o meeting with people he doesn't know, and therefore he can trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pri sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge wit position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who'	S
first one is the board meeting with myself, then because a Morgan Stanley, you have to understand the sensitivity aro it. Because it's public on the name, because they need to be to trade. So, if I ask a trader of Morgan Stanley to be part of meeting with people he doesn't know, and therefore he can trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pri sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge with position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who'	t the
Morgan Stanley, you have to understand the sensitivity aro it. Because it's public on the name, because they need to be to trade. So, if I ask a trader of Morgan Stanley to be part of meeting with people he doesn't know, and therefore he can trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pri sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge with position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who'	: the
it. Because it's public on the name, because they need to be to trade. So, if I ask a trader of Morgan Stanley to be part of meeting with people he doesn't know, and therefore he can trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pri sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge with position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who's	gain,
to trade. So, if I ask a trader of Morgan Stanley to be part of meeting with people he doesn't know, and therefore he can trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pri sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge with position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who's	und
meeting with people he doesn't know, and therefore he can trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pri sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge wit position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who'	able
trust, to participate into a conversation where I will be disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pri sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge wit position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who'	f a
disclosing what can be considered material, non-public, pri sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge wit position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who'	not
sensitive information, he might get a bit nervous. So, let me have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge wit position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who'	
have a word with the trader who is ultimately in charge wit position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who'	ce-
position, and reports into my friend, Massimo Piazzi, who'	e
	h the
Global Head.	s the
00:11:34 - The trader is an American-Jewish guy, he's very	7
commercial. He understands things very quickly. But his	
attitude is, 'I support Antonello because there will be a goo	d
trade'. So, I can answer 100% of the questions of anybody	in
this deal, I, even myself, I don't disclose 70% of the things	to
the trader, because the trader just needs to vote the bonds in	the
way I tell him to. He doesn't know the nitty-gritty details, h	ne's a
trader. I'm an investor in distressed securities, he only trade	es
them. He gives market quotes. So, he's a smart guy and I'n	1
sure that if he participates the call, he will give the right	
impression to your people. But he, himself, wouldn't be ab	le to
answer 80% of the technical questions that I'm able to answ	ver,
just because the role is different. So, that's just a disclaiment	r.

	1	004044 37 7 4 4 444
		00:12:41 - Now, I can have a word with him and we can try, in
		order to speed things up, to do everything in one go, but maybe
		just with a video call with me, the board could be understanding
		of all the difficult questions to be answered, and I'm sure I can
		get them comfortable. You said, if they want the last mile with
		the Morgan Stanley trader, I don't know what kind of value
		added the call, he can bring, other than saying, 'This is my
		name, I work for Morgan Stanley and I support Antonello Di
		Meo with our boards, in the negotiation with the company, but I
		can only tell you that offline because on the record, I cannot
		state such things'.
00:13:31	OP1	Yeah, I would like to suggest something. David, maybe it's
00.13.31		better to go through part of the questions right now, just in order
		not to how to put it, not to be silly in front of Antonello and to
		send something that he cannot answer, or we are not in a stage
		of answering those questions. Just to go through, and then we
		will do fine tuning to those questions that they are okay, and
		then we can send them.
	OP2	It's okay with me, I'm open.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	You know, Mr Ling, he needs to see, he needs to feel the
		people. And I think he will, as soon as he will see Antonello,
		because he hears from us, 'Antonello, Antonello' and 'Di Meo',
		but he doesn't fit, you know, the name to the picture, to the
		and when he heard Morgan Stanley, this is where he came to
		say, 'Okay, now I'm more supportive.'
	OD1	
	OP1	Yeah.
	OP2	So, I think once we put him on the line and we put Morgan
		Stanley in, it will, you know, the coin will drop, and we'll get
		the final approval. So, that's the way I see it. I think we are it
		will work if he will bring in I don't know who is the trader
<u> </u>	I	

		and I don't know what is the connection between this trader and
		the bondholding, but I think having Morgan Stanley on the line
		could create the image that we are there.
00:15:17	OP1	Yeah, but for example, I remember us discussing the thing
		about the future of the company after we're going to purchase
		it. If we're going to
	OP2	Yeah
	OP1	It's something, I think it's something that we can discuss right
		now, only to have a sense of it.
	OP2	It's just Antonello, the question was, it's a simple question
		and I answer that, what will you do once we get you know,
		manage to overtake the company by the buying of the boat the
		bonds. They are afraid that your next step is going to declare
		bankruptcy and then sell the assets. By that, we would lose track
		of buying the ship. So, I assured them that the idea is to get the
		bondholdings the bondholders to get the right management.
		And by that, in order to liquify the company, they will sell a few
		ships and we are there to buy one of them or two.
00:16:27	Di Meo	Yeah, it's very difficult to be able to explain transactional
		details to somebody who's not familiar with restructuring
		processes. And we have done this many times, and I'll do it
		again. We are in the middle of a Chapter 11, I mean, I suggest
		again, there is a bit of indoctrination about how Chapter 11
		proceedings work. The Chapter 11 proceeding, in Italy,
		concordato preventivo [Italian: Company voluntary
		arrangement], is a moment of moratorium on the [] by
		creditors, where the capital structure hold the liabilities, the
		financial liabilities of a company, need to be restructured,
		restructured means written off partially or entirely, and partially
		or entirely swapped into equity because the company is not

doing as well as before, and therefore cannot sustain with its own cashflows the financial liabilities that were in the company. 00:17:35 - The process of a Chapter 11, of a concordato preventivo [Italian: Company voluntary arrangement], ends up with a proposal made by the debtor, by the company, or by the creditors, and it's put to creditors' vote in the end, and only the creditors with the majority vote can accept or reject a proposal of restructuring of the financial abilities of the company in Chapter 11. So, we are in the middle of a Chapter 11 there. I will need to put a competing proposal to the company's one. The competing proposal will involve a partial swap of the bonds into equity, to take control, majority or relative majority, to be seen, of the company. Ultimately, I want to be in a position where only our vote is enough to pass with the majority the competing plan that I will put forward, and that's why we want to buy certain bonds, to make sure that we can sell, vote such proposal. Our proposal.

00:18:44 - What the proposal will say? The proposal will say, 'All the creditors, including our bonds, get certain recovery over the next five years, but because we will make an equity capital increase, we'll take control of the company.' So, let's say there are various ways of doing it, and we are not at the stage to exactly crafting the way of doing it because we want to wait for the judicial commissioner's report in September, October. So, but ballpark, all the creditors, 700 million, take 20 cents in a dollar over the life of the plan. How do-- the company will pay 20 cents in a dollar, we will sell some ships, or we will get cashflows from the operations in the business. We will pay 20 cents of the dollar, so let's say 200 million to all the creditors over the next five years, and to do that, to take control of the company, we'll make a capital increase-- sorry, really [...], an equity raise, an equity capital increase.

00:19:56 - Now, at the moment, the Chapter 11 plan is voted in favour of 20-30 million to make sure that we control the business. So, we will control, with 20-30 million equity investment, the business which will carry forward, let's say, 150-200 million of debt, which you will-- we will need to be paying out with the asset disposals over the life of the company, over the next five years. And therefore, we buy for, let's say, 250-300 million proforma a company which is worth half a billion. That's the basic. Now, once you vote in favour of this proposal, this restructuring plan, and you make the equity raise, and you put the equity in the business and you promise only 20 cents recovery to all creditors, you control the business. Once you control the business, you can decide what to do with the business. Now, in reality, the decision of who is going to control this business will be done much before we vote in favour of the plan. Because the moment I know that I can put through a plan, I will know-- I will go to the government and say, 'Who do you want me to give this control of the business to, knowing that I want to recover X amount of money from the old bonds?'.

00:21:22 - Or if the government says, 'As far as the current owner is thrown away, I'm happy for you to find an independent management team that you want', in which case I will go and find, through executive search firms, an independent management team to manage the company. Now, the whole idea is that the restructuring plan will allow to buy the company for liquidation value, and you know, I don't pay in cash. I only need to make a 20-30 million equity raise because I pay with a promise to give liquidation value to the creditors over the next five years. So, you don't pay cash now to the creditors with your own money. You make a promise of future payments, and you just have to put 20-30 million of equity to justify the fact that you're taking over the business. So, that's the overall

mechanics. Now, as part of the plan, as we said many times in the past, the plan will say, 'The creditors will recover 20 cents over the next five years also because I already have a firm committed bid by entity X, Y, Z', that you will tell me which one it is, 'that has already made a firm bid on two vessels for 100 plus million', and that's part of the plan.

00:22:56 - So, 'Dear court, dear creditors, two ships will be already sold for this amount'. Then we sell other two ships at very low valuation, and that's how you recover 20 cents on the dollar. And what's left over is for the equity. So, your purchase of the two ships will be part of the plan, it will not be something that we first take it over, we sit on the new board and then we decide. No. Will be part of the plan, so the moment when we vote, the plan will already say, 'The moment the plan is approved, these two ships are sold for this price, this entity, no matter what'. So, that's important that you understand. There is no execution risk if we vote in favour of the plan that you don't get the two ships. It will be part of the plan. And it will be executed the moment the Chapter 11 gets sanctioned by the court, and therefore all the write-offs and swapping to equity happen.

00:24:04 - Once you get your ships for the price that we discussed about, and you will have residual economic interest in the situation through the recovery of the old bonds, and part of the equity commitment that you will make. Now, with these two things, the idea is that they are of insignificant economic value compared to your target, which is the ships. But in any case, because my financial investment will be alongside the bond and the equity, I will be the first one to make sure that that's a profitable investment. Otherwise, where am I making my money?

00:24:53	OP2	Understood. I'm okay with that answer. What I do need you
		once we you go online, is to simplify it, and very important
		aspect is to, you know, give us a timetable. With in the call,
		give us a timetable that we that the board can, you know, say,
		'Okay, within a year'
_	D:16	
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	-'half a year, two years, three years' time, we can go into
		transferring ship ownership over to our group', is that okay?
		Come up with a number, I don't know
	Di Meo	Yeah, I can give
	OP2	-I don't know, I don't think you know, but I understand you
		don't know at this point in time, but we need some
		understanding.
	Di Meo	But because it's I'll give you ballpark timetables. The reality
		is that there is no firm deadlines because the process with the
		court is interactive. For the process the court can postpone the
		voting date two-three times. So, I will try to explain in a simple
		way, but again, the difficulty is that you're asking me to explain
		a process which is extremely complicated and has so many
		variables in a simple way. If my business was simple, it
		wouldn't be as profitable. And the fact that it's complex, it's the
		reason why there is profitability. So, I will try to do my best to
		simplify things vis-à-vis the board, but it's not an easy task.
		And I'll try to, as I said, if you put it down in an email, I'll try
		to give bullet points on email to show you how I plan to present
		it to the board so that you can tell me, 'Yes, this is the level of
		granularity that Mr Ling can understand' or not. But it's
		difficult because if somebody is not familiar with this Chapter
		11 concept, it's like, 'Can you ask Einstein to explain the
		relativity concept to me in three words?'. How the fuck Einstein
		is gonna do it? He's not gonna do it.

00:27:05	OP2	I understand, just, let's try to keep it as simple as possible in
		terms of the explanation. Once we you as I told Ruslan, once
		we match the face, the understanding that there is someone in
		the back of it, I think we have done already all the hard work,
		you just have to explain it and go to the next stage.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	Do you know if there is any bond trading right now?
	Di Meo	No, zero bond trading.
	OP2	I see.
	Di Meo	It's very liquid
	OP2	Ruslan, do you have any other questions?
	OP1	Yeah, I wanted to ask something, something that somebody
		asked during the meeting that we had. There is an old say that
		say, 'The enemy of my enemy is my friend'. And my question
		is, is it making any sense to join forces with Grimaldi in our
		venture?
	Di Meo	There is an antitrust issue, which is why Grimaldi never
		approached me, because if he was interested, he should have
		approached me. In Grimaldi's mind, he want to crush the
		competition as long as the competition is in the hands of his
		enemy, which is the current shareholder of Moby. It will be
		very difficult when they exchange hands. You approach
		somebody, Grimaldi, who is very powerful in Italy, from a
		weak perspective, if you don't have the deal in your hands, it's
		like, I don't know how to explain this, but you go to you want
		to go and negotiate with somebody when you have a strong
		hand of poker in your hands.
	OP1	Exactly.
	Di Meo	Today you have nothing to offer.

	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	Once we control the bond, we have everything to offer.
	OP1	I see.
00:28:54	Di Meo	But don't forget that every conversation, such people will be listened by multiple
	OP2	Legal.
	Di Meo	-multiple, yeah, multiple I don't know, whatever, public prosecutors, secret service, because these are like so, it's very important to maintain always with the eye of, 'If this conversation goes public, what happens?'. That's how I approach all my business because that's a constant pathology of doing this. So, even the conversation we are having, there is nothing wrong with it because I'm looking for referential partners who can potentially give better recovery to creditors, they understand the loan plan that the company is putting together. 00:29:45 - So, it's very important to always do things with the view that it becomes public, and is there a problem with people
		and so on. So, also that's why my I'm very being thoughtful and careful when it comes to the involvement of Morgan Stanley because they cannot, at this point in time, publicly say, 'We are supporting him'. They are saying that privately to a notary public in London that is preparing a notarised statement that says that those bonds let me show you the statement in the next few days that says that those bonds 100% are given to my control. But again, they cannot do it in public because it will create issues from a trading perspective, they will need to be restricted, and if they're restricted, they cannot buy bonds on the portfolio. Point number one. 00:30:42 - Point number two: if they go public saying, 'We are supporting this investor', the other hedge funds that are on the

		other side of the table, they will start calling the big names at
		Morgan Stanley to try to force my friend Massimo to do what
		they want him to do, and not what I want him to do. So, keeping
		it secret, for them, means freedom. The moment they go public
		means they have their hands handcuffed because they cannot
		trade anymore, and they cannot favour me vis-à-vis hedge fund
		clients.
00:31:17	OP1	I understand. I understand. Tell me, what are the chances that
		after we will take over the company, Grimaldi will approach us
		and will try to
	Di Meo	Well, I think that the chances are that there is a conversation
		before the vote, so the moment there is a competing plan that
		shows new governance, new shareholding, and before the vote,
		before the competing plan is put through and creditors vote, and
		that's the moment where I plan to go to the real stakeholders,
		and the real stakeholder is the government, and first things first,
		because obviously they will have the view on how this money
		should be divided between these three companies, and who the
		stakeholders of the three companies should be.
		00:32:04 - And secondly, to Grimaldi, to the extent that he's
		willing to engage with the competitors. So, and again, we need
		to be careful because there is [] and considerations. So, it's,
		again, it's a very sensitive point, that if you don't [], they will
		use it against you, and in this case against me. So, I need to be
		very careful because I cannot be seen in public that I'm
		considering teaming up with a competitor of the company I'm
		investing in just to make to throw out the current shareholder
		of Moby.
	OP1	I understand.
	OP2	Antonello, listen, as you said and you explained before, you
		know, dealing with distressed companies, buying bonds and

		trying to take over, it's not an easy solution. My from my end,
		I'd like to keep it as simple as possible in order to get
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	-the 'Okay'. To be very frank, I've made in my life
	Di Meo	I'll try to do it
	OP2	-a lot of deals, the more I complicate things to people, the more the sale cycle is extending. So, I'd like you to keep it as simple as possible in terms of dates, what is need to be done when you are presenting it to the board, but for us it's important that you bring another you bring your trader, the Morgan Stanley, just to bring him in and say, 'Okay, they are with us on this deal', and that's about it. The more we actually go and dive into the bits and bytes, let the legal do that. But the board needs to see it from a, you know, aggregated level, from a bird's eye view level. Once they authorise it, then it's much easier process
		because then, technicalities will be dealt later on. Is that okay with you?
00:34:09	Di Meo	There is no, no, that's I look, I've been all my life presenting to investment committees of private equity funds and hedge funds all over the world, so it's all clear to me that making things look simple, it's the shortest way to get approval. There is a 'but', which is more for you two than for me. The more you simplify things which are complex, the more there could be I don't wanna say surprises on the way, but things are they're gonna say to you, 'You told me that by December there is the vote. Now the court is postponed to March. Where is?' So, there is a competing risk in making things simple, but this is for you to manage, the bridge this gap is that then things, once the complexity unfolds and my job is to react to unfolding of news, of positioning of other stakeholders, so, you change the plan because somebody did a move.

		the plan', we swap the bond [], that's a simplification which-you run the risk that, once the plan actually would be different because of a number of things that happened between today and when the plan would be put forward at the end of October, then
		because of a number of things that happened between today and
		when the plan would be put forward at the end of October, then
		they would say, 'Oh, yeah, but you told me two months ago that
		it was only 20-30 million of equity, why is now 50?'. Things
		like this is the competing risk with simplifying things. As I said,
		it's more for you guys because for me, in a way, it's important
		that the two of you understand it fully. And that's why also I
		like to start putting things more structured on paper so that you
		can make a reference to the things we say to each other on
		paper, so that there is no surprise because I'm a very transparent
		and straightforward person, and the last thing I want is you
		telling me, 'Oh, but you didn't tell us that' and then most likely,
		my answer would be, 'Actually, in the way I explained it to
		you' [Internet disruption]
	OP2	Yeah.
	OP1	We lost you
	Di Meo	Hello? Hello?
	OP2	Yeah.
	Di Meo	[Simultaneous talk -] Okay.
	OP1	-on the last minute.
	Di Meo	Yeah. So, basically, you understand what I'm trying to say?
1		[] that
		<u> </u>
	OP2	No, I totally understand. Please understand our structure. The
	OP2	No, I totally understand. Please understand our structure. The idea is first the board to authorise it. Once the board will
	OP2	
	OP1 Di Meo OP2 Di Meo OP1	We lost you Hello? Hello? Yeah. [Simultaneous talk -] Okay. -on the last minute. Yeah. So, basically, you understand what I'm trying to say?

		as buying the bondholders. But if you don't get the board
		approval to go ahead
	Di Meo	No, no, I get it.
	OP2	-I can speak to anyone I want, I can move a lot of people,
		nothing will happen. Once I have the board approved, then
		things can follow in a month or not, but nothing will move if I
		don't get the approval. I cannot, I cannot
	Di Meo	No, no. I get it, I get it.
	OP2	I cannot even start
	Di Meo	[Simultaneous talk -] I just want to be clear
	OP2	-speaking to the legal. I cannot even start discussing. I cannot
		even do anything before I get the approval. Once I have the
		approval, then my ship start moving into the sea.
	Di Meo	Absolutely. No, I just wanted to reiterate this concept because I
		don't want at least disappoint the two of you because we have
		developed anyway a good relationship, so.
	OP1	And I will fully understand.
00:37:52	Di Meo	And the other thing is that, for example, the risk we're running
		now is that I discussed this with you a month ago I think end
		of August, or at the latest the beginning of September is the
		closing of the window to buy the other bonds because if the
		bond purchase doesn't happen, of the other bonds, then the risk
		I'm running/ we're running is that the deal takes a shape that
		gets discussed and [] a few times between the hedge fund and
		the current shareholder, such that then, it's very difficult for a
		hedge fund to sell the bonds because the deal is taking a
		positive shape, the hedge fund is seeing, let's say, 80% recovery
		in the deal that they are trying to negotiate with the owner and
		therefore they are gonna say, 'Why do I need to sell it 50 cents
		on a dollar?'

the moment. I think really the window for bond-buying close the early part of September. OP2 Tell me Di Meo Again, I told you, []. If after all this work we are never go be able to purchase the bonds, and therefore I mean, I will always put together a competing plan hoping that I will have enough voting block to crush their deal and support their deal and they will have, ultimately, to support my deal too, but obviously, it's a different game, much more risky because ye don't have certainty that you can vote your plan. So, just I wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come ba to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or		OP2	Yeah.
the early part of September. OP2 Tell me Di Meo Again, I told you, []. If after all this work we are never go be able to purchase the bonds, and therefore I mean, I will always put together a competing plan hoping that I will have enough voting block to crush their deal and support their deal and they will have, ultimately, to support my deal too, but obviously, it's a different game, much more risky because ye don't have certainty that you can vote your plan. So, just I wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come bat to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or]	Di Meo	So, that's the highest risk I see that, in a way, we are running at
OP2 Tell me Di Meo Again, I told you, []. If after all this work we are never go be able to purchase the bonds, and therefore I mean, I will always put together a competing plan hoping that I will have enough voting block to crush their deal and support their deal and they will have, ultimately, to support my deal too, but obviously, it's a different game, much more risky because you don't have certainty that you can vote your plan. So, just I wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come bat to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			the moment. I think really the window for bond-buying close in
Di Meo Again, I told you, []. If after all this work we are never go be able to purchase the bonds, and therefore I mean, I will always put together a competing plan hoping that I will have enough voting block to crush their deal and support their deal and they will have, ultimately, to support my deal too, but obviously, it's a different game, much more risky because you don't have certainty that you can vote your plan. So, just I wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come bat to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. OD:39:56 OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			the early part of September.
be able to purchase the bonds, and therefore I mean, I will always put together a competing plan hoping that I will have enough voting block to crush their deal and support their deal and they will have, ultimately, to support my deal too, but obviously, it's a different game, much more risky because year don't have certainty that you can vote your plan. So, just I wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come bat to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or	(OP2	Tell me
always put together a competing plan hoping that I will have enough voting block to crush their deal and support their deal and they will have, ultimately, to support my deal too, but obviously, it's a different game, much more risky because you don't have certainty that you can vote your plan. So, just I wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come bat to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you.— I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the—where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or]	Di Meo	Again, I told you, []. If after all this work we are never gonna
enough voting block to crush their deal and support their deal and they will have, ultimately, to support my deal too, but obviously, it's a different game, much more risky because year don't have certainty that you can vote your plan. So, just I wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come bat to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			be able to purchase the bonds, and therefore I mean, I will
and they will have, ultimately, to support my deal too, but obviously, it's a different game, much more risky because year don't have certainty that you can vote your plan. So, just I wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come bat to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			always put together a competing plan hoping that I will have
obviously, it's a different game, much more risky because yet don't have certainty that you can vote your plan. So, just I wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come bat to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			enough voting block to crush their deal and support their deal,
don't have certainty that you can vote your plan. So, just I wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come ba to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			and they will have, ultimately, to support my deal too, but
wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come bate to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			obviously, it's a different game, much more risky because you
to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell 'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. O0:39:56 OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			don't have certainty that you can vote your plan. So, just I
'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. O0:39:56 OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			wanted to reiterate this because I don't want you to come back
they're not selling because they have a deal with the []. O0:39:56 OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			to me in a month and say, 'Now we're ready' and I will tell you,
O0:39:56 OP2 Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			'Well, we've tried to contact the counterparts and they said
authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, somethin like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			they're not selling because they have a deal with the [].
like that. Are you I understand you need something in the range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or	0:39:56	OP2	Last question on my side []. I told you that we've been
range of 30, that's what I remember. Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			authorised between 20 to 25, to be more exact, 22, something,
Di Meo Yeah. OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			like that. Are you I understand you need something in the
OP2 What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or			range of 30, that's what I remember.
]	Di Meo	Yeah.
anyone else from your part is willing to put in?	(OP2	What is the where is the other amount Morgan Stanley or
			anyone else from your part is willing to put in?
Di Meo Hello?]	Di Meo	Hello?
OP2 Did you hear my question? Antonello, did you hear my	(OP2	Did you hear my question? Antonello, did you hear my
question?			question?
Di Meo Yeah, I got the question. The gap is gonna come from Morg]	Di Meo	Yeah, I got the question. The gap is gonna come from Morgan
Stanley, I hope. And if Morgan Stanley doesn't wanna put a			Stanley, I hope. And if Morgan Stanley doesn't wanna put all
the money, I'll put my money.			the money, I'll put my money.
OP2 Okay. Okay.	•	OP2	Okay. Okay.

	OP1	Perfect.
	OP2	When can we set up the meeting, Ruslan, with the board?
	OP1	Hopefully, next week. Would it be okay?
	OP2	[].
	Di Meo	It's okay for me.
	OP2	Yeah. Do you want you want to meet
	Di Meo	I
	OP2	How do you want to set it up? Do you want to meet with the
		board I don't know if we can have two meetings, that's why I
		want Morgan Stanley
	Di Meo	Okay.
	OP2	-to be on the
00:41:15	Di Meo	Let me, let me speak to Morgan Stanley first. The only thing I
		would say, it's much easier for me before the 23 rd of August
		because on the 23 rd of August to 1 st of September I will be
		sailing on a boat for nine days. And on a boat, the signal, you
		cannot rely. So, until the 23 rd I will be in Paros, in an island, in a
		villa, and so it's much easier for me to also take a call from
		inside the villa with a computer in a more professional format
		than sitting on a beach club.
	OP2	Okay. Fair enough.
	OP1	No problem.
	OP2	Any other thing, Ruslan?
	OP1	No. You asked Antonello?
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP1	Yeah. First of all, thank you very much. Another thing
	Di Meo	Thank you.
	<u> </u>	

OP	I just noticed, the AP is really nice. What happened to the Apple
	watch that your mother bought you?
Di Meo	[Laughs] I'm in Mykonos, so you gotta do some marketing.
OP1	Yeah. [Laughs] I fully agree. Enjoy your time, have a great time.
Di Meo	Thanks, guys. I'll wait for your email.
OP2	Antonello, let me send you a few other questions that we asked, just to answer them. Get me, as soon as you can, an understanding when is the meeting set, it doesn't need to be more than 15 minutes on the line. Bear in mind that we are opposite time. I don't know
Di Meo	I get it.
OP2	Yeah, we are I'm in Hong Kong, they are in the United States, and you are in Greece. So, we need to find an adequate time that we can go on board.
Di Meo	No problem.
OP2	I cannot get my board at 9 o'clock in the evening or 10 o'clock in the evening.
Di Meo	Absolutely, it's fine.
OP2	I'm sorry about that. But
Di Meo	It's fine. []. The other thing is, if you can send me a profile of the people I'm going to speak to
OP2	Sure.
Di Meo	-it will be helpful.
OP2	Sure, no problem.
OP1	No problem.
Di Meo	Okay? Thank you guys, have a good day.
OP1	Enjoy your time, thank you very much.

OP2	Bye, you too. Take care.
OP1	Thank you. Bye-bye.

Date of the meeting: August 22nd, 2021

Name and duration of the recording: 20210822_140059 | 00:27:35

Time	Side	Transcript
stamp		
00:00:00	OP1	[Meeting starts]
		00:00:51 - Hello? Hi, how are you?
	Di Meo	Hi, Ruslan.
	OP1	Hi. You are in the car, right now?
	Di Meo	I'm in a car, but I'm not driving.
	OP1	This is good. Be careful, we don't want you to be injured or
		something of that sort.
	Di Meo	No, no, don't worry, I don't do multitasking.
	OP1	Okay You are flying tomorrow to your cruise, or it's going out
		of Greece?
	Di Meo	No, no. So, tomorrow we go to a different island with a boat, so
		that's why it's a bit more complicated when you are on a boat
		to
	OP1	Yes, of course.
	Di Meo	-to do videocalls.
	OP1	Yeah.
	Di Meo	Today we are in Antiparos.
	OP1	It's a cruise in the Berlusconi style or something different?
	Di Meo	No, no, much more humble. Much more humble.

	OP1	[Laughs] I understand, I understand. Okay.
	Di Meo	You are in Hong Kong?
	OP1	Yes, in a minute. What, your friend is going to join us to this
		call?
	Di Meo	Yeah, yeah.
	OP1	So
	Di Meo	Hillel Drazin of Morgan Stanley.
	OP1	So, let's start with both of you.
	Di Meo	There is a hurricane in the US.
	OP1	Oh. Hello?
	Di Meo	Yeah. Hello?
	OP1	Yeah. Hi, good morning.
00:02:31	Di Meo	Hi, Hillel.
	Drazin	Hi, Antonello. Ruslan, nice to meet you.
	OP1	Hi. I'm Ruslan, very nice to meet you. A very good morning to
		you.
	Drazin	Thank you.
	OP1	Good noon for me, and in a few minutes good evening for our
		guest from Hong Kong.
	Di Meo	You are where are you, now?
	OP1	I'm in London.
	Di Meo	Okay. How is the weather?
	OP1	Okay. As always, the weather in London, how can it be? Once I
		went for a meeting in London, and it was sunny, and it was
		great. And on my way back, it was raining. So, the cab driver
		told me, 'You know why we calling "Mother Nature", "Mother
		Nature", and not "Father Nature" or "Brother Nature"?

	Di Meo	Why?
	OP1	'Because the weather in London it's like a woman, it's
		unpredictable.'
	Di Meo	[Laughs]
	OP1	So
	Di Meo	Hillel was telling me there is a hurricane in New York.
	OP1	Yeah.
	Drazin	Yeah, I'm sitting on my porch, and it's pouring, pouring rain.
	OP1	Oh. Now, in London it's 20 degrees. So, it's not too hot, but it's
		okay. Probably in Greece it's thirtysomething or?
	Di Meo	Yeah, yeah, it's 32.
	OP1	Yeah, I understand.
	Drazin	Are you going somewhere, Antonello, or just sitting in the car?
	Di Meo	I'm in the car not driving. I'm in Antiparos at the moment. A
		tiny island in the Cyclades.
	OP1	Yeah. So
	Di Meo	We can't see you Hillel, I don't know if it's because your
		camera is off or because your hairstyle okay.
	OP1	Yeah.
	Drazin	You can decide. I'm looking summery.
	OP1	Yeah. So, first of all nice to meet you.
	Drazin	[].
	OP1	I will join our friends from Hong Kong in a few minutes. It's
		going to be David, which Antonello knows him for quite a
		while, and Mr Lim. Mr Lim is one of our board of directors.
		Just take in consideration that now in Hong Kong, it's nine
		o'clock in the evening and it's Sunday, so
·		·

	Drazin	Yeah.
00:04:45	OP1	He will represent all the rest of them. In general, we already
		spoke with him and we explained everything after we had a
		very long discussions with Antonello. And everything is agreed
		more or less. The only thing that they would like to assure, that
		you guys from New York standing behind Antonello and
		everything that Antonello will decide, you will follow. This is
		first. And the other thing, which is also very important to them,
		it's the two ships that we discussed earlier. They fully
		understand that we need to pass certain procedure before we can
		have results about the ships. But hopefully, if everything will go
		according to the plans, we would like to have those two ships
		that we discussed with Antonello earlier. This is the aim of the
		meeting and the aim of those individuals in Hong Kong, after
		we had a very long discussions with them and explained
		everything. So, if it's okay with you, I think I can join them to
		the meeting, and to have this conversation.
	Di Meo	Sure.
	Drazin	Sure.
	OP1	One second. And again, thank you very much for having this
		call with us on Sunday, we really appreciate that.
	Drazin	No problem.
	OP1	Hi, David. Good evening.
	Di Meo	Hi, David.
	OP1	Hello, Mr Lim. Good evening.
	OP3	Yeah, hi. Yeah, good evening, everyone.
	Drazin	Good evening Good morning, [].
	OP2	Good night, Ruslan, how are you?
	OP1	Yeah, we have good morning, good noon, and good evening, so.

	OP3	Yeah.
00:06:39	OP1	This is the variety, thanks God to Zoom calls. Yeah, David, we
		had a small chat before we brought you into this conversation.
		So, if you would like to say something, the stage is yours.
	OP2	First of all, thank you very much for having us. Mr Drazin, is
		that correct?
	Drazin	Yeah.
	OP1	No, he's sitting in the car, he's not driving.
	Di Meo	No, no.
	Drazin	Hi, Hillel Drazin, yeah. It's nice to meet you.
	OP1	Yeah.
	OP2	Hi, how are you?
	OP1	I can give you a small brief what happened up until now,
		Antonello is sitting in the car, in New York we have an
		hurricane, a pouring rain, so this is where we are standing right
		now.
	OP2	Nice car. What kind of car is it?
	Di Meo	It's a Range Rover sport, nothing fancy.
	OP2	Ah, okay. I just want you to open the roof so we can see the
		sun. It's raining here, pouring rain in here.
	OP3	[Simultaneous talk] [].
	OP2	How yeah. How about in New York? Is it the hurricane is
		already there?
	Drazin	It's pouring, pouring rain. Feels
	OP2	Really?
	Drazin	Not much wind, but there is a lot of rain, yeah.
	OP2	Great.

	Drazin	There was rain all day.
00:08:02	OP2	So, this meeting is not gonna be long. It just we our board of directors have asked us to you know, we have been discussing with Antonello for quite some time this you know, participating in the bond purchasing of Moby and CIN and Tirrena [Tirrenia], and to be able to, in some way or another, take over the company. And from our end, be able to sell us two of the ships. So, Antonello was kind enough to show us a way, or to lead us into a way, which is gonna be much easier path to acquire those ships. And we kind of like the idea. 00:09:00 - First of all, the idea of having a good chance of having those two ships. But more, to be very frank with you, Antonello, more importantly, possibly a foot into the ferry business in Italy as well. So, we've asked one of our board members, Mr Lim, to join us. He asked to see, to hear the people, and to understand better. And to, you know, to get an assurance in this respect, that Morgan Stanley is with us in this venture, and for us, more importantly, to assure that, you know, the ships are there for sale and we can put our hand, maybe in a year time or half a year time, after we go with the path that Di Meo is provided us. Di Meo, do you wanna highlight more?
	Di Meo	Yeah. I'll give the three-minutes overview of the situation then Mr Lim can ask any questions he'd like to focus on. First of all, nice to meet you.
	OP3	Nice to meet you.
00:10:27	Di Meo	I have my own investment company, I manage family office money. I worked in London for 20 years in JP Morgan, TPG Capital, Barclays, and Sound Point Capital. I used to work with Hillel Drazin at Barclays for a few years, so we know each other very well and there is a very trustworthy relationship. As I mentioned to David and Ruslan, Hillel also works at Morgan Stanley with one of my best friends, Massimo Piazzi, who is an

Italian national, like me, who runs globally the distressed business of Morgan Stanley.

00:11:11 - So, there is a consortium basically between my investment company and Morgan Stanley, which has acquired a significant portion of the bonds of Moby, Moby-CIN, which is one of the most relevant infrastructure companies in Italy because it's the number one ferry operator of people between Italian mainland to Sardinia and Sicily, and one of the main three cargo operator between mainland Italy and the islands of Sardinia and Sicily. It's a three-players market. Moby is one, Grimaldi, a very large and international company, is the second one. And Grandi Navi Veloci, which is part of MSC, which is probably the largest cargo business in the world run by an Italian who is based in Switzerland, is the third player in the ferry market in Italy.

00:12:18 - The company went into financial difficulties for a number of reasons, and also because Grimaldi is a fierce opponent of Mr Onorato, the owner of Moby. So, the company started Chapter 11 a few months ago. As you might be familiar with, in the context of a Chapter 11 restructuring, ultimately the creditors vote the restructuring plan, a restructuring plan which can be put forward by the company or by the creditors. So, the company has obviously put forward a restructuring plan where Mr Onorato and his family will keep the 76 ships under their control without putting much money. And my plan, supported also by the backing of Morgan Stanley, is to put forward an alternative competing restructuring plan which provides for a change in governance in the company.

00:13:22 - We think that the Italian government will stand behind a change in governance of the company because the ministry of Economic Development is ultimately very unhappy with Mr Onorato ownership of this company, for a number of reasons that you can read in the press or we can discuss probably at a later stage. So, we believe that Tirrenia, which is a creditor, a state creditor of Moby, will also, ultimately, support through the government our alternative plan to change governance in Moby.

00:14:06 - In order to be 100% sure about the voting of the alternative plan, there is a small additional investment that we are contemplating in making in the bonds to secure mathematical certainty of voting the alternative plan through. Once we secure this alternative small investment in the bonds, to have the mathematical certainty to have our plan approved, we can effectively put forward any plan that we like, and vote it ourselves without relying upon other creditors' vote. The only creditor which is relevant, more for soft reasons than for mathematical reasons in their vote, is the state because the state obviously is a key stakeholder of an infrastructure asset in Italy.

00:15:05 - When it comes to putting forward an alternative plan, the alternative plan will provide, as we discussed with Ruslan and David, will provide for a sale of the two vessels immediately to the entity that we'll indicate, given that you have an interest in these two specific vessels. And at the same time, the plan, the alternative plan, will provide for a change in ownership, total or partial, of the current shareholdings. So, we as creditors will take over the relative majority of the equity in the restructured business. It's very important to understand that if the plan, which provides for the immediate sale of the two vessels to the entity that we indicate, is voted in favour by the creditors, that transaction happens simultaneously, day one, with the change of ownership of the company.

00:16:08 - So, the structure would allow for you to secure, through the purchase plans that we discussed with David and Ruslan, the immediate acquisition of those two vessels, and at

		the same time through your purchased bonds which will be
		converted into partial equity, you will also have an equity
		interest in the restructured business of Moby, which will change
		governance. Then, we can discuss about what you do with the
		equity interest and what kind of objectives you want to achieve,
		but we can do that at a later stage, when we are close to
		finalising the details of the alternative proposal.
		00:16:54 - That's overall the big picture. I don't know, David or
		Ruslan, if you have something that you want to add, or Mr Lim,
		if you want to ask specific questions, Morgan Stanley and I are
		here to help you understand better the situation.
	OP2	Just for an introduction because I wasn't there before, I presume
		you had a conversation before that, when we went up live on the
		air. Mr Drazin, where are you from, other than New York?
00:17:24	Drazin	Yeah, why don't I give you just two minutes of background on
		me?
	OP2	Sure.
	Drazin	I run the distressed and special sits business for Morgan Stanley
		in Europe. Prior to that, I worked for what is now Sixth Street
		Partners, which was previously TPG's private credit business,
		and I ran Barclays' distressed business in Europe as well. I'm
		originally from Toronto, I spent, you know, almost 20 years in
		New York and moved to London in 2012. Antonello actually
		worked on my team at Barclays from 2012 until I left in 2016,
		we both left Barclays around the same time. As he mentioned,
		you know, he's been a close, he's been a close personal friend
		since the beginning, and obviously, we've done a lot together
		over the years.
		00:18:10 - My partner at Morgan Stanley, as Antonello
		mentioned, Massimo Piazzi, is also a very close friend of

		together, you know, over the last eight-nine years in Europe.
		We've been involved in this situation as a passive bondholder,
		but supportive of Antonello's plans since pre-corona, probably
		since 2019, maybe even 2018, and we remain, you know,
		committed to that process. You know, we're in this situation,
		we are a capital partner behind Antonello's, you know,
		orchestration of the process. And our intent is to remain [].
00:18:55	OP2	And will you be willing to upload more money into this deal,
		should this can be insufficient from our side, we are thinking
		of inserting about \$23 million altogether. If we need some more
		money to convince the other bondholders to work with us, will
		you be willing to invest more?
	Drazin	We certainly have the capacity to increase our exposure, I think.
		Right now, relative to our portfolio, this is a small investment.
		So, we have the capacity to increase that, for sure.
	OP2	Understood.
	Drazin	I'm actually not sure we're gonna need that much, 23 million
		bucks is another almost 50 million bonds probably. So, it might
		get us to the threshold we need anyways, depending on the
		market conditions at the time. But we definitely have the
		capacity to increase the exposure if we need to, in particular, to
		affect an outcome.
00:20:02	OP2	Mr Lim, as you heard, I mean, we have both Antonello here
		with us and Morgan Stanley. Do you Any other thing that will
		make you feel comfortable assuring us and providing us the
		'okay'?
	OP3	Yeah. Thank you, this Antonello for your presentation and your
		brief. Okay, David, I just want to ask that, but [] from a
		technical [] is Morgan Stanley behind this deal?
	OP2	So, as both Mr Drazin and Di Meo stated, they are working with
		us in order to facilitate this deal. I know that we have good

		contacts with Morgan Stanley in Hong Kong, and this is the
		reason that you asked us to affirm it. I think in this respect, I
		feel very comfortable about it, and I hope that you feel the
		same. Ruslan, do you want to add anything in this?
	OP1	Yeah. I think this is more than enough. Of course, we will need
		to have the approval of the board, hopefully Mr Lim is satisfied
		from the answers that we received today. I think it's more than
		enough, but again, they need to decide. I think we can move
		forward with that.
00:21:39	OP2	Di Meo, I just want, you know, two wording on the timetable of
		selling the ship itself.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	I hope We understand the structure, having said that
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	When do you think we can change ownership, should
		everything goes in place and we manage to acquire all the bonds
		and holding of the company?
00:22:04	Di Meo	Yeah. So, I think the time windows are the following. As I
		represented a few times to you in the past two-three months,
		beginning of September is the very last moment, I believe it's
		probable to buy the additional bonds that we need to secure the
		vote. Then, between the end of October and the first half of
		November, assuming there is no delay in the court, which can
		always happen, as the court wishes, the Court of Milan, between
		the end of October and the half November, put forward the
		competing plan after analysing the materials that will request to
		court in September after we buy the additional bonds because I
		cannot ask information until I've done the trading that I need.
		00:22:59 - Otherwise if I ask information and I get the
		information to make the alternative proposal, I cannot trade the
		bonds. So, that's the necessary sequencing of things. The vote

		of the creditors will happen, in my opinion, in the first quarter
		of next year. And two months following the vote of creditors,
		the sanctioning by the court of the plan will happen. So, the
		change of ownership/ purchase of these vessels at the same time
		by you should happen in Q2 next year. But the deal making is
		done, let's say, between the end of October and the end of
		December.
	OP2	Next year?
	Di Meo	The deal making the proposal of the competing plan just
	OP2	No, no. [] yeah, yeah, I totally understand. Now in this
		respect, Di Meo, we've been authorised of course, Mr Lim has
		to go back and just to affirm it, but we've been authorised \$23
		million to proceed.
	Di Meo	Yeah.
	OP2	When do you need this money and at what time? Because we
		have to have our legal also confirming everything.
00:24:27	Di Meo	As I said, in my opinion, the okay. The first part of September
		is the date when [internet disruption] the bonds. And the
		reason is that I need the time to ask information to the court in
		September to make the plan and submit the plan in October.
		And I can only do that after the bonds are purchased. So, that's
		the reality of it. Now, I cannot obviously I discussed this with
		Morgan Stanley in the past, and you know that we are going to
		invest the money ourselves in case you don't want to make the
		investment. So, again, we, at some point, we will need to pull
		the trigger because I need the sequencing of events to happen,
		and the sequencing of events start with purchase of the bonds.
		Until we purchase the additional bonds, we cannot ask the
		confidential information to the court or the company, and then
		we cannot put together the alternative plan.

	Di Meo	So, that's the
	OP2	So, let me give me a date that I can work with. 15 th of
		September, 20 th of September?
	Di Meo	Yeah, I think
	OP2	This is the timetable that we can actually plan.
	Di Meo	I think the 15 th of September is already a stretch, but let's say, most likely it could work.
00:25:56	OP2	Mr Lim, can we get our legal to work in this timetable to confirm everything?
	OP3	Okay, yes.
	OP2	Okay, great. I Mr Lim, do you have any further questions in this respect?
	OP3	No.
	OP1	What are
	OP2	No? Okay.
	OP3	Good, yeah.
	OP1	Mr Lim, I will be in touch with you tomorrow in a more
		convenient time for you.
	OP3	Sure, sure.
	OP1	So, we will can discuss it and finalise everything.
	OP3	Of course.
	OP2	Sure.
	OP1	Perfect.
	OP2	We will be in the office together, Ruslan, so we will have a [] meeting and
	OP1	Perfect.

OP2	Let's see, let's work it out tomorrow because the timetable that
	we have is very, very short
OP3	Yeah.
OP2	-and the legal aspects are an important as well.
OP1	Perfect. Great.
OP3	Okay. Just let me know, yeah.
Di Meo	Thank you very much. It was a pleasure meeting you, Mr Lim.
OP1	[Simultaneous talk] Great. Thank you very much, Mr Drazin.
OP3	Yeah.
OP1	Thank you very much, it was very nice meeting you. Mr Lim,
	thank you very much for having this call with us.
Drazin	Thank you.
OP3	Yeah, thank you, bye.
OP1	Thank you very much.
OP2	Thanks, bye-bye.
Drazin	Nice to meet you all.
	[Meeting ends]
	1